arXiv:1210.2961v2 [math.RT] 23 Nov 2012

ON THE GROWTH OF L^2-INVARIANTS FOR SEQUENCES OF LATTICES IN LIE GROUPS

MIKLOS ABERT, NICOLAS BERGERON, IAN BIRINGER, TSACHIK GELANDER,
NIKOLAY NIKOLOV, JEAN RAIMBAULT, AND IDDO SAMET

Abstract. We study the asymptotic behavior of Betti numbers, twisted torsion and other spectral invariants of sequences of locally symmetric spaces. Our main results are uniform versions of the DeGeorge–Wallach Theorem, of a theorem of Delorme and various other limit multiplicity theorems.

A basic idea is to adopt the notion of Benjamini-Schramm convergence (BS-convergence), originally introduced for sequences of finite graphs of bounded degree, to sequences of Riemannian manifolds, and analyze the possible limits. We show that BS-convergence of locally symmetric spaces $\Gamma\backslash G/K$ implies convergent, in an appropriate sense, of the normalized relative Plancherel measures associated to $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)$. This yields convergence of normalized multiplicities of unitary representations, Betti numbers and other spectral invariants. On the other hand, when the corresponding Lie group G is simple and of real rank at least two, we prove that there is only one possible BS-limit, i.e. when the volume tends to infinity, locally symmetric spaces always BS-converge to their universal cover G/K. This leads to various general uniform results.

When restricting to arbitrary sequences of congruence covers of a fixed arithmetic manifold we prove a strong quantitative version of BS-convergence which in turn implies upper estimates on the rate of convergence of normalized Betti numbers in the spirit of Sarnak–Xue.

An important role in our approach is played by the notion of Invariant Random Subroups. For higher rank simple Lie groups G, exploiting rigidity theory, and in particular the Nevo–Stück–Zimmer theorem and Kazhdan‘s property (T), we are able to analyze the space of IRSs of G. In rank one, the space of IRSs is much richer. We build some explicit 2 and 3-dimensional real hyperbolic IRSs that are not induced from lattices and employ techniques of Gromov–Piatetski-Shapiro to construct similar examples in higher dimension.

Contents

1. Introduction and statement of the main results 2
2. Invariant Random Subgroups 8
3. Benjamini–Schramm convergence 11
4. IRS's in higher rank 14
5. Benjamini–Schramm convergence for congruence lattices 18
6. Some speculations around Margulis' injectivity radius conjecture 24
7. Spectral approximation for locally convergent sequences of lattices 28
8. Laplacian and heat kernel on differential forms on X 37
9. Heat kernel estimates and Benjamini–Schramm convergence in rank one 46
10. Growth of torsion 55
11. IRS's of rank one Lie groups: limit sets and induced IRS's 58
12. Exotic IRS in dimensions two and three 61

13. A general gluing construction in $\mathrm{SO}(n,1)$ 73
References 82

1. Introduction and statement of the main results

Let G be a connected center-free semisimple Lie group without compact factors, $K \leq G$ a maximal compact subgroup and $X = G/K$ the associated Riemannian symmetric space. The main results of this paper concern the asymptotics of L^2-invariants of the spaces $\Gamma\backslash X$, where Γ varies over the space of lattices of G.

We start by introducing a particularly transparent case of BS-convergence: the case when a sequence of locally symmetric spaces $\Gamma_n\backslash X$ converges to X.

1.1. **Definition.** Let (Γ_n) be a sequence of lattices in G. We say that the X-orbifolds $M_n = \Gamma_n\backslash X$ *BS-converge* to X if for every $R > 0$, the probability that the R-ball centered around a random point in M_n is isometric to the R-ball in X tends to 1 when $n \to \infty$. In other words, if for every $R > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{vol}((M_n)_{<R})}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)} = 0,$$

where $M_{<R} = \{x \in M \ : \ \mathrm{InjRad}_M(x) < R\}$ is the R-thin part of M.

A straightforward, and well studied, particular case is when $\Gamma \leq G$ is a uniform lattice and $\Gamma_n \leq \Gamma$ is a chain of normal subgroups with trivial intersection; in this case, the R-thin part of $\Gamma_n\backslash X$ is empty for large n.

General BS-convergence. The definition above fits into a more general notion of convergence, adapted from that introduced by Benjamini and Schramm [13] for sequences of bounded degree graphs.

Consider the space $\mathcal{M}$ of rooted, proper metric spaces, endowed with the rooted Gromov-Hausdorff topology. Each $\Gamma_n\backslash X$ can be turned into a probability measure on $\mathcal{M}$ by choosing the root at random with respect to the normalized volume form; this measure is supported on rooted spaces isometric to $\Gamma_n\backslash X$. We say that $\Gamma_n\backslash X$ *BS-converges* if these measures weakly converge. The limit object is then a probability measure on $\mathcal{M}$. This definition is elaborated in Section 3.

Most of the results stated in the introduction assume (or prove) BS-convergence to X. These results can often be extended to general BS-convergent sequences, but they tend to get more technical and sometimes further assumptions are needed. This will be discussed in the body of the paper.

This definition of BS-convergence is very broad and works just as well for sequences of finite volume Riemannian manifolds. In our situation, the common ambient group G allows a useful algebraic reformulation of BS-convergence where probability measures on $\mathcal{M}$ are replaced by *invariant random subgroups* of G, i.e. G-invariant measures on the space of closed subgroups of G. This reformulation is what we use in most of the paper. This will be discussed at the end of the introduction and in Sections 2 and 3.

Uniform discreteness. A family of lattices (resp. the associated X-orbifolds) is *uniformly discrete* if there is an identity neighborhood in G that intersects trivially all of their conjugates. For torsion-free lattices Γ_n, this is equivalent to saying that there is a uniform lower bound for the injectivity radius of the manifolds

$M_n = \Gamma_n \backslash X$. In particular, any family (M_n) of covers of a fixed compact orbifold is uniformly discrete. Margulis has conjectured [73, page 322] (see also [55, Section 10]) that the family of all cocompact torsion-free arithmetic lattices in G is uniformly discrete. This is a weak form of the famous Lehmer conjecture on monic integral polynomials.

BS-convergence and Plancherel measure. Our first result says that BS-convergence to X implies a spectral convergence: namely, the relative Plancherel measure of $\Gamma_n \backslash G$ will converge to the Plancherel measure of G in a strong sense.

For an irreducible unitary representation $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ and a uniform lattice Γ in G let $\mathrm{m}(\pi, \Gamma)$ be the multiplicity of π in the right regular representation $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$. Define the relative Plancherel measure of $\Gamma \backslash G$ as the measure

$$\nu_\Gamma = \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma \backslash G)} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \mathrm{m}(\pi, \Gamma) \delta_\pi$$

on $\widehat{G}$. Finally denote by ν^G the Plancherel measure of the right regular representation $L^2(G)$. Recall that the support of ν^G is $\widehat{G}_{\mathrm{temp}}$ — the subset of the unitary dual $\widehat{G}$ which consists of tempered representations.

1.2. **Theorem** (Theorem 7.10). *Let (Γ_n) be a uniformly discrete sequence of lattices in G such that the spaces $\Gamma_n \backslash X$ BS-converge to X. Then for every relatively compact ν^G-regular open subset $S \subset \widehat{G}$ or $S \subset \widehat{G}_{\mathrm{temp}}$, we have:*

$$\nu_{\Gamma_n}(S) \to \nu^G(S).$$

Note that the Plancherel measure of G depends on a choice of a Haar measure on G as does $\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma \backslash G)$. We recall basic facts on the topology of $\widehat{G}$ in Section 7.

Let $d(\pi)$ be the 'multiplicity' — or rather the formal degree — of π in the regular representation $L^2(G)$ with respect to the Plancherel measure of G. Thus, $d(\pi) = 0$ unless π is a discrete series representation. Theorem 1.2 implies the following:

1.3. **Corollary.** *Let (Γ_n) be a uniformly discrete sequence of lattices in G such that the spaces $\Gamma_n \backslash X$ BS-converge to X. Then for all $\pi \in \widehat{G}$, we have*

$$\frac{m(\pi, \Gamma_n)}{vol(\Gamma_n \backslash G)} \to d(\pi).$$

In the special situation when (Γ_n) is a chain of normal subgroups with trivial intersection in some fixed cocompact lattice $\Gamma \leq G$, Corollary 1.3 is the classical theorem of DeGeorge and Wallach [39]. In that very same situation Theorem 1.2 is due to Delorme [43]. Since the pioneering work of DeGeorge and Wallach, 'limit formulas' have been the subject of extensive studies. Two main directions of improvement have been considered.

The first direction is concerned with the extension of the theorems of DeGeorge-Wallach and Delorme to non-uniform lattices. In the case of the DeGeorge-Wallach theorem we refer to [41, 9, 35, 100, 105]. Note that these works were motivated by a question of Kazhdan [63] pertaining to his work on the field of definition of arithmetic varieties. The limit multiplicity problem for the entire unitary dual has been solved for the standard congruence subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ by Sarnak in [102] (see also [61, 42]) but is still open in general. A partial result for certain normal towers of congruence arithmetic lattices defined by groups of $\mathbb{Q}$-rank one has been shown in [42]. Very recently important progress have been made by Finis, Lapid and Müller [51] who can deal with groups of unbounded rank. In these works the authors usually deal with towers of normal subgroups.

A second direction is to extend the theorems of DeGeorge-Wallach and Delorme to more general sequences of (uniform) lattices. This has been addressed in some of the above mentioned works for certain (non principal) congruence subgroups of a fixed lattice, such as $\Gamma_0(N)$, see also [62] for another example. Theorem 1.2 is the first example where one can deal with sequences of non-commensurable lattices.

The classical theorem of DeGeorge and Wallach implies a corresponding statement on the approximation of L^2-Betti numbers by normalized Betti numbers of finite covers (see also Donnelly [47]). Theorem 1.2 implies the following uniform version of it.

1.4. **Corollary.** *Let (M_n) be a sequence of uniformly discrete compact X-manifolds that BS-converge to X. Then for every $k \leq \dim(X)$ we have*

$$\frac{b_k(M_n)}{vol(M_n)} \to \beta_k^{(2)}(X).$$

In the corollary, $b_k(M_n)$ is the k^{th} Betti number of M_n and

$$\beta_k^{(2)}(X) = \begin{cases} \frac{\chi(X^d)}{\mathrm{vol}(X^d)} & k = \frac{1}{2}\dim X \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is the k^{th} *L^2-Betti number of X,* where X^d is the compact dual of X equipped with the Riemannian metric induced by the Killing form on Lie(G). We refer the reader to Section 8 for an analytic definition of $\beta_k^{(2)}(X)$. By [5] and [92], the Euler characteristic $\chi(X^d)$ is nonzero exactly when the *fundamental rank*

$$\delta(G) = \mathbb{C}\text{-rank}(G) - \mathbb{C}\text{-rank}(K)$$

of G is nonzero. Alternatively, it follows from the equality of the Euler characteristic and its L^2-analogue that in the middle dimension, $\beta_k^{(2)}(X) \neq 0$ if and only if the Euler characteristic of some (or, equivalently, every) closed X-manifold is nonzero.

Uniform BS-convergence in higher rank. In the higher rank case we have the following remarkable phenomenon, that gives a surprisingly strong result when combined with Theorem 1.2. Note that in the following result we do not restrict to the case where the Γ_n are cocompact or torsion free and we do not assume uniform discreteness.

1.5. **Theorem** (Corollary 4.7)**.** *Suppose that G has property (T) and real rank at least 2. Let $\Gamma_n \leq G$ be any sequence of pairwise non-conjugate irreducible lattices in G. Then $\Gamma_n \backslash X$ BS-converges to X.*

1.6. **Corollary.** *If in addition to the conditions of Theorem 1.5 we have that (Γ_n) is uniformly discrete (in particular, cocompact), then for every relatively quasi-compact ν^G-regular subset $S \subset \widehat{G}$, we have:*

$$\nu_{\Gamma_n}(S) \to \nu^G(S),$$

and in particular,

$$\frac{m(\pi, \Gamma_n)}{vol(\Gamma_n \backslash X)} \to d(\pi)$$

for any $\pi \in \widehat{G}$. *If we also assume that the* Γ_n *are torsion free* [1], *then*

$$\frac{b_k(\Gamma_n)}{vol(\Gamma_n \backslash X)} \to \beta_k^{(2)}(X)$$

for every $k \leq \dim(X)$.

Here is a particular example to illustrate the strength of Corollary 1.6:

1.7. **Example.** *Let* $n \geq 3$, *let* Γ *be a cocompact lattice in* $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ *and let* $\Gamma_m \leq \Gamma$ *be a sequence of distinct, torsion-free finite index subgroups of* Γ. *Then for all* k,

$$\frac{b_k(\Gamma_m)}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_m]} \to 0.$$

Even in this example, where all the lattices fall in one commensurability class, we do not see a proof that avoids using Theorem 1.5.

It is easy to see that the analogue of Corollary 1.6 — and therefore of Theorem 1.5 — is false for some rank one symmetric spaces. For instance, suppose M is a closed hyperbolic n-manifold and $\pi_1(M)$ surjects onto the free group of rank 2. Then finite covers of M corresponding to subgroups of $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}$ have first Betti numbers that grow linearly with the volume. However, for $n \neq 2$, there will be sublinear growth of the first Betti number in any sequence of covers corresponding to a chain of finite index normal subgroups of $\pi_1(M)$ with trivial intersection, e.g. by DeGeorge-Wallach theorem.

Removing the injectivity radius condition in rank one. Since all higher rank irreducible lattices are arithmetic by Margulis' theorem (see [73, Theorem 1.10, p. 298]) it is expected, by Margulis' conjecture, that when $\mathrm{rank}(G) \geq 2$ the family of all irreducible closed X-manifolds is uniformly discrete. In rank one this is not true: there is no positive lower bound for the injectivity radius of closed manifolds. Indeed, it is shown in [4, 16, 11] that for every d there are compact hyperbolic manifolds of dimension d with arbitrarily small closed geodesics. Still, a careful estimate of the norm of the heat kernel in the thin part of rank one manifolds (see Section 9) allows us to prove the following.

1.8. **Theorem** (Theorem 9.1). *Let* X *be a symmetric space of rank* 1 *and let* M_n *be a sequence of compact* X*-manifolds that BS-converge to* X. *Then for every* $k \leq \dim(X)$,

$$\frac{b_k(M_n)}{vol(M_n)} \to \beta_k^{(2)}(X).$$

The idea of our argument for Theorem 1.8 also gives an alternative proof, in the rank one case, of the classical theorem of Gromov that Betti numbers are linearly bounded by volume [8, Theorem 2]. We were not able to perform the same analysis in the higher rank case. However, assuming the Margulis conjecture, our result for higher rank symmetric spaces (Corollary 1.6) is much stronger than Gromov's linear bound.[2]

---

[1] The torsion freeness assumption can be omitted if one replace the nominator by $b_k(\Gamma\backslash X)$ — the topological k'th Betti number of the orbifold $\Gamma\backslash X$, see Theorem 8.29.

[2] Recall that Gromov's theorem applies in the much broader setup of Hadamard spaces with bounded curvature and no Euclidian factors, that we do not consider in this paper.

Explicit estimates for congruence covers. When restricted to congruence covers of a given arithmetic *hyperbolic* manifold, Gromov conjectured that the k'th Betti number should be bounded above by a constant times n^α where n is the index of the cover and

$$\alpha = \frac{2k}{d-1}, \quad 0 \le k \le [(d-1)/2],$$

see Sarnak and Xue [103]. Cossutta and Marshall [38] and Bergeron, Millson and Moeglin [89] proved an even better (and sharp) bound for principal congruence covers of level a power of a prime and small degree $k \le (d-1)/4$. Our next result is a weak form of Gromov's conjecture. While we cannot approach the precise constant suggested by Gromov, we do obtain a very general result that applies to all semisimple Lie groups and general congruence (not just principal) subgroups.

1.9. **Theorem** (Theorem 7.17)**.** *Let G be a semisimple Lie group and let $\Gamma \le G$ be a uniform arithmetic subgroup. Let $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ be a non-tempered irreducible representation. Then there are constants $\alpha > 0$ and $C < \infty$ such that for every congruence subgroup $\Delta \le \Gamma$,*

$$m(\pi, \Delta) \le C \cdot [\Gamma : \Delta]^{1-\alpha}.$$

As a consequence we obtain the following:

1.10. **Corollary.** *Let G and Γ be as in Theorem 1.9. Suppose that*

$$|k - \frac{1}{2}\dim X| > \delta(G).$$

Then there exist constants $\alpha > 0$ and C such that for every torsion free congruence subgroup $\Delta \le \Gamma$, we have

$$b_k(\Delta) \le C \cdot vol(\Delta\backslash X)^{1-\alpha}.$$

Theorem 1.9 is a consequence of the following, which is of independent interest.

1.11. **Theorem** (Theorem 5.4)**.** *Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a k-simple, simply connected algebraic group defined over a number field k. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers in k. Then there exists some finite index subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O})$ and some positive constants ϵ and C (depending only on Γ and some fixed word metric on it) such that any element $g \in \Gamma - \{1\}$ fixes at most $e^{C|g|}N^{1-\epsilon}$ points in any transitive action of Γ on the cosets of a congruence subgroup of index N in Γ. Here $|g|$ is the length of g in the fixed word metric of Γ.*

Theorem 1.11 leads to the following effective version of Theorem 1.5, that allows us to prove Theorem 1.9.

1.12. **Theorem** (Theorem 5.2)**.** *Let $\Gamma_0 \subset G$ be a cocompact arithmetic lattice. Then there exist positive constants c and μ depending only on Γ_0, such that for any congruence subgroup $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_0$ and any $R > 1$ we have:*

$$\mathrm{vol}((\Gamma\backslash X)_{<R}) \le e^{cR}\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash X)^{1-\mu}.$$

Growth of Reidemeister torsion. When the fundamental rank $\delta(G)$ is positive, the symmetric space X is L^2-acyclic. It is then natural to investigate a secondary invariant such as the L^2-torsion of X, see [71, 17]. This is known to be non-vanishing if and only if $\delta(G) = 1$, e.g. in the case $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. We study L^2-torsion for BS-convergent sequences in Section 10; see in particular Theorem 10.9.

In this introduction, we stress the particular case of compact *orientable* hyperbolic 3-manifolds. Given such an M we denote by α_{can} the discrete faithful $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-representation of $\pi_1 M$. The corresponding twisted chain complex

$$C_*(\widetilde{M}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1 M]} \mathbb{C}^2$$

is acyclic [95] and it follows that the corresponding Reidemeister torsion

$$\tau(M, \alpha_{\mathrm{can}}) \in \mathbb{R}^*$$

is defined.

1.13. **Theorem** (Theorem 10.9)**.** *Let $(M_n)_n$ be a uniformly discrete sequence of orientable compact hyperbolic 3-manifolds which BS-converges toward $\mathbb{H}^3$, then:*

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)} \log|\tau(M_n, \alpha_{\mathrm{can}})| = -\frac{11}{12\pi}.$$

The role of IRS. An important tool in our project is an *invariant random subgroup* (hereafter IRS) which is by definition a conjugacy invariant probability measure on the space Sub_G of closed subgroups of G. We refer the reader to [1, 24, 114] for other recent works that make use of this notion. Any lattice $\Gamma \leq G$ corresponds to an IRS μ_Γ supported on its conjugacy class Γ^G. It turns out (see Theorem 2.6) that if G is a connected simple Lie group then any non-atomic IRS is supported on discrete subgroups (hereafter called a discrete IRS). Every discrete IRS gives rise to a probability measure on the space of rooted metric spaces mentioned above, and it turns out that the restriction of the weak topology from $\mathrm{Prob}(\mathrm{Sub}_G)$ to the set of discrete IRS's coincides with the Benjamini–Schramm topology on this space. We refer the reader to Section 3 for more details.

Denote by μ_G and μ_{Id} the atomic measures supported on $\{G\}$, $\{\mathrm{Id}_G\}$ respectively. The following is a variant of Theorem 1.5 stated in the language of IRS's:

1.14. **Theorem** (Theorems 4.2 and 4.4)**.** *Let G be a connected higher rank simple Lie group. Then:*

- *The ergodic IRS's are exactly μ_G, μ_{Id} and μ_Γ where Γ is a lattice in G.*
- *The set of ergodic IRS's is compact and its only accumulation point is μ_{Id}.*

The first part of Theorem 1.14 is a consequence of the Nevo–Stück–Zimmer rigidity theorem [110, 88].

The picture is much wilder in rank one. For example, starting with a lattice $\Gamma \leq G$ and an infinite index normal subgroup $\Delta \lhd \Gamma$, one can induce the measure on $\Gamma\backslash G$ to an ergodic IRS supported on the conjugacy class Δ^G. More generally, any IRS in Γ can be induced to an IRS in G. Thus, if Γ admits a free quotient one may construct uncountably many ergodic IRS's in Γ and induce them to G, see Section 11.

We will also show in Section 13 that $\mathrm{SO}(n,1)$ admits IRS's supported on discrete groups each of which is not contained in any lattice. In dimension 2 one can give an elementary construction using Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates, while the higher dimensional case is more involved and relies on ideas of Gromov and Piatetski-Shapiro [57] used in their construction of non-arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds. We also construct in Section 12.5 an interesting family of such IRS's of $\mathrm{SO}(3,1)$ that are supported on discrete subgroups Γ that are all isomorphic to the fundamental group of a single closed surface.

The L^2-Betti numbers of an IRS. Given a discrete IRS μ we define its L^2-Betti numbers $\beta_k^{(2)}(\mu)$, $0 \leq k \leq \dim(X)$, in Section 8. In contrast to the L^2-Betti numbers of X, these can be non-vanishing outside the middle dimension. In that context we prove an analogue of Lück approximation theorem [70] along uniformly discrete BS-convergent sequences.

1.15. **Theorem** (Theorem 8.6)**.** *Let M_n be a BS-convergent uniformly discrete sequence of closed X-manifolds. Then, for each integer k, the sequence $b_k(M_n)/vol(M_n)$ is convergent. Moreover, if we denote by μ the limit IRS associated to the sequence M_n, then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{b_k(M_n)}{vol(M_n)} = \beta_k^{(2)}(\mu) \quad (0 \leq k \leq \dim(X)).$$

Acknowledgments. This research was supported by the MTA Renyi "Lendulet" Groups and Graphs Research Group, the NSF Postdoctoral Fellowship, the Institut Universitaire de France, the ERC, the ISF, the BSF and the EPSRC.

2. Invariant Random Subgroups

Let G be a locally compact second countable group. We denote by Sub_G the set of closed subgroups of G. There exists a compact, metrizable topology on Sub_G, the *Chabauty topology* [32], which is generated by open sets of the form

1. $\mathcal{O}_1(K) = \{H \in \mathrm{Sub}_G : H \cap K = \emptyset\}$, for $K \subset G$ compact, and
2. $\mathcal{O}_2(U) = \{H \in \mathrm{Sub}_G : H \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$, for $U \subset G$ open.

A sequence $(H_n)_{n\geq 0}$ in Sub_G converges to $H \in \mathrm{Sub}_G$ if and only if:

- For every $x \in H$, there exists a sequence $(x_n) \in G^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $x_n \in H_n$ and $x_n \to x$ in G.
- For every strictly increasing sequence of integers $(n_k)_{k\geq 0}$ and for any converging sequence $x_{n_k} \to x$ such that $x_{n_k} \in H_{n_k}$, we have $x \in H$.

2.1. **Proposition.** *Let G be a connected Lie group. Then G is an isolated point in* Sub_G *if and only if G is topologically perfect.*

Recall that a topological group is *topologically perfect* if its commutator subgroup is dense. So in particular, the proposition implies that if G is connected and semisimple then G is an isolated point in Sub_G.

Proof. Suppose that G is topologically perfect. Then by [25, Theorem 2.1] there exist $d = \dim(G)$ open sets $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_d \subset G$ such that for every choice of d elements $g_i \in \Omega_i,\ i = 1, \dots, d$ the subgroup $\langle g_1, \dots, g_d\rangle$ is dense in G. Therefore, if $H \in \mathrm{Sub}_G$ intersects each of the Ω_i, then $H = G$, and thus $\cap_{i=1}^d \mathcal{O}_2(\Omega_i) = \{G\}$ is open.

Conversely, if G is not topologically perfect then it surjects on the circle S^1. Let H_n be the pre-image in G of the cyclic group of order n in S^1. Then clearly we have that H_n converges to G. □

We also recall the following well-known fact.

2.2. **Proposition** (Theorem 4.1.7, [112])**.** *Let G be a Lie group and let $(\Gamma_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence of discrete subgroups in G that converges to a subgroup H. Then the connected component H° of H is nilpotent.*

We now come to the central definition of this section.

2.3. **Definition.** Let G be a topological group. An *invariant random subgroup* (IRS) of G is a G-invariant Borel probability measure on Sub_G.

Here, G acts on Sub_G by conjugation. We consider the set

$$\mathrm{IRS}(G) = \mathrm{Prob}(\mathrm{Sub}_G)^{\mathrm{inv}}$$

of invariant random subgroups of G endowed with the weak-$*$ (or, vaguely speaking, the weak) topology. When G is locally compact, as Sub_G is compact and the G action is continuous, it follows from Riesz' representation theorem and Alaoglu's theorem that the space of invariant random subgroups of G is also compact.

Invariant random subgroups arise naturally when dealing with non-free actions. Indeed, the stabilizer of a random point in a probability measure preserving action is an IRS. More precisely, when G acts by measure preserving transformations on a countably separated probability space Ω, the push forward of the measure from Ω to Sub_G via the map[3] $x \mapsto G_x$ gives an IRS in G. In that case, we shall say that the IRS is *induced* from the p.m.p action. The following theorem shows that, when G is locally compact, every IRS is induced from a p.m.p action.

2.4. **Theorem.** *Let G be a locally compact second countable group, and let $\mu \in$ IRS(G). Then μ is induced from some p.m.p action of G.*

When G is a countable and discrete, this was proven in [1, Proposition 13].

Proof. It is enough to prove the statement in the case that μ is ergodic. Let $H \subset G$ be a closed subgroup in the support of μ. Being a locally compact group, $N_G(H)/H$ admits a Haar measure. By ergodicity of μ, the isomorphism type of $N_G(H)/H$ as a measure space is essentially independent of H — i.e. whether $N_G(H)/H$ is finite of a given cardinality, infinite discrete, infinite compact or non-discrete and non-compact is independent of H. Let us explain the proof in the case that $N_G(H)/H$ is non-discrete and non-compact, as the other cases are similar and simpler.

Consider the space $\mathrm{Cos}_G = \mathrm{Sub}_G \times G/\sim$, where $(H, g_1) \sim (H', g_2)$ iff $H = H'$ and $g_1 g_2^{-1} \in H$, i.e. iff $Hg_1 = H'g_2$. The group G acts on Cos_G by

$$g \cdot (H, g_1) := (H^g, gg_1).$$

Fix a compact subset with an open interior $K \subset G$ and normalize the Haar measure ν_H of the group $N_G(H)/H$ so that

$$\max_{g \in G} \nu_H((gK \cap N_G(H))H/H) = 1.$$

Equip Cos_G with the measure which is the integration over μ of the measures of ν_H. In more words, consider the projection to the first factor $\mathrm{Cos}_G \to \mathrm{Sub}_G$. The fiber over H is G/H and we equip it with the Haar measure of $N_G(H)/H$, so that the relative measure is supported on $N_G(H)/H$. Finally integrate the horizontal w.r.t μ. The G action on Cos_G is then by measure preserving.

By a general result of Rohlin concerning factors of measure spaces [101], there is an equivalence between the measure space Cos_G and the product space $\mathrm{Sub}_G \times \mathbb{R}$ with the measure $\mu \times \lambda$ where λ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$, such that the projection $\mathrm{Cos}_G \to \mathrm{Sub}_G$ corresponds to the projection to the first factor $\mathrm{Sub}_G \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Sub}_G$. Consider a standard Poisson process on $\mathbb{R}$ with the underlying probability space Y identified with the space of countable subsets in $\mathbb{R}$. The isomorphism between Cos_G and $\mathrm{Sub}_G \times \mathbb{R}$ allows us to define an action of G on the probability space $\mathrm{Sub}_G \times Y$. Moreover, under the isomorphism of the fibers $\mathbb{R} \to N_G(H)/H$, the Poisson process in $\mathbb{R}$ is sent to a Poisson process in the group $N_G(H)/H$. Since any locally compact non-compact non-discrete group acts essentially freely on the space corresponding to a Poisson process on it, we derive that the stabilizer of a random point in the fiber above $H \in \mathrm{Sub}_G$ is just H. $\square$

---

[3] It is well known that the stabilizers are closed subgroups, c.f. [?, Corollary 2.1.20]

As an example, suppose that H is a closed subgroup of G such that G/H admits a finite G-invariant measure; for instance, H could be a lattice in G. In this case, we scale the measure on G/H to a probability measure and denote by μ_H be the invariant random subgroup induced by the left action of G on G/H.

This construction can be further generalized. Let H be a closed subgroup in G, and let $N = N_G(H)$ be its normalizer in G. Suppose that G/N admits a G-invariant probability measure. Consider the map $G \to \mathrm{Sub}_G$ given by $g \mapsto gHg^{-1}$. Since N is the stabilizer of H, G/N is measurably isomorphic to the orbit of H. The push-forward measure on Sub_G is a G-invariant measure supported on the conjugates of H, which we denote μ_H. This is a slight abuse of notation; indeed, if both G/H and G/N admit an invariant probability measure, then we have two definitions of μ_H. However, both these constructions give rise to the same measure.

If H is a subgroup of G then there is a natural embedding of Sub_H into Sub_G. We shall identify Sub_H with its image under this embedding.

This gives rise to a construction of random invariant subgroups by products. Let H_1, H_2 be commuting subgroups of G, and let μ_1, μ_2 be invariant random subgroups of G which are supported on $\mathrm{Sub}_{H_1}, \mathrm{Sub}_{H_2}$, respectively. By considering μ_i as a measure on Sub_{H_i} $(i = 1, 2)$, we obtain a product measure on $\mathrm{Sub}_{H_1} \times \mathrm{Sub}_{H_2}$. Using the map $\mathrm{Sub}_{H_1} \times \mathrm{Sub}_{H_2} \to \mathrm{Sub}_G$ defined as $(K_1, K_2) \mapsto K_1 \times K_2$, we push-forward this product measure to a measure which we denote $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$. It is easy to check that this measure is G-invariant.

2.5. **IRS's in Lie groups.** From now on, unless explicitly mentioned otherwise we will assume that G is a connected Lie group.

The following is a variant of the classical Borel density theorem:

2.6. **Theorem** (Borel's density theorem)**.** *If G is simple (with trivial center) then every IRS with no atoms is supported on discrete Zariski dense subgroups of G.*

A subgroup Γ of G is *Zariski dense* if the only closed subgroup $H < G$ that contains Γ and has finitely many connected components is G itself. This coincides with the algebraic definition of Zariski density when G has the structure of a real algebraic group. One recovers the classical theorem of Borel [22] when μ is the IRS μ_H associated with a closed subgroup of finite co-volume $H \leq G$.

Although one can prove Theorem 2.6 as one proves the classical theorem (see also [110]), we give a proof here that makes direct use of Borel's result.

2.7. **Lemma.** *The only IRS supported on the set of finite subgroups of G is the Dirac measure $\delta_{\{\mathrm{Id}\}}$.*

Proof. Let μ be an ergodic IRS supported on finite subgroups of G. Since G has only countably many conjugacy classes of finite subgroups, μ is supported on a single conjugacy class, say F^G for some appropriate finite subgroup $F \leq G$. Thus μ induces a finite G-invariant probability measure on the homogenous space $G/N_G(F)$. Thus $N_G(F)$ is of finite co-volume in G. By the classical Borel density theorem, $N_G(F)$ is Zariski dense. Since F is finite, $N_G(F)$ is algebraic and hence $N_G(F) = G$. As G is connected and F is discrete, we deduce that F is central in G. Finally, since G is simple $F = \{\mathrm{Id}\}$. □

Proof of Theorem 2.6. Associating to a closed subgroup $H < G$ either

1. the Lie algebra of the identity component of H, or
2. the Lie algebra of the identity component of the Zariski closure of H,

an IRS induces two $\mathrm{Ad}(G)$-invariant measures, μ_1 and μ_2, on the Grasmannian manifold of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G. As follows from Furstenberg's proof of the Borel density theorem (see [54]) every such measure is supported on $\{\{0\}, \mathfrak{g}\}$.

The μ_1-mass of $\mathfrak{g}$ is exactly the mass that the given IRS gives to the atom G, which is by assumption 0. Thus μ_1 is the Dirac measure supported on $\{0\}$, which is equivalent to the statement that our IRS is supported on discrete subgroups.

On the other hand, any Zariski closed discrete subgroup of G is finite. Therefore, $\mu_2(\{0\})$ is the amount of mass that our IRS gives to finite subgroups of G. By Lemma 2.7, this must be 0. Therefore, μ_2 is the Dirac measure supported on $\mathfrak{g}$, which implies that our IRS is supported on Zariski dense subgroups. □

3. Benjamini–Schramm convergence

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the space of proper, pointed length spaces up to basepoint preserving isometry. In [58], Gromov defined a notion of convergence of pointed metric spaces using a generalization of the Hausdorff metric. Following [30, §3.2], we give a variation of his definition that defines a topology on $\mathcal{M}$.

Two pointed metric spaces (X, x_0) and (Y, y_0) are (ε, R)*-related*, written

$$(X, x_0) \sim_{\varepsilon,R} (Y, y_0),$$

if there are compact subspaces $X_1 \subset X$ and $Y_1 \subset Y$ containing the basepoints and a relation $\sim$ between X_1 and Y_1 that satisfies the following properties:

- $B_X(x_0, R) \subset X_1$ and $B_Y(y_0, R) \subset Y_1$,
- $x_0 \sim y_0$,
- for each $x \in X_1$, there exists $y \in Y_1$ such that $x \sim y$,
- for each $y \in Y_1$, there exists $x \in X_1$ such that $x \sim y$, and
- if $x \sim y$ and $x' \sim y'$, then $|d_X(x, x') - d_Y(y, y')| \leq \varepsilon$.

This gives a topology on $\mathcal{M}$: a basis of neighborhoods of (X, x_0) is defined by considering for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $R > 0$ the set of proper, pointed length spaces that are (ε, R)-related to (X, x_0). This is usually called the *(pointed) Gromov-Hausdorff topology* on $\mathcal{M}$. Lemma 3.2.4 of [30] shows that this topology on $\mathcal{M}$ is Hausdorff.

We are mostly interested here in Riemannian orbifolds rather than general length spaces. In this case it is often natural to supplement basepoints with frames. A *frame* for a Riemannian orbifold M is an orthonormal basis f for some tangent space $T_{\pi(f)}M$, where $\pi(f) \in M$. We let $\mathcal{MF}_d$ be the set of all complete, framed Riemannian d-orbifolds. A *framed* (ε, R)*-relation* between (M, f) and (N, f') is an (ε, R)-relation between $(M, \pi(f))$ and $(N, \pi(f'))$ with the additional assumption

- $\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i f_i\right) \sim \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i f_i'\right)$, for all $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

If such a relation exists, we write $(M, f) \sim_{\varepsilon,R} (N, f')$, understanding that since these are framed manifolds this denotes a framed (ε, R)-relation. As in the pointed case, framed (ε, R)-relations induce a *(framed) Gromov-Hausdorff topology* on the space $\mathcal{MF}_d$. The natural map $\mathcal{MF}_d \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a quotient map onto its image [30, Lemma 3.2.8].

3.1. **Definition.** Let $\mathrm{Prob}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathrm{Prob}(\mathcal{MF}_d)$ be the sets of all Borel probability measures on $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{MF}_d$. We call the weak* topologies on $\mathrm{Prob}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathrm{Prob}(\mathcal{MF}_d)$ the *pointed* and *framed BS-topologies*, respectively.

Every complete finite volume Riemannian d-orbifold M produces an element $\mu_M \in \mathrm{Prob}(\mathcal{M})$ and an element of $\mathrm{Prob}(\mathcal{MF}_d)$: one pushes forward the normalized Riemannian measures of M and its frame bundle under their natural maps to $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{MF}_d$, respectively. We say that a sequence (M_n) of orbifolds *BS-converges* to an element $\mu \in \mathrm{Prob}(\mathcal{M})$ if the associated measures (μ_{M_n}) BS-converge to μ.

As we mentioned earlier in the introduction, BS-convergence is a geometric adaptation of a similar notion of convergence for sequences of bounded degree graphs, studied in [13] by Benjamini and Schramm.

3.2. **BS-convergence of locally symmetric spaces.** Suppose G is a semi-simple Lie group with no compact factors, $X = G/K$ is the associated symmetric space and fix once and for all some orthonormal frame f_0 for X. Then

$$\text{discrete } \Gamma < G \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad (\Gamma\backslash X, [f_0]) \in \mathcal{MF}_d,$$

defines a one-to-one correspondence between discrete subgroups of G and the isometry classes of certain framed X-orbifolds in $\mathcal{MF}_d$, where $d = \dim X$. Moreover,

3.3. **Proposition.** *A sequence (Γ_n) of discrete subgroups of G converges to a discrete subgroup $\Gamma < G$ in the Chabauty topology if and only if $(\Gamma_n\backslash X, [f_0])$ converges to $(\Gamma\backslash X, [f_0])$ in the framed Gromov Hausdorff topology.*

A proof is given in [30, Theorem 3.2.9] or [12, Theorem E.1.13] in the case $G = \mathrm{SO}(n,1)$, but the same argument works in general.

We call an invariant random subgroup of G *discrete* if it is supported on discrete subgroups of G. One consequence of Proposition 3.3 is that the map

$$\{\text{discrete IRS's of } G\} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Prob}(\mathcal{MF}_d)$$

that is a homeomorphism onto its image. This gives a geometric interpretation of the weak convergence of IRS's introduced in the previous section.

In the special case where G is a simple Lie group, we obtain from 2.6 and 2.1 that $\mathrm{IRS}(G)$ consists of measures supported on discrete subgroups and an isolated point $\{G\}$. Thus, we obtain the following corollary.

3.4. **Corollary.** *If G is a simple Lie group, the total space IRS(G) is homeomorphic to its image in the space of nonnegative measures on $\mathcal{MF}_d$ with mass at most 1, where $d = \dim(X)$.*

IRS's that weakly converge to the atomic measure μ_{id} have particular relevance in this paper. The following lemma gives a geometric characterization of convergence to μ_{id} in the case of measures associated to lattices.

3.5. **Lemma.** *Let G be a center-free semisimple Lie group without compact factors, and let X be the associated Riemannian symmetric space. Suppose that $(\Gamma_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is a sequence of lattices in G. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:*

1. *the IRS's μ_{Γ_n} converge weakly to μ_{id},*
2. *the orbifolds $\Gamma_n\backslash X$ BS-converge to $X \in \mathcal{M}$.*

(3) *For every* $R > 0$, *when* $n \to \infty$

$$\mathcal{P}_n(\{x \in \Gamma_n \backslash X \mid \mathrm{InjRad}_{\Gamma_n \backslash X}(x) \geq R\}) \longrightarrow 1,$$

where $\mathcal{P}_n$ *is the normalized Riemannian measure on* $\Gamma_n \backslash X$.

Here, $\mathrm{InjRad}_{\Gamma_n \backslash X}(x)$ is the *injectivity radius* of $\Gamma_n \backslash X$ at the point x. That is, if $\tilde{x}$ is any lift of x to X, then $\mathrm{InjRad}_{\Gamma_n \backslash X}(x) = \frac{1}{2}\min\{d(\tilde{x}, \gamma\tilde{x}) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_n - \{\mathrm{id}\}\}$. Also, to understand condition (2) observe that as the symmetric space X has transitive isometry group all choices of base point yield the same element $X \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. We will first prove (1) if and only if (3). Pick a proper metric on G. Let $B_G(\mathrm{id}, R)$ be an open ball around $\mathrm{id} \in G$ with radius R. Define

$$U_R \subset \mathrm{Sub}_G, \quad U_R = \{H \in \mathrm{Sub}_G \mid H \cap \overline{B_G(\mathrm{id}, R)} = \{\mathrm{id}\}\}.$$

Then each U_R is open in Sub_G: to see this, note that using the exponential map and taking powers one can show that there is some small $\epsilon > 0$ such that any subgroup H that intersects $\overline{B_G(\mathrm{id}, R)}$ nontrivially must also intersect $\overline{B_G(\mathrm{id}, R)} \backslash B_G(\mathrm{id}, \epsilon)$ nontrivially. Therefore, any limit of subgroups outside U_R is also outside U_R.

The sets U_R form a basis of open sets at $\{\mathrm{id}\}$. Hence, $\mu_{\Gamma_n} \to \mu_{\mathrm{id}}$ if and only if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_{\Gamma_n}(U_R) = 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad R > 0.$$

We now want to relate $\mu_{\Gamma_n}(U_R)$ to the probability mentioned in the statement of the lemma. Note that there are functions $R' = R'(R)$ and $R'' = R''(R)$ such that

$$d([\mathrm{id}], g[\mathrm{id}]) > R' \text{ in } X \implies d(\mathrm{id}, g) > R \text{ in } G \implies d([\mathrm{id}], g[\mathrm{id}]) > R'' \text{ in } X,$$

with $R', R'' \to \infty$ as $R \to \infty$. (More explicitly, one can choose a metric on G such that $R' = R + 1$ and $R'' = R - 1$).

Fix now some Γ_n. If $g \in G$ then

$$d(\gamma[g], [g]) > R', \ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma_n \implies g\Gamma_n g^{-1} \in U_R \implies d(\gamma[g], [g]) > R'', \ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma_n.$$

It follows immediately from this and the definition of local injectivity radius that

$$\ell_{[g]} > \frac{1}{2}R' \implies g\Gamma_n g^{-1} \in U_R \implies \ell_{[g]} > \frac{1}{2}R''$$

Now, consider the G-invariant probability measure on $\Gamma_n \backslash G$. Pushing forward this measure to Sub_G by the 'stabilizer' map gives μ_{Γ_n}, while pushing it forward under the projection $\Gamma_n \backslash G \to \Gamma_n \backslash X$ gives $\mathcal{P}_n$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{P}_n(\{x \in \Gamma_n \backslash X \mid \mathrm{InjRad}_{\Gamma_n \backslash G}(x) \geq 2R'\}) \leq \mu_{\Gamma_n}(U_R) \leq$$

$$\leq \mathcal{P}_n(\{x \in \Gamma_n \backslash X \mid \mathrm{InjRad}_{\Gamma_n \backslash G}(x) \geq 2R''\})$$

and we therefore obtain that (1) holds if and only if (3) holds. To finish the claim, note that (1) implies (2) because the map $\mathrm{IRS}(G) \to \mathrm{Prob}(\mathcal{M})$ is continuous. Furthermore, (2) implies (3) by an argument similar to the above: for sufficiently small ϵ, every pointed space $(\Gamma_n \backslash X, x)$ that is $(\epsilon, R+1)$-related to X has injectivity radius at least R at x. So, if the measures on $\mathcal{M}$ associated to $\Gamma_n \backslash X$ BS-converge to an atomic measure on X then the probability that a point $x \in \Gamma_n \backslash X$ has injectivity radius at least R goes to 1. □

Remark. Define a pointed (not necessarily complete) Riemannian manifold (B, p) to be a *sample ball* if B has finite diameter. In general if the IRS's $\mu_n = \mu_{\Gamma_n}$ converge weakly to some IRS μ_∞ then, for every sample ball (B, p) and $R > 0$, and arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$, the probability that for a μ_n-random discrete $\Gamma \in \mathrm{Sub}_G$ the pointed ball $(B_{\Gamma\backslash G}(\mathrm{id}, R), [\mathrm{id}])$ is (ε, R)-related to (B, p) converges to the μ_∞-probability of this even. As we already pointed out this notion of limit was first introduced by Benjamini and Schramm. In the context of Riemannian manifolds it was first introduced in [2]; the same notion is studied, in a somewhat different context, in [86]. General references studying related notions are [19, 53, 93].

4. IRS's in higher rank

As in the previous section, suppose that G is a center free semisimple Lie group without compact factors, and let $X = G/K$ be the associated Riemannian symmetric space. We say an IRS is *irreducible* if every simple factor acts ergodically. When G has higher rank and Kazhdan's property (T) we prove the following strong result using the Nevo–Stück–Zimmer rigidity theorem (see below):

4.1. **Theorem.** *Let G be a center-free semisimple Lie group of real rank at least 2 and with Kazhdan's property (T). Let μ be a non-atomic irreducible IRS of G. Then $\mu = \mu_\Gamma$ for some irreducible lattice $\Gamma \leq G$.*

Recall that a simple Lie group of $\mathbb{R}$-rank at least 2 has property (T) by Kazhdan's theorem [10, Section 1.6] and a rank-1 simple Lie group has property (T) if and only if it is locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sp}(n, 1)$, $n \geq 2$ or $F_{4(-20)}$ by Kostant's result [10, Section 3.3]. A semisimple Lie group has property (T) iff all its simple factors have (T). By the arithmeticity theorems of Margulis and Corlette–Gromov–Schoen [74, 37, 72], if G has property (T) then all its lattices are arithmetic.

When all the simple factors of G are of real rank at least 2, one can furthermore classify all the ergodic invariant random subgroups of G as follows:

4.2. **Theorem.** *Let G be a connected semisimple Lie group without center, and suppose that each simple factor of G has $\mathbb{R}$-rank at least 2. Then every ergodic invariant random subgroup is either*

1. *μ_N for a normal subgroup N in G,*
2. *μ_Λ for a lattice Λ in a normal subgroup M of G, or*
3. *products of the previous two measures, where N and M commute.*

Explicitly, if μ is an ergodic invariant random subgroup, then there are commuting normal subgroups N, M in G and a lattice Λ in M such that $\mu = \mu_N \otimes \mu_\Lambda = \mu_{N\times\Lambda}$.

We shall prove Theorems 4.2 and 4.1 by making use of the following fundamental result of Nevo, Stück and Zimmer, which is a particular case of [110, Theorem 4.3].

4.3. **Theorem** (Nevo–Stück–Zimmer)**.** *Let G be a connected semi-simple Lie group without center, such that each simple factor of G has $\mathbb{R}$-rank at least 2 or is isomorphic to $Sp(n, 1)$, $n \geq 2$ or $F_{4(-20)}$. Suppose that G, as well as every rank one factor of G, acts ergodically and faithfully preserving a probability measure on a space X. Then there is a normal subgroup $N \lhd G$ and a lattice $\Gamma < N$ such that for almost every $x \in X$ the stabilizer of x is conjugate to Γ.*

Let us mention that some new results in the spirit of Theorem 4.3 were established recently in [**?**].

Proof of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2. Let's assume that G has $\mathbb{R}$-rank at least 2 and Kazhdan's property (T). At various points in the proof we will mention how the assumptions of Theorem 4.2 imply a stronger conclusion. In the following, let μ be an ergodic invariant random subgroup of G.

Suppose first that the action of G is faithful. By 4.3 we obtain a normal subgroup N and a lattice $\Gamma < N$ such that the stabilizer, i.e. the normalizer, of a μ-random subgroup is conjugated to Γ. We claim that $N = G$. Indeed, the direct complement M of N in G normalizes every conjugate of any subgroup of Γ. Hence M fixes almost every point in Sub_G and as the action is faithful M is trivial.

Next we claim that if Λ is a subgroup of G whose normalizer is Γ then $[\Gamma : \Lambda] < \infty$. Recall the Margulis Normal Subgroup Theorem: *a normal subgroup of an irreducible lattice in a semisimple Lie group with* $\mathbb{R}$*-rank* ≥ 2 *is either central or is a lattice*. In our cases, the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 implies that Γ is irreducible, but the assumptions of Theorem 4.2 does not. However, by [98, Theorem 5.22], there is a decomposition of G as a product of normal subgroups $\prod G_i$ such that $\Gamma_i := \Gamma \cap G_i$ is an irreducible lattice in G_i and $\prod \Gamma_i$ has finite index in Γ. Note that by the assumptions of 4.2 $\mathbb{R}\text{-rank}(G_i) \geq 2$ for every i. Moreover, the projection of Λ to each G_i cannot be trivial since Γ is the full normalizer of Λ. By considering the commutator $[\Gamma_i, \Lambda]$ one deduces that $\Lambda_i := \Lambda \cap G_i$ is nontrivial for every i. By the normal subgroup theorem Λ_i is of finite index in Γ_i as the latter is center free. Therefore $\prod \Lambda_i$ and hence also Λ is a lattice in $G = \prod G_i$.

We have shown that a μ-random subgroup in Sub_G is a lattice. It is proved in [110] that the action of G on the subset of lattices in Sub_G is tame (i.e., the Borel structure on the orbit space is countably separable). In particular, an ergodic measure supported on this subset must be supported on a single orbit. Thus $\mu = \mu_\Lambda$ for some lattice Λ. In particular, this finishes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

We know finish the remaining cases of Theorem 4.2 when the action is not faithful. Let N be the kernel of this action. If $N = G$ then μ is supported on a normal subgroup of G, and we are done. Otherwise, take a direct complement M of N such that $G \simeq N \times M$.

We note that a subgroup normalized by N has a certain decomposition as a direct product. To this end, suppose that a subgroup $H \in \mathrm{Sub}_G$ is normalized by a simple factor L of N. By simplicity, either H contains L or $L \cap H = 1$. In the latter case, L and H commute, and thus the projection of H to L is central, and hence trivial. It follows that if H is normalized by N then it decomposes as $H = H_N \times H_M$ where $H_N := H \cap N$ is a product of simple factors in N, and $H_M := H \cap M$.

As there are finitely many possibilities for H_N, this factor of the decomposition is independent of H, by ergodicity. That is, there exists a normal subgroup $L \leq N$ such that $H = L \times (H \cap M)$ for almost every $H \in \mathrm{Sub}_G$. Thus, $\mu = \mu_L \otimes \mu'$ where μ' is an invariant ergodic measure supported on the image of Sub_M in Sub_G. Since M acts faithfully and ergodically on (Sub_M, μ'), we deduce from the previous case that $\mu' = \mu_\Lambda$ for a lattice Λ in M. Finally, it is easy to check that $\mu = \mu_L \otimes \mu_\Lambda = \mu_{L \times \Lambda}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.2. ☐

The proofs of the uniform approximation results in the higher rank case relies on the following:

4.4. **Theorem.** *Let G be a center-free semisimple Lie group of $\mathbb{R}$-rank at least 2 with Kazhdan's property (T). Then μ_{id} is the only accumulation point of the set*

$$\{\mu_\Gamma \mid \Gamma \text{ is an irreducible lattice in } G\}.$$

We will make use of the following lemma.

4.5. **Lemma.** *Let X be a compact topological space, and let G be a topological group with property (T) acting continuously on X. Let $(\mu_n)_n$ be sequence of Borel probability measures on X that weakly converges to μ_∞. If the measures μ_n are ergodic, then the limit measure μ_∞ is ergodic.*

Proof. Let π_n (resp. π_∞) be the unitary representation of G on $L^2_0(\mu_n)$ (resp. $L^2_0(\mu_\infty)$. To prove that μ_∞ is ergodic, we show that $L^2_0(\mu_\infty)$ has no G-invariant vectors. Suppose contrarily that $v \in L^2_0(\mu_\infty)$ is a non-zero G-invariant with $\|v\|_{\mu_\infty} = 1$.

We prove that $\pi = \bigoplus \pi_n$ almost has invariant vectors. Let $Q \subset G$ be a compact subset and let $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists a continuous function $w \in L^2_0(\mu_\infty)$ with $\|w\|_{\mu_\infty} = 1$ such that $\|v - w\| < \varepsilon$. By the invariance of v, we have that for every $g \in G$, $\|\pi_\infty(g)w - w\| < 2\varepsilon$. By the convergence of μ_n to μ_∞ we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\pi_n(g)w - w\|_{\mu_\infty} = \|\pi_\infty(g)w - w\|_{\mu_\infty},$$

for every $g \in G$, and the convergence is uniform on compacta. We also have that

$$\|w\|_{\mu_n} \longrightarrow \|w\|_{\mu_\infty} = 1, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int w\, d\mu_n \longrightarrow \int w\, d\mu_\infty = 0.$$

Thus, we can fix some sufficiently large N, such that for every $g \in Q$,

$$\|\pi_N(g)w - w\|_{\mu_N} < 3\varepsilon, \qquad \|w\|_{\mu_N} > 1 - \varepsilon, \qquad |\int w\, d\mu_N| < \varepsilon.$$

Take $\tilde{w} = w - \int w\, d\mu_N$. Then $\tilde{w} \in L^2_0(\mu_N)$, and it follows from the inequalities above that $\tilde{w}$ is $(Q, \frac{3\varepsilon}{1-2\varepsilon})$-invariant. The same is true for the image of $\tilde{w}$ in the N-th coordinate of $\bigoplus L^2_0(\mu_n)$. Since this is true for every compact Q and every $\varepsilon > 0$, π almost has invariant vectors.

By our assumption, G has property (T). Hence, π has a non-zero invariant vector u. If u_n be a non-zero component of u in $L^2_0(\mu_n)$, then u_n is G-invariant, and it is non-zero for some n, a contradiction to the ergodicity of μ_n. ☐

Proof of Theorem 4.4. Fix a sequence Γ_n of distinct irreducible lattices in G such that $\mu_n := \mu_{\Gamma_n}$ weakly converges and let μ_∞ be the limit measure. An important point here is that μ_∞ is ergodic with respect to the action of every simple factor of G. By our assumption, if N is any simple factor of G then it has property (T). Therefore, by Lemma 4.5, N acts ergodically on μ_∞. Combining this with Theorem 4.1, we deduce that either $\mu_\infty = \mu_N$ for a normal subgroup $N \leq G$, or $\mu_\infty = \mu_\Lambda$ for an irreducible lattice $\Lambda < G$.

Let us start by ruling out the possibility that $\mu_\infty = \mu_N$ for any connected normal subgroup of positive dimension. Since N is not nilpotent, by Proposition 2.2 there is a neighborhood U of N in Sub_G that does not contain any lattice. Thus, had μ_n weakly converged to μ_N we would have

$$0 = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mu_n(U) \geq \mu_N(U) = 1,$$

which is absurd.

Next, we exclude the case that $\mu_\infty = \mu_\Gamma$ for a lattice Γ in G. By our assumption G has property (T). Therefore by a theorem of Leuzinger [68] there is a uniform lower bound for $\lambda_1(\Gamma_n\backslash X)$, the first nonzero eigenvalue of the Laplacian operator on $\Gamma_n\backslash X$. Furthermore, since (μ_n) is not eventually constant the co-volumes of Γ_n must tend towards infinity by Wang's Finiteness Theorem [116, 8.1]. Theorem 4.4 then follows from the following lemma.

4.6. **Lemma.** *Let G be a semisimple Lie group, let X be its associated Riemannian symmetric space and let Γ_n be a sequence of lattices in G where the covolume of Γ_n tends to infinity and* $\inf \lambda_1(\Gamma_n\backslash X) > 0$. *Then the set $\{\mu_{\Gamma_n}\}$ is discrete.*

Proof. Assume that after passing to a subsequence, μ_{Γ_n} weakly converges to μ_Γ for some lattice Γ in G. As these measures are supported on the conjugates of their defining lattices, after conjugations and passing to a further subsequence we can assume that Γ_n converges to Γ in the Chabauty topology. By Proposition 3.3, this implies that after a suitable choice of base frames the orbifolds $Y_n = \Gamma_n\backslash X$ converge to $Y = \Gamma\backslash X$ in the (framed) Gromov-Hausdorff topology. If Y is compact then the sequence (Γ_n) is eventually constant, contradicting the fact that the co-volumes tend to infinity. Otherwise, for every $\delta > 0$ there is a subset $B_\delta \subset Y$ with

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}(Y)}{2} \leq \mathrm{vol}(B_\delta) \leq \mathrm{vol}(Y) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{vol}(\partial B_\delta) < \delta.$$

This implies that for large n, there is a subset $B_n \subset Y_n$ such that

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}(Y)}{4} \leq \mathrm{vol}(B_n) \leq 2\mathrm{vol}(Y) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{vol}(\partial B_n) < 2\delta.$$

As $\mathrm{vol}(Y_n) \to \infty$, we have $\mathrm{vol}(Y_n \setminus B_n) \to \infty$ as well. Therefore, for large n the Cheeger constant of Y_n is at most $\frac{8\delta}{\mathrm{vol}(Y)}$. As δ was arbitrary, this contradicts the uniform spectral gap condition. □

In summary, we have shown that the only possible accumulation point of the set

$$\{\mu_\Gamma \mid \Gamma \text{ is a lattice in } G\}$$

is μ_{id}. On the other hand μ_{id} is clearly an accumulation point, since, for instance, every lattice is residually finite, and if Γ_n is a normal chain of lattices with trivial intersection then obviously $\Gamma_n\backslash X$ BS-converges to X, and by Lemma 3.5, $\mu_{\Gamma_n} \to \mu_{\mathrm{id}}$. Hence we have proved Theorem 4.4. □

4.7. **Corollary.** *Let G be a semisimple Lie group with $\mathbb{R}$-rank at least* 2 *and Kazhdan's property (T) and let $(\Gamma_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence of irreducible lattices in G where the covolume of Γ_n tends to infinity. Then $\Gamma_n\backslash X$ BS-converges to X.*

As a consequence we have:

4.8. **Corollary.** *Let G and X be as above. Then for every $r > 0$ and for every sequence of X-orbifolds M_n with $vol(M_n) \to \infty$ one has*

$$\frac{vol((M_n)_{<r})}{vol(M_n)} \to 0.$$

These properties will be used in Setion 8. In particular, if $(\Gamma_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a sequence as in Theorem 1.2 then the hypotheses of §8.26 are satisfied and we can deduce Corollary 1.4 from Theorem 8.29.

We are also interested in the part of the manifold where the injectivity radius is “arbitrarily small”, i.e. smaller than a fixed, but arbitrarily small $\delta > 0$. If Margulis Injectivity Radius conjecture is true then for small enough δ there is no such part and Corollary 1.4 holds unconditionally, i.e. without the lower bound assumption on the injectivity radius, when G has real rank at least 2 and property (T). However, one do not need the full strength of Margulis' conjecture in order to obtain an unconditional version of Corollary 1.4. Namely, it is enough to obtain an explicit (and not extremely slow) estimate on the rate of decay near 0 of the function $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ defined by

$$f(r) = \sup\{\frac{\mathrm{vol}(M_{<r})}{\mathrm{vol}(M)} \ : \ M \text{ is an irreducible } X\text{-manifold}\}$$

which is well defined by Corollary 4.8 together with Wang's finiteness theorem. The following non-effective result indicates that f indeed decay to 0:

4.9. **Corollary.** *For G, X and f as above, we have* $\lim_{r\to 0} f(r) = 0$.

Proof. Assume that this is not the case. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and a sequence of irreducible lattices Γ_n in G such that for $M_n = \Gamma_n \backslash X$ we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}((M_n)_{<1/n})}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)} > \epsilon.$$

By passing to a subsequence, we can assume that μ_{Γ_n} weakly converges. Let μ be the limit measure. By our assumption, a μ-random subgroup Δ of G satisfies the following property with probability at least ϵ: Δ *admits an element γ such that the matrix norm of* $\mathrm{Ad}(\gamma)$ *is bounded between* $2\dim(G)$ *and* $4\dim(G)$. On the other hand, by Theorem 4.4 $\mu = \mu_{\mathrm{id}}$, a contradiction. □

In Section 6 we will elaborate a bit more about effective versions of Margulis' conjecture.

5. Benjamini–Schramm convergence for congruence lattices

Let G be a real simple Lie group, $X = G/K$ its associated symmetric space and let $\Gamma_0 \subset G$ be a uniform arithmetic lattice. Then there exists a simple, simply connected algebraic group $\mathbf{G}$ defined over a totally real number field k such that Γ_0 is commensurable with $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O})$, the group of integral points of $\mathbf{G}$. The *principal congruence subgroup* of Γ_0:

$$\Gamma_0(N) = \{\gamma \in \Gamma_0 \cap \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}) \ : \ \gamma \equiv \mathrm{id} \bmod N\}$$

obviously form a BS-convergent sequence of lattices in G. One may even quantify this observation:

5.1. **Lemma.** *There are constants $a > 0$ and b, depending on Γ, such that for all $N \geq 1$,*

$$\mathrm{InjRad}(\Gamma_0(N)) \geq a \log \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_0(N)\backslash X) + b.$$

Here we denote by $\mathrm{InjRad}(\Gamma)$ the infimum over $x \in \Gamma\backslash X$ of the local injectivity radii $\mathrm{InjRad}_{\Gamma\backslash G}(x)$.

The conclusion of Lemma 5.1 does not hold for general congruence lattices[4] as shown in the following example.

---

[4] That is any subgroup which contains a principal congruence subgroup.

Example. Let $\mathbf{H}$ be a k-subgroup which contains a semisimple element of $\mathbf{G}$. Consider the congruence subgroups of Γ_0:

$$\{\gamma \in \Gamma_0 \cap \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}) \ : \ \gamma \in \mathbf{H}(\mathcal{O}) \bmod N\}.$$

They form a sequence of cocompact lattices in G whose volumes tend to infinity but whose (global) injectivity radius remains bounded (in fact it becomes stationnary).

It nevertheless remains true that any sequence of distinct congruence subgroups of Γ_0 locally converges toward the trivial group. One may even prove the following quantified version of this statement:

5.2. **Theorem.** *There exist positive constants c and δ depending only on Γ_0 (and G), such that for any congruence subgroup $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_0$ and any $R > 1$ we have:*

$$\mathrm{vol}((\Gamma\backslash X)_{<R}) \leq e^{cR}\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash X)^{1-\delta}.$$

5.3. We first reduce the proof to the case where Γ_0 is some finite index subgroup of $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O})$:

Let $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_0$ be a congruence subgroup and denote by M the corresponding X-manifold $\Gamma\backslash X$. Set $\Gamma' = \Gamma \cap \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O})$ and $M' = \Gamma'\backslash X$. Let $p : M' \to M$ be the covering map and $m := [\Gamma_0 : \Gamma_0 \cap \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O})]$. Then $[\Gamma : \Gamma'] \leq m$ and p is of degree at most m so that for any $x \in M$ and $x' \in M'$ with $p(x') = x$ we have:

$$\frac{\mathrm{InjRad}_{M'}(x')}{m} \leq \mathrm{InjRad}_M(x) \leq \mathrm{InjRad}_{M_0}(x).$$

In particular:

$$\mathrm{Vol}(M_{<R}) \leq \frac{\mathrm{Vol}((M_0)_{<mR})}{[\Gamma : \Gamma']} \leq \mathrm{Vol}((M_0)_{<mR}).$$

In turn $[\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}) : \Gamma'] = [\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}) : \Gamma_0 \cap \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O})][\Gamma_0 \cap \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}) : \Gamma'] \leq [\Gamma_0 : \Gamma]m'$ where $m' = [\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}) : \Gamma_0 \cap \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O})]$. Hence by incrasing the constant c (by $m + (1-\delta)\log m'$) it is sufficient to prove Theorem 7.20 in the case when $\Gamma_0 = \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O})$ or some finite index subgroup.

The proof of Theorem 5.2 will now follow from the following:

5.4. **Theorem.** *Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a k-simple simply connected algebraic group defined over a number field k. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers in k. There exists some finite index subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O})$ and some positive constants ϵ and C (depending only on Γ and some fixed word metric on it) such that any element $g \in \Gamma - \{1\}$ fixes at most $e^{Cl(g)}N^{1-\epsilon}$ points in any transitive action of Γ on the cosets of a congruence subgroup of index N in Γ. Here $l(g)$ is the length of g w.r.t. the fixed word metric of Γ.*

We postpone the proof of Theorem 5.4 and first show how it implies Theorem 5.2

5.5. **Proof of Theorem 5.2.** According to §5.3 we may assume that Γ_0 is the finite index subgroup of $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O})$ given by Theorem 5.4. Let $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_0$ be any congruence subgroup.

Let $\Omega \subset X$ be a compact fundamental domain for the action of Γ_0 on X and let $p : M = \Gamma\backslash X \to M_0 = \Gamma_0\backslash X$ be the covering map. We will identify the elements of M (resp. M_0) with the orbits of Γ (resp. Γ_0) on X.

Suppose that $y \in M$ has $\mathrm{InjRad}_M(y) < R$. Let x be a lift of y in X, i.e. $y = \Gamma x \in \Gamma\backslash X$. We have that $d(x, \gamma x) < 2R$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Now let g be the unique element of Γ_0 such that $g^{-1}x = x_0 \in \Omega$. We have:

$$d(x, \gamma x) = d(x_0, g^{-1}\gamma x) = d(x_0, \gamma^g x_0) < 2R$$

where $\gamma^g = g^{-1}\gamma g$. Since γ^g moves the point x_0 of Ω to a point of distance at most $2R$ from it and since Ω is compact it follows that $l(\gamma^g) < C'R$ for some constant C' depending only on the choice of Ω and a generating set (fixed by the choice of the word metric in Theorem 5.4) of Γ_0.

Now, given the element $x_0 \in \Omega$ and a nontrivial $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_0$ with $l(\gamma_0) < C'R$ suppose that for some $x = gx_0 \in X$ ($g \in \Gamma_0$) there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $d(x, \gamma x) < 2R$ and $\gamma^g = \gamma_0$. Then $g^{-1}\gamma g = \gamma_0$ so that $\Gamma g = \Gamma g \gamma$. The number of Γ-equivalence classes of points $x = gx_0$ in Γx_0 as above giving rise to the same γ^0 is therefore equal to the cardinal of the set $\mathrm{fix}(\gamma_0, \Gamma_0/\Gamma)$ of fixed points of γ_0 acting on Γ_0/Γ.

Therefore

$$\mathrm{Vol}(M_{<R}) \leq \mathrm{Vol}(\Omega) \sum_{0<l(\gamma_0)<C'R} |\mathrm{fix}(\gamma_0, \Gamma_0/\Gamma)|.$$

In turn by theorem 5.4

$$|\mathrm{fix}(\gamma_0, \Gamma_0/\Gamma)| \leq e^{Cl(\gamma_0)}[\Gamma_0 : \Gamma]^{1-\epsilon}$$

and there are at most $e^{C''R}$ elements γ_0 with $0 < l(\gamma_0) < C'R$ which combine to give the desired bound for large enough c. □

5.6. The proof of Theorem 5.4 takes up the rest of this section. For simplicity of argument we first assume that $\mathbf{G}$ is absolutely simple and defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}$.

Fix a prime number p. Let $G_p = \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ be the congruence completion of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z})$ with respect to the p-adic topology. For $m \geq 1$ let $G_p(m)$ be the principal congruence subgroup mod p^m, i.e. the matrices in G_p which are congruent to the identity mod p^m. For almost all primes p we have that $G_p/G_p(1)$ is a finite simple group over $\mathbb{F}_p$ (modulo its centre) while for $i > 1$ the factors $G_p(i)/G_p(i+1)$ are all isomorphic to a simple Lie algebra L_0. Let us call these primes *good* and the complement *bad*. In all cases the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ of G is such that $\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{L}$ is a simple finite dimensional Lie algebra. Therefore there is some integer $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds:

1. $[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}] \supseteq p^\mu \mathcal{L}$, and
2. If $x \in p^n\mathcal{L} - p^{n+1}\mathcal{L}$ then the ideal generated by x contains $p^{n+\mu}\mathcal{L}$.

Define $L = \mathcal{L}/p^{1+\mu_p}\mathcal{L}$, then for n sufficiently large, say $n > \mu$ we we have that $G_p(n)/G_p(n+1+\mu) \simeq L$ and the commutator map $(x, y) \mapsto [x, y]$ from $G_p(n)/G_p(n+\mu+1) \times G_p(m)/G_p(m+\mu+1)$ to $G_p(n+m)/G_p(n+m+\mu+1)$ can be identified with the Lie bracket of L. Therefore $[G_p(n), G_p(m)] \geq G_p(n + m + \mu)$ for all $n, m > \mu$.

We set $\mu = \mu_p$ for bad primes p and set $\mu_p = 0$ for good primes p.

Let $p_1, \ldots, p_s$ be the bad primes of $\mathbb{Z}$ with associated integers $\mu_i = \mu_{p_i}$. We will prove that the principal congruence subgroup

$$\Gamma = \left\{ g \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z}) \ : \ g \equiv \mathrm{id} \bmod p_1^{2\mu_1} \cdots p_s^{2\mu_s} \right\}$$

satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 5.4. From now on we fix an element $g \in \Gamma\backslash\{1\}$.

5.7. Denote by $\Gamma(m)$ the kernel of the homomorphism $\Gamma \to \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$. For a prime p we let n_p be the integer n such that $g \in \Gamma(p^n) - \Gamma(p^{n+1})$. We have that $\prod_p p_p^n$ divides the coefficients of the matrix of $g-1$ and hence (compare lemma 5.1)

$$\prod_p p^{n_p} \leq e^{C_1 l(g)}. \tag{5.7.1}$$

for some constant C_1 depending only on Γ. Also by the definition of Γ we have that $n_p > 2\mu_p$ for all bad primes p.

Given a congruence subgroup Γ' of Γ we want to compute the *fixity ratio*

$$\alpha(g, \Gamma/\Gamma) = \frac{|\mathrm{fix}(g, \Gamma/\Gamma')|}{[\Gamma : \Gamma']}$$

of g on the right cosets of Γ' in Γ. Any congruence subgroup of Γ contains some $\Gamma(m)$. We may therefore assume that Γ' is the preimage Γ_H in Γ of a subgroup H of $\bar{\Gamma} := \Gamma/\Gamma(m)$ for some integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. And it follows from Lemma 5.1 that we are reduced to show that there exists some positive constants C and δ depending only on Γ such that

$$\alpha(g, \bar{\Gamma}/H) \leq e^{Cl(g)}[\bar{\Gamma} : H]^{-\delta}. \tag{5.7.2}$$

5.8. We have $\bar{\Gamma} = \prod_{j=1}^{s} \Gamma/\Gamma(p_j^{a_j})$ where $m = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_s^{a_s}$. Let M_{p_j} be the projection of H on to the factor $\Gamma/\Gamma(p_j^{a_j})$ of $\bar{\Gamma}$ and let $\bar{M}_{p_j}$ be the preimage of M_{p_j} back to $\bar{\Gamma}$. We have that $H \leq \prod_{j=1}^{s} \bar{M}_{p_j}$ and so

$$\alpha(g, \bar{\Gamma}/H) \leq \alpha(g, \bar{\Gamma}/\prod_{j=1}^{s} \bar{M}_{p_j}) \leq \prod_{j=1}^{s} \alpha(g, \bar{\Gamma}/\bar{M}_{p_j}). \tag{5.8.1}$$

Let $x_j = [\bar{\Gamma} : \bar{M}_{p_j}]$. Clearly $N = [\bar{\Gamma} : H] \geq x_1 \cdots x_s$.

5.9. **Lemma.** *There are positive constants δ_1, ϵ_1 depending on Γ, such that*

$$\epsilon_1 N^{\delta_1} \leq x_1 \cdots x_s.$$

Proof. Let $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma(p_1 \cdots p_s)$ then $\bar{\Gamma}_1 := \Gamma_1/\Gamma(m)$ is a finite nilpotent group and $H \cap \Gamma_1$ is the direct product of its projections onto its Sylow subgroups. Since

$$[\Gamma : H] = [\Gamma : H\Gamma_1][\Gamma_1 : H \cap \Gamma_1],$$

it is enough to prove the special case when $H = H\Gamma_1$ i.e. $H \geq \Gamma_1$. Then $H/\Gamma_1 \leq \Gamma/\Gamma_1 = \prod_{i=1}^{s} S_{p_i}$ where $S_{p_i} = \Gamma/\Gamma(p_i)$. Now S_i is a finite quasisimple group and $S_j/Z(S_j)$ is the Frattini quotient of $\Gamma/\Gamma(p_j^{a_j})$ for all but finitely many primes, see [69] Lemma 16.4.5. Therefore for all but finitely many primes we have that M_{p_j} is a proper subgroup of S_j. The group S_{p_j} is a finite quasisimple group of Lie type of bounded rank and therefore by [7] there is some $\delta_1 > 0$ depending only on $\dim \mathcal{L}$ such that for these j we have $x_j = [S_{p_j} : M_{p_j}] > |S_{p_j}|^{\delta_1}$. Since $[\Gamma : H] \leq \prod_{j=1}^{s} |S_{p_j}|$ the lemma follows with $\epsilon_1 = \prod_{j \in X} |S_{p_j}|^{-1}$ where X is the list of finitely many exceptions. $\square$

5.10. Lemma 5.9 reduces the proof of (5.7.2) to its local counterpart at each prime p. This is the content of Proposition 5.11 to follow. Here we explain how to conlude the proof of Theorem 5.4 assuming Proposition 5.11.

By Proposition 5.11 applied to $\bar{\Gamma}/\bar{M}_{p_j}$ we have that for some constants C_1 and $\delta_2 > 0$ depending only on Γ

$$\alpha(g, \bar{\Gamma}/\bar{M}_{p_j}) \leq p_j^{C_1 n_{p_j}} x_j^{-\delta_2}$$

Multiplying these for $j = 1, \ldots, s$ and using (5.8.1), (5.7.1) and Lemma 5.9 we obtain

$$\alpha(g, \bar{\Gamma}/H) \leq \prod_{j=1}^{s} p_j^{2n_j} (\prod_{j=1}^{s} x_s)^{-\delta_2} \leq e^{2C_1 l(g)} \epsilon_1^{-\delta_1} N^{-\delta_1\delta_2}.$$

By increasing C_1 we obtain the desired bound (5.7.2) and Theorem 5.4 follows. $\square$

5.11. **Proposition.** *Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $g \in G_p(n) \backslash G_p(n+1)$. If p is a bad prime assume that $n > 2\mu$.*

Define s to be the integer such that $p^{-s} < \epsilon \leq p^{1-s}$. Suppose H is an open subgroup of G_p such that the fixity of g on G_p/H is at least ϵ. Then $H \geq G_p(ms + n + 2\mu)$ and so $[G_p : H] \leq p^{(ms+n+2\mu)\dim G_p}$. Moreover the integer m is at most $\mu \dim G_p$.

Proof. Let H be an open subgroup of G_p and suppose that $g \in G_p(n) \backslash G_p(n+1)$ has at least $\epsilon[G : H]$ fixed points on G/H. We can pick m conjugates $g_1, \ldots, g_m$ of g with $m \leq C \dim G$ for some constant C such that their images $\bar{g}_i = g_i G_p(n+1)$ generate the simple G_p-module $G_p(n)/G_p(n+1)$. Of course $g_1, \ldots, g_m$ have the same fixity ratio on G/H. Therefore: there exist subsets $\bar{Y}_i$ of the cosets of G/H of sizes at least $\epsilon[G : H]$ such that $g_i^{\bar{Y}_i} \subset H$.

Now choose a big integer N — bigger than[5] $C(m+1)(s+1)$ — and such that $G_p(N) \leq H$ and let Y_i be the preimage of $\bar{Y}_i$ in $G_p/G_p(N)$, i.e. $Y_i = \bar{Y}_i/G_p(N)$ (recall that $\bar{Y}_i$ is a union of cosets of H). Thus Y_i is a subset of $G_p/G_p(N)$ of proportion at least ϵ and we have that $\langle g_i^{Y_i} \rangle \leq H$.

Now we use the following obvious fact. For a subset X of G_p let us write

$$\rho_X(k) = \frac{|XG_p(k)/G_p(k)|}{[G_p : G_p(k)]}.$$

This is the proportion of the image of X in $G_p/G_p(k)$.

5.12. **Fact.** *We have $\rho_X(k+1) \leq \rho_X(k) q_X(k)$ where*

$$q_X(k) = \max\left\{ \frac{|(aG_p(k) \cap XG_p(k+1))/G_p(k+1)|}{[G_p(k) : G_p(k+1)]} \ : \ a \in G_p/G_p(k) \right\}$$

Since $p^s > \epsilon^{-1}$, the proportion of Y_i in $G_p/G_p(N)$ is at least p^{-s}. We claim that there exists some[6] $2\mu < k \leq 2\mu + sm$ such that $q_{Y_i}(k) > 1/p$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, d$. Indeed otherwise we have that for any k in that interval $\rho_{Y_i}(k+1) < \rho_{Y_i}(k)/p$ for some $i = i(k)$ and since there are ms values of k this implies that some index $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ will show up at least s times as $i(k)$ and thus $\rho_{Y_i}(N) < p^{-s} < \epsilon$ for some i, contradiction.

---

[5] Recall that s is an integer such that $p^s > \epsilon^{-1} \geq p^{s-1}$.

[6] Recall that $\mu = \mu_p$.

Therefore there must exist some k between $2\mu+1$ and $ms+2\mu$ such that for each $i=1,\dots,m$ there is some $a_i \in G_p$ such that

$$\frac{|(a_iG_p(k) \cap Y_iG_p(k+1))/G_p(k+1)|}{[G_p(k):G_p(k+1)]} > 1/p$$

This means that the image $Y_iG_p(k+1)/G_p(k+1)$ of Y_i mod $G_p(k+1)$ contains a_iV_i for this $a_i \in G_p$ and a subset $V_i \subset G_p(k)/G_p(k+1)$ of proportion more than $1/p$ containing 1. It is clear that such a subset of $G_p(k)/G_p(k+1)$ contains a spanning set for this Frattini quotient, and is therefore a generating set for $G_p(k)$.

Now part 2 of Lemma 5.13 below gives that $\overline{\langle g_1^{Y_1}, g_2^{Y_2}, \dots, g_m^{Y_m}\rangle} \geq G_p(k+n+2\mu) \geq G_p(ms+n+4\mu)$ and consequently $H \geq G_p(ms+n+4\mu)$ whence $[G_p : H] \leq p^{(ms+n+4\mu)\dim G}$. □

5.13. **Lemma.** *Let $n,k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k>1$ and assume that $n,k>2\mu$ if p is a bad prime. Let $x_1,x_2,\dots,x_m \in G_p(n)$ be such that $\langle x_1,\dots,x_m\rangle G_p(n+\mu+1) \geq G_p(n+\mu)$.*

1. *Suppose that for $i=1,2,\dots,m$ the subset $V_i \subset G_p(k)$ contains 1 and $\langle V_i\rangle G_p(k+1) = G_p(k)$. Then for any $a_1,\dots a_m \in G_p(1)$ we have that*

   $$\langle [x_1,V_1a_1],\dots,[x_m,V_ma_m]\rangle G_p(n+k+2\mu+1) \geq G_p(n+k+2\mu).$$

2. *Now suppose that $Y_i \subset G_p(k)$ $(i=1,\dots,m)$ are m subsets such that $V_ia_i \subset Y_i$ where $V_1,\dots V_m$ are as in 1. Then the closure of $\langle x_1^{Y_1},\dots,x_m^{Y_m}\rangle$ in G_p contains $G_p(n+k+2\mu)$.*

Proof. 1. Note that for any $v_i \in V_i$ we have $[x_i, v_ia_i] = [x_i,v_i][x_i,a_i]^{v_i}$. Now $[x_i,a_i]^{v_i} = [x_i,a_i]$ mod $G_p(n+k+1)$ so that modulo $G_p(n+k+1)$ the commutator $[x_i,v_i]$ belongs to the group generated by $[x_i,V_ia_i]$. The claim then follows from proposition 5.14 for good primes and by proposition 5.15 for bad primes which we state and prove below.

2. From the assumption on Y it follows that $[x_i,V_ia_i] \subset \langle x_i^{Y_i}\rangle$ and so by part 1 the group $\langle x_1^{Y_1},\dots,x_m^{Y_m}\rangle$ in G_p contains $G_p(n+k+2\mu)$ modulo $G_p(n+k+2\mu+1)$. It remains to note that $G_p(n+k+2\mu+1)$ is the Frattini subgroup of $G_p(n+k+2\mu)$ [69] Corollary 16.4.6. □

5.14. **Proposition.** (1) *Let L be a perfect Lie algebra and suppose that $x_1,\dots x_k \in L$ span L as a vector space. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k [x_i, L] = L.$$

(2) *If $y_1,\dots y_k$ are generators of a group T acting on an $\mathbb{F}_p[T]$- module W such that $W = W(G-1)$ then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k W(1-y_i) = W.$$

Proof. This is immediate. □

5.15. **Proposition.** (1) *Let $x \in G_n - G_{n+1}$ and assume $n \geq 1$ if p is a bad prime. Then some $m < \mu\dim G$ conjugates of x generate a group U such that $UG_{n+\mu+1} \geq G_{n+\mu}$.*

(2) *Let* $X, Y \subset G$ *be such that* $G_n \geq \langle X\rangle G_{n+\mu+1} \geq G_{n+\mu}$ *and* $\langle Y\rangle G_{k+1} = G_k$. *Assume that* $n, k > 2\mu$. *Then* $\langle [X,Y]\rangle G_{n+k+2\mu+1} \geq G_{n+k+2\mu}$.

Proof. 1. By the choice of μ the closure of $x^G \subset G_n$ contains $G_{n+\mu}$. Now $G_n/G_{n+\mu+1}$ has size $p^{(\mu+1)\dim G}$ and so we can select at most $(\mu+1)\dim G$ conjugates of x which generate $\langle x^G\rangle G_{n+\mu+1} \geq G_{n+\mu}$.

2. Consider the map $G_n/G_{n+2\mu+1} \times G_k/G_{k+2\mu+1} \to G_{n+k}/G_{n+k+2\mu+1}$ induced by $(a,b) \mapsto [a,b]$. The factor groups $G_n/G_{n+2\mu+1}$ and $G_k/G_{k+2\mu+1}$ are abelian and hence the image of $[X,Y]$ generates $[\langle X\rangle, \langle Y\rangle]$ mod $G_{k+n+2\mu+1}$ which contains

$$\left[\frac{G_{n+\mu}}{G_{n+2\mu+1}}, \frac{G_k}{G_{k+\mu+1}}\right] \geq \frac{G_{n+k+2\mu}}{G_{n+k+2\mu+1}}$$

by the choice of μ. □

Remark. Let Γ be an arithmetic lattice that has the Congruence Subgroup Property and also satisfies Strong Approximation. Then one can prove uniform BS-convergence for finite index subgroups of Γ without having to use Theorem 1.5. Indeed, let Γ_n be a sequence of finite index subgroups of Γ with index tending to infinity. Assume the associated IRS's do not converge to 1. Then a subsequential weak limit converges elsewhere, call this limit μ. Now by the Congruence Subgroup Property, the Γ_n are congruence subgroups and hence μ is supported on congruence closed subgroups of Γ. Let H be a μ-random subgroup. Then by Theorem 2.6, H is Zariski dense. By Strong Approximation, the congruence closure of H, which is equal to H, has finite index in Γ. This is impossible, since the index of H_n tends to infinity.

6. Some speculations around Margulis' injectivity radius conjecture

Recall that by a conjecture of Margulis, the set of all torsion-free irreducible uniform lattices in a high rank semisimple Lie group G is uniformly discrete. Equivalently, all irreducible compact manifolds modeled on $X = G/K$ have at every point a local injectivity radius which is uniformly bounded away from zero. Corollary 4.8 may be thought of a probabilistic "evidence" towards this conjecture. Indeed, it states that the proportion of the r-"thin" part — which, by the conjecture, is empty for r sufficiently small — approaches zero as volume tends to infinity. A different approach to the conjecture is to consider the number of "short geodesics". By the conjecture, there exists a constant $\tau = \tau(X) > 0$ such that there are no closed geodesics of length $< \tau$ in any irreducible compact manifolds modeled on X. As a step in this direction we would like to prove that there is a constant $\tau > 0$ so that the number of closed geodesics of length shorter that τ in a sequence of X-manifolds grows sublinearly with the volume. Before addressing this simpler question we first discuss the stronger:

6.1. **Conjecture.** *There exist some positive constants* ε *and* α *such that*

$$\sup_{\Gamma\,:\,\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash X)\leq V} \#\{\{\gamma\}_\Gamma \,:\, \gamma \in \Gamma,\ \min d_\gamma \leq \varepsilon\} \ll V^{1-\alpha}.$$

Here Γ *varies among* arithmetic *lattices,* $\{\gamma\}_\Gamma$ *is the conjugacy class of* Γ *in* Γ *and* $d_\gamma : x \mapsto d(\gamma x, x)$ *is the displacement function of* γ.

Remark. The proof of Conjecture 6.1 would suffice to get rid of the hypothesis on the injectivity radius in Corollary 1.4.

6.2. Assume that G is adjoint. Let Γ_0 be a torsion-free uniform arithmetic lattice in G. Then there is a compact extension $G \times L$, a $\mathbb{Q}$-rational structure on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{l}$ and some choice of $\mathbb{Q}$-basis of $(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{l})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that Γ_0 is the projection of a lattice Γ in $G \times L$ contained in

$$(G \times L)_{\mathbb{Z}} := (G \times L) \cap \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

The characteristic polynomial p_γ of any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is a monic integral polynomial of degree $n = d \dim G$ where d is the degree of the field of definition of Γ.

We first recall some general definitions and facts on monic integral polynomials.

6.3. **Monic integral polynomials.** Let p be a monic integral polynomial of degree n and let

$$p(x) = (x - \alpha_1) \dots (x - \alpha_n)$$

be its factorization into linear factors over $\mathbb{C}$. Denote by $m(p)$ its *exponential Mahler measure*

$$m(p) = \prod_{|\alpha_i| > 1} |\alpha_i|.$$

The following is known as Lehmer's conjecture.

6.4. **Conjecture.** *There exists a constant $\eta > 0$ such that if $p(x)$ is an integral monic polynomial with $m(p) \neq 1$, then $m(p) > 1 + \eta$.*

As explained in [55, §10], for every positive η there exists a positive ε such that

$$\{g \in G \ : \ g \text{ is semisimple and } \min d_g \leq \varepsilon\} \subset \{g \in G \ : \ m(p_g) < 1 + \eta\}. \tag{6.4.1}$$

In particular: Lehmer's conjecture implies Margulis' conjecture and therefore Conjecture 6.1.

Lehmer's conjecture is wide open but some good bounds on $m(p)$ are known that allow to bound many arithmetic quantities related to p. In the next paragraphs we relate these bounds to Conjecture 6.1.

We first bound the number of distinct $(G \times L)$-conjugacy classes $\{\gamma\}_{G \times L}$ in Γ which correspond to geodesics of short length:

6.5. **Proposition.** *There exist some positive constants ε, C and a such that*

$$\#\{\{\gamma\}_{G \times L} \ : \ \min d_\gamma \leq \varepsilon\} \leq C^{d\left(1 + a \frac{\log \log d}{\log d}\right)}.$$

Proof. Any semisimple element $g \in G \times L$ can be conjugated into a diagonal matrix, its conjugacy class is then determined by its eigenvalues and therefore by its characteristic polynomial. Now the characteristic polynomial of any element $\gamma \in \Gamma \subset (G \times L)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a monic integral polynomial p_γ of degree $n = d \dim G$. We can therefore apply the following lemma which is due to Dubickas and Konyagin [48].

6.6. **Lemma.** *There exists some constant $\theta > 1$ such that the number of integer polynomials of degree n and Mahler measure at most θ is bounded by*

$$\theta^{n\left(1 + 16 \frac{\log \log n}{\log n}\right)}.$$

Taking $\eta = \theta - 1$ in (6.4.1) we conclude that

$$\#\{\{\gamma\}_{G \times L} \ : \ \min d_\gamma \leq \varepsilon\} \leq \theta^{n\left(1 + 16 \frac{\log \log n}{\log n}\right)}.$$

And the proposition follows by setting $C = \theta^{\dim G}$. $\square$

According to the Prasad volume formula [23], as the field of definition K of Γ varies, the main term in the asymptotic behavior of the volume of $\Gamma\backslash X$ is $D_K^{\frac{1}{2}\dim G}$. Now recall Minkowski's bound:

$$\frac{d^d}{d!}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{d/2} \leq D_K^{1/2}. \tag{6.6.1}$$

From this and Proposition 6.5 we may easily conclude that there exist some positive ε and α such that

$$\sup_{\Gamma\,:\,\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash X)\leq V} \#\{\{\gamma\}_{G\times L}\ :\ \gamma\in\Gamma,\ \min d_\gamma \leq \varepsilon\} \ll V^{1-\alpha}.$$

To prove Conjecture 6.1 it would therefore remain to bound the number of different Γ–conjugacy classes in a fixed $(G\times L)$-conjugacy class. This is a class number and — as usual with those — it is harder to get a good general bound. Even in simple cases what we manage to prove ended to fail just short to prove Conjecture 6.1. We leave to more competent people the task of proving Conjecture 6.1 using such techniques. Instead, in the following paragraphs we prove sublinear growth of the number of closed geodesics of small length by bootstrapping the proofs of known results that bound the number of short geodesics by the volume of a manifold. We first exemplify this in a simple case.

6.7. **Proposition.** *Let X be a rank 1 Riemannian symmetric space. There exists a constant $\tau=\tau(X)>0$ such that for any sequence of X-manifolds $M_n=\Gamma_n\backslash X$ that BS-converges to X,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\#\{\text{ simple closed geodesics of length } < \tau \text{ in } M_n\ \}}{vol(M_n)}=0.$$

The proposition follows from Corollary 4.8 and the thick thin decomposition; Indeed, if $\epsilon(X)$ is the Margulis constant, the thin component of every closed geodesic of length $\leq \epsilon(X)/2$ contains an injected $\epsilon(X)/4$-ball (c.f. the proof of [8, Lemma 10.4]). Thus the number of simple closed geodesics of length $<\epsilon(X)/2$ in M_n is bounded by $C\cdot \mathrm{vol}((M_n)_{\leq\epsilon(X)})$ and we can address 4.8. □

In the higher rank case, the set of "short geodesics" is considerably more complicated. In the rank one case, each hyperbolic element has a unique geodesic axis whose projection to $\Gamma\backslash X$ is a closed geodesic. However, if $\mathrm{rank}(X)\geq 2$, a hyperbolic isometry γ has many axes which are parallel. These project to infinitely many closed geodesics of the same length. In fact, the set of minimal translation of γ, which we denote $\mathrm{Min}(\gamma)$, is isometric to a product $Z\times\mathbb{R}$ (with Z non-empty), and the action of γ is a translation on $\mathbb{R}$ and is trivial on Z. Thus, instead of "short geodesics" we are compelled to consider minimal translation sets, i.e. sets of the form $\mathrm{Min}(\gamma)$, and their projection to $\Gamma\backslash X$. We denote by $\widehat{\mathrm{Min}}(\gamma)$ the projection of $\mathrm{Min}(\gamma)$ to $\Gamma\backslash X$. We remark that strict containment, as well as non-trivial intersections, are possible among these sets.

6.8. **Theorem.** *Let X be a symmetric space of noncompact type. There exists a constant $\tau=\tau(X)>0$ such that the following holds. For every manifold $M=\Gamma\backslash X$ there exists a finite set $\Delta(M)\subset\Gamma$ (canonically defined up to conjugation of elements) such that every closed geodesic of length $<\tau$ in M is contained in $\bigcup_{\gamma\in\Delta(M)}\widehat{Min}(\gamma)$. Furthermore, if $M_n=\Gamma_n\backslash X$ is a sequence of manifolds that*

BS-converges to X then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\#\Delta(M_n)}{vol(M_n)} = 0.$$

The proof is based on the proof of Theorem 12.11 in [8] in conjunction with Corollary 4.8. We introduce some notation required to formulate this theorem.

A hyperbolic isometry γ is called J-stable ($J \in \mathbb{N}$) if $\mathrm{Min}(\gamma) = \mathrm{Min}(\gamma^i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, J$. The next lemma asserts that every isometry can be "stabilized" by taking some bounded power of it.

6.9. **Lemma** (Corollary 12.5 in [8])**.** *For every $J \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $J^* \in \mathbb{N}$ (depending on J and X) so that if γ is a hyperbolic isometry of X then γ^i is J-stable for some $1 \le i \le J^*$.*

If γ is a J-stable hyperbolic isometry and $\min d_\gamma < \delta$, we say that $\mathrm{Min}(\gamma)$ is a (J, δ)-stable submanifold[7]. Two such submanifolds, $\mathrm{Min}(\gamma)$ and $\mathrm{Min}(\gamma')$, are said to be Γ-equivalent if $\widehat{\mathrm{Min}(\gamma)} = \widehat{\mathrm{Min}(\gamma')}$ or, equivalently, if γ and γ' are conjugate in Γ.

In [8], an intricate notion of measuring volume, denoted $\varepsilon{-}\mathrm{ess}^a{-}\mathrm{vol}$, is being used. It is a discrete measurement of volume which disregards certain artifacts which arise from Euclidean factors. This measurement is applied to quotients of submanifolds of X, which are no longer X-manifolds. We will make use of two properties:

1. $\varepsilon{-}\mathrm{ess}^a{-}\mathrm{vol}$ of any manifold is at least 1.
2. There is a constant $C = C(X)$ such that $\varepsilon{-}\mathrm{ess}^a{-}\mathrm{vol}(M) \le C \cdot \mathrm{vol}(M)$ for any X-manifold M.

Finally, recall that by the Margulis Lemma, there exist constants $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon(X) > 0$ (depending on X) such for every discrete group of isometries Δ, if Δ is generated by $\{\gamma \in \Delta \,:\, d(x, \gamma x) < \epsilon(X)\}$ then Δ contains a nilpotent subgroup of index at most m.

6.10. **Theorem** (Theorem 12.11 of [8])**.** *Let $J \ge m$, $0 < \delta < \epsilon(X)/2$ (where m and $\epsilon(X)$ are the constants of the Margulis Lemma). For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon'(\varepsilon, X) > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_i \varepsilon{-}\mathrm{ess}^a{-}\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_{Y_i}\backslash Y_i) \le \varepsilon'{-}\mathrm{ess}^a{-}\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash X), \tag{6.10.1}$$

where the sum is taken over a set of non Γ-equivalent (J, δ)-stable submanifolds of X. Here, Γ_Y denotes the stabilizer of Y in Γ, and a is a constant depending on X, J and δ.

Proof of Theorem 6.8. With the notation of Theorem 6.10, take $J = m$ and $\delta = \epsilon(X)/4$. Fix $\tau = \delta/J^*$ (note that τ depends on X alone). For a manifold $M = \Gamma\backslash X$, let

$$\tilde{\Delta}(M) = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \,:\, \gamma \text{ is hyperbolic and } (J, \delta)\text{-stable}\}$$

and obtain $\Delta(M)$ by choosing a single element in every conjugacy class of elements in $\tilde{\Delta}(M)$.

---

[7] The definition in [8] is broader and also includes intersections of such minimal translation sets, but this is not necessary for stating the result and its ramifications.

Suppose c is a closed geodesic of length $< \tau$ in M, and let $\tilde{c}$ be a lift of c to X. Then there is a hyperbolic element $\alpha \in \Gamma$ that has $\tilde{c}$ as an axis, and $\min d_\alpha < \tau$. By Lemma 6.9, α^i is J-stable for some $1 \leq i \leq J^*$. Note that

$$\min d_{\alpha^i} \leq J^* \min d_\alpha < \delta.$$

Hence, $\mathrm{Min}(\alpha^i)$ is a (J, δ)-stable submanifold, and — by replacing α with a conjugate — we may assume $\alpha^i \in \Delta(M)$. It follows that

$$c \subseteq \widehat{\mathrm{Min}}(\alpha) \subseteq \widehat{\mathrm{Min}}(\alpha^i) \subseteq \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Delta(M)} \widehat{\mathrm{Min}}(\gamma).$$

This establishes the first assertion regarding $\Delta(M)$.

Observe that the family of submanifolds $\mathrm{Min}(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in \Delta(M)$ is a family of (J, δ)-stable submanifolds that are not Γ-equivalent. Therefore, by (6.10.1),

$$\#\Delta(M) \leq C \cdot \mathrm{vol}(M),$$

where C is the comparison constant given in (2) above.

It remains to prove the assertion about the sublinear growth of $\#\Delta(M_n)$ with respect to $\mathrm{vol}(M_n)$ whenever $M_n = \Gamma_n \backslash X$ is a sequence that BS-converges to X. Here we appeal to the proof of Theorem 6.10. We will recapitulate the steps of the proof, and refer to [8] for details.

Making use of finite dimensionality, we may assume that $\mathrm{Min}(\alpha)$ is maximal for every $\alpha \in \Delta(M)$. Let $Z = X$ and $Y = \mathrm{Min}(\alpha)$ be maximal in X. Y has an isometric decomposition as product $Y' \times \mathbb{R}^k$, $k \geq 0$ (which may be coarser than the De Rham decomposition, but the details are irrelevant here), and the cardinality of a certain set of points in Y' is exactly $\varepsilon{-}\mathrm{ess}^a{-}\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_Y \backslash Y)$. In fact, for our needs, we just need to consider one of these points, because we are merely counting submanifolds rather than bounding their volumes.

Starting with a point $y' \in Y'$, one chooses a corresponding point $y = (y', y'')$ in Y. Then, the proof assigns to y a point $z \in Z = X$ on a geodesic emanating from y perpendicularly to Y, such that every element of Γ translates it by at least $\epsilon(X)/2$, and one element translates it by exactly $\epsilon(X)/2$. In other words, it projects to a point in $\Gamma \backslash X$ at which the injectivity radius is exactly $\epsilon(X)/4$.

Finally, one considers the collection of balls of radius $\epsilon(X)/4$ (this is the constant $\varepsilon_4/2$ appearing in [8] for the general case) around the points z such obtained. Each of these balls injects to $\Gamma \backslash X$ and it is proven that each projected ball intersects at most $2^{\dim X}$ other balls (this is the statement numbered (3)). The proof in [8] proceeds with the induction to find the constant ε' and deduce the inequality (6.10.1).

Since we are only considering maximal submanifolds, we may stop at this stage and reflect on the proof. As in the proof of Theorem 6.7, the projected balls that we have obtained are contained in the region of M where the injectivity radius is at most $3\epsilon(X)/4$. It follows that the number of maximal (J, δ)-stable submanifolds is bounded by $\frac{1}{2^{\dim X}} \mathrm{vol}((M)_{\leq 3\epsilon(X)/4})$. The theorem follows at once from Corollary 4.8. ☐

7. SPECTRAL APPROXIMATION FOR LOCALLY CONVERGENT SEQUENCES OF LATTICES

Let G be a connected center free semisimple Lie group. We let $\widehat{G}$ be the unitary dual of G, i.e. the set of equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations of G, endowed with the Fell topology, see e.g. [15, §2.2]. We fix once and for all a Haar measure on G.

Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(G)$. If $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ then

$$\pi(\phi) := \int_G \phi(g)\pi(g)dg : \mathcal{H}_\pi \to \mathcal{H}_\pi$$

is a bounded operator of trace class. We denote by

$$\widehat{\phi} : \pi \mapsto \text{trace } \pi(\phi)$$

the (scalar) Fourier transform on $\widehat{G}$.

7.1. **Topology of $\widehat{G}$.** As a topological space $\widehat{G}$ is not separated. It is somewhat easier to work with the set $\Theta(G)$ of *infinitesimal characters* of G, that is the set of characters of the center $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ of the universal envelopping algebra of G.

Fix MAN a minimal parabolic subgroup of G and a corresponding real vector space

$$\mathfrak{h}_0 = i\mathfrak{b}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{a}_0$$

where $\mathfrak{b}_0$ is a Cartan subalgebra of the compact Lie group $K \cap M$. The space $\mathfrak{h}_0$ can be identified with a split Cartan subalgebra of a split inner form of G. In particular the complex Weyl group W of G acts on $\mathfrak{h}_0$. We fix a positive definite, W-invariant inner product $(\cdot,\cdot)$ on $\mathfrak{h}_0$.

The infinitesimal character of an irreducible representation $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ is represented by a W-orbit θ_π in the complex dual space $\mathfrak{h}^*$ of $\mathfrak{h}_0$. It satisfies

$$\pi(zf) = \langle h(z), \theta_\pi \rangle \pi(f), \quad (z \in Z(\mathfrak{g}),\ f \in C_c^\infty(G)),$$

where $h : Z(\mathfrak{g}) \to S(\mathfrak{h})^W$ is the isomorphism of Harish-Chandra, from $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ onto the algebra of W-invariant polynomial on $\mathfrak{h}^*$.

According to the classical Paley-Wiener theorem, the adjoint Fourier transform[8] $\alpha \mapsto \widehat{\alpha}(\theta)$ is an isomorphism from the convolution algebra of W-invariant, compactly supported distributions on $\mathfrak{h}_0$ onto the algebra of entire, W-invariant functions on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ of exponential type that are slowly increasing on cylinders

$$\{\theta \in \mathfrak{h}^* \ :\ ||\mathrm{Re}(\theta)|| \le r\}, \quad (r \ge 0).$$

The subalgebra $C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{h}_0)^W$ is mapped into the subalgebra $\mathcal{A}(G)$ of functions $\widehat{\alpha}$ that are rapidly decreasing on cylinders.

The map

$$\widehat{G} \to \Theta(G) \tag{7.1.1}$$

which maps $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ onto its infinitesimal character θ_π is continuous with respect to the Fell topology. See [104, Lem. 3.4] for a more precise description of the topological space $\widehat{G}$ w.r.t. this map.

The Paley-Wiener theorem of Clozel and Delorme [36] — see also [43, Thm. 1.9] — implies the following:

---

[8] In otherwords;

$$\widehat{\alpha}(\theta) = \int_{\mathfrak{h}_0} \alpha(H) e^{\theta(H)} dH,$$

in case α is a function.

7.2. **Lemma.** *Let* $f \in \mathcal{A}(G)$ *then there exists* $\phi \in C_c^\infty(G)$ *such that*

$$\widehat{\phi}(\pi) = f(\theta_\pi) \quad (\pi \in \widehat{G}).$$

We will use functions $\widehat{\phi}$ ($\phi \in C_c^\infty(G)$) as test functions on $\widehat{G}$. We denote by ν a continuous linear form on the space

$$\mathcal{F}(G) = \{\widehat{\phi} \, : \, \phi \in C_c^\infty(G)\}$$

of scalar Fourier transform of functions in $C_c^\infty(G)$. Using the map (7.1.1) we may push ν onto a continuous linear form ν^* on $\mathcal{A}(G)$. Note that the natural involution $\phi \mapsto \widetilde{\phi}$, where

$$\widetilde{\phi}(g) = \overline{\phi(g^{-1})},$$

on $C_c^\infty(G)$ induces an involution $f \mapsto f^*$ on $\mathcal{A}(G)$. This in turn induces an involution $\theta \mapsto \widetilde{\theta}$ so that $f^*(\theta) = \overline{f(\widetilde{\theta})}$. Note that the image of the map (7.1.1) is contained in the set

$$\Theta_h(G) = \{\theta \in \Theta(G) \, : \, \widetilde{\theta} = \theta\}$$

of Hermitian infinitesimal characters. As the fixed point set of a continuous involution $\Theta_h(G)$ is a locally compact topological space and we have the following:

7.3. **Lemma.** *If* ν *is a continuous linear form on the space* $\mathcal{F}(G)$ *then* ν^* *extends as a Radon measure on* $\Theta_h(G)$.

Proof. After restriction, we may abusively consider $\mathcal{A}(G)$ as a subalgebra of $C_0(\Theta_h(G))$ — the set of complex valued continuous functions on $\Theta_h(G)$ which vanish at infinity. Then the involution $f \mapsto f^*$ is just complex conjugation. And since the subalgebra $\mathcal{A}(G) \subset C_0(\Theta_h(G))$ obviously separates point and vanish nowhere, the Stone-Weierstrass theorem implies that $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is dense in $C_0(\Theta_h(G))$ w.r.t. uniform convergence topology. The continuous linear form ν^* therefore extends to a Radon measure on $\Theta_h(G)$. □

As for $\widehat{G}$, we say that a Borel measure $\widetilde{\nu}$ extends the continuous linear form ν if ν and $\widetilde{\nu}$ agree on $\mathcal{F}(G)$ and if for every relatively quasi-compact $\widetilde{\nu}$-regular subset $S \subset \widehat{G}$[9] and for every positive ε, there exist $\phi, \psi \in C_c^\infty(G)$ such that

$$|1_S - \widehat{\phi}| \leq \widehat{\psi} \text{ and } \nu(\widehat{\psi}) \leq \varepsilon.$$

7.4. We now consider a discrete subgroup $\Lambda \subset G$ and denote by ρ_Λ the natural representation of G in the space $L^2(\Lambda \backslash G)$.

Given $f \in L^2(\Lambda \backslash G)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\rho_\Lambda(\phi)f)(x) &= \int_G \phi(y) f(xy) dy \\
&= \int_G \phi(x^{-1}y) f(y) dy \\
&= \int_{\Lambda \backslash G} \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \phi(x^{-1}\lambda y) \right) f(y) dy.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that the kernel of $\rho_\Lambda(\phi)$ is

$$K_\Lambda^\phi(x,y) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \phi(x^{-1}\lambda y), \quad (x, y \in \Lambda \backslash G).$$

---

[9] Here $\widetilde{\nu}$-regularity means that $\widetilde{\nu}(S) = \widetilde{\nu}(\overline{S})$.

The sum over Λ is finite for any x and y, since it may be taken over the intersection of the discrete group Λ with the compact subset $x\mathrm{supp}(\phi)y^{-1} \subset G$.

7.5. **The measure associated to a uniform lattice.** Suppose that $\Lambda = \Gamma$ is a uniform lattice. Then ρ_Γ is a direct sum of representations $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ occuring with finite multiplicities $\mathrm{m}(\pi, \Gamma)$. The measure

$$\nu_\Gamma = \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma \backslash G)} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \mathrm{m}(\pi, \Gamma) \delta_\pi$$

is — up to the factor $\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)^{-1}$ — the Plancherel measure of $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)$ and if $\phi \in C_c^\infty(G)$ we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace } \rho_\Gamma(\phi) &= \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \mathrm{m}(\pi, \Gamma) \text{trace } \pi(\phi) \\ &= \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G) \nu_\Gamma(\widehat{\phi}). \end{aligned}$$

So that:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_\Gamma(\widehat{\phi}) &= \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)} \int_{\Gamma\backslash G} K_\Gamma^\phi(x,x) dx \\ &= \int_{\Lambda \in \mathrm{Sub}_G} K_\Lambda^\phi(\mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id}) d\mu_\Gamma(\Lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Where the last equality follows from the fact that $K^\phi_{g\Lambda g^{-1}}(x,y) = K^\phi_\Lambda(g^{-1}x, g^{-1}y)$.

7.6. **Local spectral measure of $\Lambda\backslash G$ rooted at** [id]**.** In general $\Lambda\backslash G$ is non compact and the integral $\int_{\Lambda\backslash G} K_\Lambda^\phi(x,x)dx$ is not well-defined. But the class [id] of the identity element $\mathrm{id} \in G$ gives a prefered root in $\Lambda\backslash G$ and we may still define a continuous linear form $\nu_{\Lambda,\mathrm{id}}$ on $\mathcal{F}(G)$ by:

$$\nu_{\Lambda,\mathrm{id}}(\widehat{\phi}) = K_\Lambda^\phi(\mathrm{id},\mathrm{id}) \quad (\phi \in C_c^\infty(G)).$$

Note that the map

$$\Lambda \mapsto \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \phi(\lambda)$$

is continuous on the subspace of Sub_G which consists of discrete subgroups. Given any discrete IRS μ we can therefore define the expected spectral linear form of the IRS μ as

$$\nu_\mu(\widehat{\phi}) = \int_{\Lambda \in \mathrm{Sub}_G} \nu_{\Lambda,\mathrm{id}}(\widehat{\phi}) d\mu(\Lambda).$$

7.7. It is not clear to us if $\nu_{\Lambda,\mathrm{id}}$ and ν_μ may be extended as Borel measures on the unitary dual $\widehat{G}$. It nevertheless follows from Lemma 7.3 that $\nu_{\Lambda,\mathrm{id}}^*$ and ν_μ^* extend as Radon measure on the space of Hermitian infinitesimal characters $\Theta_h(G)$. We will refer to ν_μ^* as the *expected spectral measure* of the IRS μ.

Note that when $\Lambda = \Gamma$ is a uniform lattice then the measure ν_Γ extends the expected spectral linear form ν_{μ_Γ} of the IRS μ_Γ associated to Γ.

We finally note that the expected spectral linear form $\nu_{\mu_{\mathrm{id}}}$ associated to the IRS supported on the identity coincides with the Plancherel measure[10] ν^G of G on

---

[10] Note that ν^G depends on a choice of a Haar measure on G: if the Haar measure is multiplies by a scalar c then ν^G is multiplied by c^{-1}. In any case the Plancherel formula proved by Harish-Chandra says that for any $\phi \in C_c^\infty(G)$, we have $\nu^G(\widehat{\phi}) = \phi(\mathrm{id})$.

$\mathcal{F}(G)$:

$$\nu_{\mu_{\mathrm{id}}}(\widehat{\phi}) = \phi(\mathrm{id}).$$

It therefore follows from the density principle proved in [104, Thm. 7.3(b)] — see also [108, Appendix A] for some corrections — that the Plancherel measure of G extends $\nu_{\mu_{\mathrm{id}}}$.[11]

7.8. **Expected spectral measure and local convergence.** We say that a sequence $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots$ of discrete IRS of G is *uniformly discrete* if there exists some positive r such that:

$$\forall \Lambda \in \overline{\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{supp}(\mu_i)} \quad \Lambda \cap \mathrm{B}_G(\mathrm{id}, r) = \{\mathrm{id}\}.$$

(Individually such an IRS μ_i will be said to be r-discrete.)

Example. Let $(\Gamma_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a uniformly discrete sequence of uniform lattices in G. Then the sequence $(\mu_{\Gamma_n})_{n\geq 1}$ is uniformly discrete.

7.9. **Proposition.** *Suppose that $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots$ is a uniformly discrete sequence of IRS's of G which converges weakly toward μ_∞. Then the sequence of expected spectral linear forms ν_{μ_i} converges weakly to the expected spectral linear form ν_{μ_∞}.*

Proof. Set $\nu_i = \nu_{\mu_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$. Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(G)$ we want to prove that:

$$\lim_{i\to+\infty} \nu_i(\widehat{\phi}) = \nu_\infty(\widehat{\phi}).$$

Since the sequence $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots$ is uniformly discrete, there exists a compactly supported function F_ϕ on Sub_G such that

$$F_\phi(\Lambda) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \phi(\lambda) & \text{if } \Lambda \in \overline{\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{supp}(\mu_i)} \\ 0 & \text{if } \Lambda \text{ is not discrete.} \end{cases}$$

Since μ_i converges weakly to μ_∞ we get that:

$$\nu_i(\widehat{\phi}) = \int_{\mathrm{Sub}_G} F_\phi d\mu_i \to \int_{\mathrm{Sub}_G} F_\phi d\mu_\infty = \nu_\infty(\widehat{\phi}).$$

□

As a corollary we get the following:

7.10. **Theorem.** *Let $(\Gamma_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a uniformly discrete sequence of uniform lattices in G such that $\Gamma_n \backslash X$ BS-converges to X. Then for every relatively compact ν^G-regular open subset $S \subset \widehat{G}$ or $S \subset \widehat{G}_{\mathrm{temp}}$, the sequence of measures $(\nu_{\Gamma_n})_{n\geq 1}$ is such that:*

$$\nu_{\Gamma_n}(S) \to \nu^G(S).$$

---

[11] Precisely Sauvageot proves that ν^G extends $\nu_{\mu_{\mathrm{id}}}$ as a linear form on the space $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ of bounded ν^G-measurable functions f on $\widehat{G}$ such that the support of f has compact image in the space of infinitesimal character via the map (7.1.1) and such that for every Levi subgroup M of G and every discrete series σ of M, the function $\chi \mapsto f(\mathrm{ind}_M^G(\sigma\otimes\chi))$ on "unramified" unitary characters of M (see [104, §3]) has the property that its discontinuous points are contained in a measure zero set. Here by definition $f(\mathrm{ind}_M^G(\sigma\otimes\chi))$ is the sum of $f(\sigma')$ as σ' runs over the irreducible subquotients of the (normalized) induced representation $\mathrm{ind}_M^G(\sigma\otimes\chi)$ with multiplicity (any such subquotient σ' is unitary). The space $\mathcal{F}$ is contained in $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ but there are many more functions in the latter: any characteristic function of a ν^G-regular open subset $S \subset \widehat{G}$ or $S \subset \widehat{G}_{\mathrm{temp}}$ belongs to $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$, see [104, Lemma 7.2].

Proof. Set $\mu_n = \mu_{\Gamma_n}$ and $\nu_n = \nu_{\mu_{\Gamma_n}}$. It follows from the hypotheses that $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots$ is a uniformly discrete sequence of IRS's of G which converges weakly toward μ_{id}. Proposition 7.9 therefore implies that the sequence of expected spectral linear forms ν_n converges weakly to the expected spectral linear form $\nu_{\mu_{\mathrm{id}}}$.

We first recall from §7.7 that ν_{Γ_n} extends ν_n and ν^G extends $\nu_{\mu_{\mathrm{id}}}$. Now let $S \subset \widehat{G}$, or $S \subset \widehat{G}_{\mathrm{temp}}$, be a relatively compact open subset which is regular w.r.t. the Plancherel measure of G (i.e. $\nu^G(S) = \nu^G(\overline{S})$). And let ε be a positive real number. Since ν^G extends $\nu_{\mu_{\mathrm{id}}}$, there exist $\phi, \psi \in C_c^\infty(G)$ such that

$$|1_S - \widehat{\phi}| \leq \widehat{\psi} \text{ and } \nu^G(\widehat{\psi}) \leq \varepsilon.$$

We conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\nu_{\Gamma_n}(S) - \nu^G(S)| &\leq \nu_{\Gamma_n}(\widehat{\psi}) + |\nu_{\Gamma_n}(\widehat{\phi}) - \nu^G(\widehat{\phi})| + \nu^G(\widehat{\psi}) \\
&\leq |\nu_n(\widehat{\psi}) - \nu_{\mu_{\mathrm{id}}}(\widehat{\psi})| + 2\nu^G(\widehat{\psi}) + |\nu_n(\widehat{\phi}) - \nu_{\mu_{\mathrm{id}}}(\widehat{\phi})| \\
&\leq 4\varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large n. □

A similar reasoning implies the following:

7.11. **Theorem.** *Suppose that $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots$ is a uniformly discrete sequence of IRS's of G which converges weakly toward μ_∞. Then the sequence of expected spectral measures $\nu^*_{\mu_i}$ converges weakly to the expected spectral measure $\nu^*_{\mu_\infty}$.*

Proof. That $\nu^*_{\mu_i}$ and $\nu^*_{\mu_\infty}$ are indeed measures follows from lemma 7.3 and Proposition 7.9 implies that if $f \in \mathcal{A}(G) \subset C_0(\Theta_h(G))$ then:

$$\nu^*_{\mu_i}(f) \to \nu^*_{\mu_\infty}(f).$$

Since $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is dense in $C_0(\Theta_h(G))$ w.r.t. uniform convergence topology the theorem follows. □

Theorem 7.10 implies the following:

7.12. **Corollary** (Pointwise convergence)**.** *Let $(\Gamma_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a uniformly discrete sequence of uniform lattices in G such that $\Gamma_n \backslash X$ BS-converges to X. Then:*

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \nu_{\Gamma_n}(\{\pi\}) = \nu^G(\{\pi\})$$

for every $\pi \in \widehat{G}$.

Note that $d(\pi) := \nu^G(\{\pi\})$ is 0 unless π is square integrable (i.e. is a discrete series) in which case it is the formal degree of π — see [39, Theorem 6.2].

7.13. Here is an alternative proof — in the spirit of DeGeorge–Wallach [39] and Savin [105] – of Corollary 7.12. We first prove that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{m}(\pi, \Gamma_n)}{\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_n\backslash G)} &= \limsup_{n\to\infty} \nu_n(\{\pi\}) \\
&\leq \nu^G(\{\pi\}) = d(\pi).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.13.1}$$

Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(G)$. We first note that:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{m}(\pi,\Gamma)}{\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)}||\pi(\phi)||^2_{\mathrm{H-S}} &\leq \frac{||\rho_\Gamma(\phi)||^2_{\mathrm{H-S}}}{\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)} \\ &\leq \frac{\mathrm{trace}\ \rho_\Gamma(\phi * \widetilde{\phi})}{\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)} \\ &\leq \nu_\Gamma(\widehat{\phi * \widetilde{\phi}}).\end{aligned} \tag{7.13.2}$$

Remark. We have:

$$\nu^G(\widehat{\phi * \widetilde{\phi}}) = (\phi * \widetilde{\phi})(1) = ||\phi||^2.$$

Note that:

$$||\pi(\phi)||^2_{\mathrm{H-S}} \geq |\langle \phi(\phi)v, v\rangle|^2 = \left| \int_G \phi(g)\langle \pi(g)v, v\rangle dg \right|^2$$

where v is any unit vector in the space of π. It is therefore tempting to apply (7.13.2) with $\phi(g) = (\phi_r(g) :=)\chi_r(g)\langle\pi(g)v,v\rangle$ where χ_r is the characteristic function of $G_r = KA_r^+K$, $A_r^+ = \{a \in A^+ \ : \ a = \exp(H), \ ||H|| \leq r\}$ for some metric $||\cdot||$ on the Lie algebra of the Cartan subgroup A. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 7.12, Proposition 7.9, inequality (7.13.2) and the remark above imply:

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{m}(\pi,\Gamma_n)}{\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_n\backslash G)} \leq \frac{1}{||\phi_r||^2}. \tag{7.13.3}$$

As r tends to infinity $1/||\phi_r||^2$ tends to 0 if π is not square integrable and tends to $d(\pi)$ if π is a discrete series. Inequality (7.13.3) therefore implies (7.13.1).

7.14. Now fix π a discrete series representation of G. The set

$$\widehat{G}(\pi) = \{\omega \in \widehat{G} \ : \ \theta_\omega = \theta_\pi\}$$

is finite. And computing the $G(\pi)$-part of the Euler characteristic Rohlfs and Speh [100] — see also DeGeorge and Wallach [39, Corollary 5.3] — prove the following:

7.15. **Proposition.** *If π is a discrete series representation of G then there are constants $c(\omega)$, $\omega \in \widehat{G}(\pi)$ and $c(\omega) = 1$ if ω is a discrete series representation, such that*

$$\sum_{\omega\in\widehat{G}(\pi)} c(\omega)\frac{\mathrm{m}(\omega,\Gamma)}{\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)} = \sum_{\omega\in\widehat{G}(\pi)} d(\omega).$$

Proposition 7.15 and (7.13.1) obviously imply corollary 7.12. □

7.16. **Sequences of congruence lattices.** Now we fix a uniform arithmetic lattice $\Gamma \subset G$. We also fix $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ a *non tempered* representation, i.e. π is not weakly contained in $L^2(G)$. If we let $p(\pi)$ be the infimum over $p \geq 2$ such that K-finite matrix coefficients of π are in $L^p(G)$, we then have $p(\pi) > 2$. In that setting we prove the following:

7.17. **Theorem.** *Let $(\Gamma_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be* any *infinite sequence of distinct congruence subgroups of Γ. Then: there exists $\alpha = \alpha(G,\Gamma,\pi) > 0$ such that*

$$\mathrm{m}(\pi,\Gamma_n) \ll \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_n\backslash G)^{1-\alpha}.$$

Proof. It follows the same lines as in §7.13: Let (τ, V_τ) be the lowest K-type of π and fix $v \in V_\tau$ a unit vector. As in [105] we introduce:

$$W_n = \mathrm{span}\left\{Tv \ : \ T \in \mathrm{Hom}_G(V, L^2(\Gamma_n \backslash G)\right\} \subset L^2(\Gamma_n \backslash G)$$

and

$$B_n(x) = \sup_{f \in W_n} \frac{|f(x)|^2}{||f||^2} \quad (x \in \Gamma_n \backslash G).$$

As in §7.13 we let

$$\phi_r(g) = \chi_r(g)\langle \pi(g)v, v\rangle \quad (g \in G, \ r > 0).$$

We will use the following two lemmas. The first follows from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. The second — due to Savin [105, Proposition 3] — is a reformulation of the basic identity of DeGeorge and Wallach.

7.18. **Lemma.** *We have:*

$$\int_{\Gamma_n \backslash G} B_n(x) dx = \mathrm{m}(\pi, \Gamma_n).$$

7.19. **Lemma.** *We have:*

$$\pi(\phi_r)v = ||\phi_r||^2 v.$$

Now let $f \in W_n$. It follows from Lemma 7.19 that:

$$||\phi_r||^2 f(x) = \int_G \phi_r(g) f(xg) dg = \int_{\Gamma_n \backslash G} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_n} \phi_r(x^{-1}\gamma g) f(g) dg.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have:

$$(7.19.1) \qquad ||\phi_r||^2 |f(x)| \leq ||f|| \left(\int_{\Gamma_n \backslash G} \left| \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_n} \phi_r(x^{-1}\gamma g) \right|^2 dg \right)^{1/2}.$$

Given $x \in G$, we set

$$N_n(x; r) = \#\{\gamma \in \Gamma_n \ : \ \chi_r(x^{-1}\gamma x) \neq 0\}.$$

Theorem 5.2 implies the following:

7.20. **Proposition.** *There exist positive constants β, c such that for all n*

$$\mathrm{vol}((\Gamma_n \backslash G)_{< c \log \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_n \backslash G)}) \leq \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_n \backslash G)^{1-\beta}.$$

We now recall the following:

7.21. **Lemma.** *There exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$, depending only on G, such that for any $x, y \in X$,*

$$N(x; R) := |\{\gamma \in \Gamma \ : \ d(x, \gamma x) \leq R\}| \leq c_1 \mathrm{InjRad}_{\Gamma_n \backslash G}(x)^{-d} e^{c_2 R},$$

where d is the dimension of X.

Proof. Clearly, it suffices to prove this for $R \geq \mathrm{InjRad}_{M_n}(x)$. By definition of $\mathrm{InjRad}_{\Gamma_n \backslash G}(x)$ we have $B(x, \mathrm{InjRad}_{\Gamma_n \backslash G}(x)) \cap B(\gamma x, \mathrm{InjRad}_{M_n}(x)) = \emptyset$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma - \{\mathrm{id}\}$. This implies

$$N(x; R) \cdot \mathrm{vol} B(x, \mathrm{InjRad}_{\Gamma_n \backslash G}(x)) \leq \mathrm{vol} B(x, R + \mathrm{InjRad}_{\Gamma_n \backslash G}(x)) \leq \mathrm{vol} B(x, 2R).$$

Now, Knieper [64] shows that there exists a constant $a = a(G)$ such that

$$\mathrm{vol}B(x,R) \approx R^{\frac{\mathbb{R}-\mathrm{rank}(G)-1}{2}} e^{aR}$$

asymptotically as $R \to \infty$. This yields an upper bound for $\mathrm{vol}B(x, R+\mathrm{InjRad}_{\Gamma_n\backslash G}(x))$.

On the other hand, since X has non-positive curvature, the volume of a ball in X is bounded below by the volume of a ball with the same radius in d-dimensional Euclidean space. Hence

$$\mathrm{vol}B(x, \mathrm{InjRad}_{\Gamma_n\backslash G}(x)) \geq b \cdot \mathrm{InjRad}_{\Gamma_n\backslash G}(x)^d,$$

with a constant $b = b(d)$. The lemma follows. □

Remark. When $\mathrm{InjRad}_{\Gamma_n\backslash G}(x)$ and R are both sufficiently small, it should be possible to attain better bounds in 7.21 by using the Margulis Lemma.

7.22. Replacing the constant c by some smaller positive constant we may assume that:

$$cc_2 \leq \beta. \tag{7.22.1}$$

Here c_2 is the constant of Lemma 7.21. From this we conclude:

7.23. **Lemma.** *There exists a positive constant C such that for all n*

$$\int_{\Gamma_n\backslash G} N_n(x; 2c\log \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_n\backslash G))dx \leq C\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_n\backslash G).$$

Proof. We split the integral into two parts:

$$I_1 = \int_{\{x\in\Gamma_n\backslash G\,:\,\mathrm{InjRad}_{\Gamma_n\backslash G}(x)\leq c\log \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_n\backslash G)\}} N_n(x; 2c\log \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_n\backslash G))dx$$

and I_2. Since in I_2 the integrand is everywhere equal to 1 we have $I_2 \leq \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_n\backslash G)$. As for I_1 we use Lemma 7.21 to get the bound:

$$N_n(x; 2c\log \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_n\backslash G)) \leq c_1 \mathrm{InjRad}_{\Gamma_n\backslash G}(x)^{-d} \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_n\backslash G)^{c_2 c}.$$

Since each lattice Γ_n is a subgroup of Γ, there exists a uniform (in n) lower bound on $\mathrm{InjRad}_{\Gamma_n\backslash G}(x)$. We therefore conclude from Proposition 7.20 and (7.22.1) that:

$$I_1 \leq (\mathrm{const})\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_n\backslash G)^{c_2c+1-\beta} \leq (\mathrm{const})\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_n\backslash G).$$

And the lemma follows. □

7.24. Now taking $r = c\log \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_n\backslash G)$ we note that for every $x \in \Gamma_n\backslash G$ and $g \in G$ the sum $\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_n} \phi_r(x^{-1}\gamma g)$ has at most $N_n(x; 2r)$ nonzero term. Then

$$\left|\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_n} \phi_r(x^{-1}\gamma g)\right|^2 \leq N_n(x; 2r) \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_n} \left|\phi_r(x^{-1}\gamma g)\right|^2.$$

And since

$$\int_{\Gamma_n\backslash G} \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_n} \left|\phi_r(x^{-1}\gamma g)\right|^2 dg = ||\phi_r||^2,$$

it follows from (7.19.1) that for every $x \in \Gamma_n\backslash G$

$$\frac{|f(x)|^2}{||f||^2} \leq \frac{N_n(x, 2r)}{||\phi_r||^2}. \tag{7.24.1}$$

Integrating (7.24.1) over $\Gamma_n \backslash G$ we conclude from Lemma 7.23 that:

$$\mathrm{m}(\pi, \Gamma_n) \leq \frac{1}{||\phi_r||^2} \int_{\Gamma_n \backslash G} N_n(x; c \log \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_n \backslash G)) dx \leq C \frac{\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_n \backslash G)}{||\phi_r||^2}.$$

We finally note that

$$||\phi_r||^2 = \int_{G_r} |\langle \pi(g)v, v\rangle|^2 dg$$

and

$$\mathrm{vol}(G_r) \geq \exp(\nu r) = \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_n \backslash G)^{c\nu}$$

for some positive constant ν. Combining this last inequality with the asymptotics of the matrix coefficient $g \mapsto \langle \pi(g)v, v\rangle$, see e.g. [90], we conclude that there exists a positive constant α such that

$$\frac{1}{||\phi_r||^2} \ll \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_n \backslash G)^{-\alpha}.$$

And the theorem follows. □

7.25. **Nonuniform lattices.** In the nonuniform case things get complicated: there is continuous spectrum in $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ and the integral

$$\int_{\Gamma \backslash G} K_\Gamma^\phi(x, x) dx$$

is divergent. We may nevertheless hope that — maybe under suitable conditions — Theorem 7.10 holds when replacing ν_Γ by the measure associated to the *discrete* — or to the *cuspidal* — spectrum of $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$. There is not yet such complete results even in the case of towers of coverings. We may however refer to the already mentioned work of Shin [108] and to the recent work of Finis, Lapid and Mueller [51] which — in particular — completely solves the problem for the case of principal congruence subgroups of GL(n).

8. Laplacian and heat kernel on differential forms on X

In this section we relate the results of the preceeding section to the study of the spectrum of the Laplace operator. We keep the same notations.

8.1. **From representations to differential forms.** Given a unitary representation τ of K we consider the following subset of $\widehat{G}$:

$$\widehat{G}_\tau = \{\pi \in \widehat{G} \ : \ \mathrm{Hom}_K(\tau, \mathcal{H}_\pi) \neq \{0\}\}.$$

Let τ_k ($k = 0, 1, \ldots$) be the adjoint representation of K into $\wedge^k \mathfrak{p}$. Representations in $\widehat{G}_{\tau_k}$ are exactly the ones which correspond to k-differential forms on $X = G/K$.

Let $C \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$ be the Casimir element. Set $\lambda_\pi = -\theta_\pi(C)$. Let $\pi \in \widehat{G}_{\tau_k}$ and $v \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$ be a non zero vector in the K-type τ_k. Any element in

$$E_\pi^k(\Lambda \backslash G) := \mathrm{span}\left\{ Tv \ : \ T \in \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathcal{H}_\pi, L^2(\Lambda \backslash G)) \right\} \subset L^2(\Lambda \backslash G)$$

defines a square integrable k-differential form on $\Lambda \backslash G/K$ whose eigenvalue is λ_π. Conversely it follows from Matsushima's formula (see e.g. [15, Thm. 1.0.2]) that

$$E_\lambda^k(\Lambda \backslash G) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\pi \in \widehat{G}_{\tau_k} \\ \lambda_\pi = \lambda}} E_\pi^k(\Lambda \backslash G)$$

where $E^k_\lambda(\Lambda\backslash G)$ denotes the λ-eigenspace of the laplace operator on square integrable k-differential form on $\Lambda\backslash G/K$.

We let $\Theta_k(G)$ be the image of $\widehat{G}_{\tau_k}$ by the map (7.1.1). Evaluation on the Casimir element therefore gives a map

$$\Theta_k(G) \to \mathbb{R}^+. \tag{8.1.1}$$

We may restrict a measure ν^* on $\Theta(G)$ to a measure on $\Theta_k(G)$; we denote by ν^k the pushforward of the latter by the map (8.1.1) so that ν^k is a measure on $\mathbb{R}^+$.

8.2. When $\Lambda = \Gamma$ is a uniform lattice, we have:

$$\nu^k_\Gamma(\{\lambda\}) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)} \dim E^k_\lambda(\Gamma\backslash G).$$

In particular

$$\nu^k_\Gamma(\{0\}) = \frac{b_k(\Gamma\backslash G/K)}{\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)}$$

where $b_k(\Gamma\backslash G/K)$ is the k-th Betti number of $\Gamma\backslash G/K$. Similarly we define the L^2-Betti number of the symmetric space $X = G/K$ as

$$\beta^{(2)}_k(X) = \nu^{G,k}(\{0\}).$$

Note that $\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)\beta^{(2)}_k(X)$ are the usual L^2-Betti numbers of Γ.

8.3. If μ is a general IRS we define its L^2-Betti numbers by setting:

$$\beta^{(2)}_k(\mu) = \nu^k_\mu(\{0\}).$$

(The notion is the same as in [14] for IRS associated to towers of coverings.) More generally ν^k_μ is the spectral measure of the laplace operator of the IRS μ and Theorem 7.11 implies the following:

8.4. **Corollary.** *Suppose that $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots$ is a uniformly discrete sequence of IRS's of G which converges weakly toward μ_∞. Then for each k the sequence of spectral measure $\nu^k_{\mu_i}$ converges weakly to $\nu^k_{\mu_\infty}$.*

It is natural to ask wether we have pointwise convergence especially at 0. Weak convergence of $\nu^k_{\mu_i}$ implies convergence at 0 except when there is a positive mass traveling into 0. In that respect we formulate the following:

8.5. **Conjecture.** *For every k and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist positive constants C and η_0 such that for every ε-discrete IRS μ we have:*

$$\nu^k_\mu((0,\eta]) \leq \frac{C}{\log(1/\eta)} \quad (0 < \eta < \eta_0).$$

This conjecture would imply pointwise convergence of ν^k_μ at 0. We will prove it in the particular case of a sequence of IRS's associated to cocompact lattices to get the following:

8.6. **Theorem.** *Let (M_n) be a BS-convergent uniformly discrete sequence of closed X-manifolds. Then, for each integer k, the sequence $\left(\frac{b_k(M_n)}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)}\right)$ is convergent.*

Moreover: If we denote by μ the limit IRS associated to the sequence (M_n), then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{b_k(M_n)}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)} = \beta^{(2)}_k(\mu) \quad (0 \leq k \leq \dim(X)).$$

Remarks. 1. In the case of towers of finite index subgroups, Theorem 8.6 follows from the main result of [14].

2. When the limit IRS μ_∞ is the trivial one μ_{Id}, the limit measure ν_{μ_∞} is the Plancherel measure ν^G and Theorem 8.6 follows from Corollary 7.12.

Proof. In the following paragraphs we prove Theorem 8.6. Write $M_n = \Gamma_n\backslash X$ and let $\mu_n = \mu_{\Gamma_n}$ the corresponding IRS. By hypothesis the sequence (μ_n) is a uniformly discrete sequence of IRS's of G which converges weakly toward $\mu = \mu_\infty$. We fix an integer $k \in [0, \dim X]$. It follows from Corollary 8.4 that $\nu^k_{\mu_n}$ converges weakly toward ν^k_μ, we want to prove pointwise convergence at 0. We first prove Conjecture 8.5 for all the μ_n; this follows from standard argument such as the ones in [71, §2.4].

8.7. **Proof of Conjecture 8.5 for cocompact lattices.** Let $r > 0$ be a uniform lower bound on the injectivity radius of the (compact) manifolds M_n. For each M_n we may choose a maximal net and consider the smooth triangulation K_n of M_n which corresponds to the nerve of the cover of M_n by the r-balls centered at the points of the net. Then there exist uniform constants d and α such that the simplicial complex K_n has at most $\alpha\mathrm{vol}(M_n)$ vertices, all of then of valence $\leq d$.

8.8. Recall that the *mesh* $\eta = \eta(K)$ of a triangulation K is the positive real number

$$\eta(K) = \sup d(p, q),$$

where the supremum is taken over all pairs of vertices spanning a 1-simplex. The *fullness* $\theta = \theta(K)$ is defined to be

$$\theta(K) = \inf \frac{\mathrm{vol}(\sigma)}{j\eta},$$

where the infimum is taken over all j-simplices σ of K and $\mathrm{vol}(\sigma)$ denotes the Riemannian volume of $|\sigma|$.

It follows from the construction of the triangulations K_n that

$$r/2 \leq \eta(K_n) \leq r$$

and that there exists a uniform positive constant θ_0 such that for all n,

$$\theta(K_n) \geq \theta_0.$$

8.9. Let ω be a differentiable k-form on M_n. Following [44] we define $R\omega \in C^k(K_n;\mathbb{C})$ by

$$R\omega = \sum(\int_\sigma \omega)\sigma,$$

where the sum is extended over all oriented k-simplices σ of K_n. When necessary we will emphasize the dependence on the triangulation by writing R_{K_n}.

8.10. **Whitney forms.** Let $\sigma = [p_0, \dots, p_k]$ be a k-simplex. Let $\mu_0, \dots, \mu_k$ be the barycentric coordinate functions corresponding to $p_0, \dots, p_k$ respectively. The μ_j's are piecewise C^∞ on M_n and we define the elementary form $W\sigma$ as:

$$W\sigma = k! \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)\mu_i d\mu_0 \wedge \dots d\mu_{i-1} \wedge d\mu_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\mu_k. \tag{8.10.1}$$

The form $W\sigma$ is not smooth but it is an L^2-form. Moreover the support of $W\sigma$ is contained in the closure of the star $\mathrm{St}(\sigma)$ of σ, see [117].

The forms $W(\sigma)$ allow to define the Whitney map $W = W_{K_n} : C^k(K_n;\mathbb{C}) \to L^2\Omega^k(M_n)$, where $L^2\Omega^k(M_n)$ is the L^2-completion of the space of differentiable k-forms on M_n: If $u = \sum u_\sigma \cdot \sigma$ we define $W(u)$ by

$$W(u) = \sum u_\sigma W(\sigma).$$

Since the Whitney forms are not smooth we have to introduce Sobolev spaces. Let $H^l\Omega^k(M_n)$ ($l \in \mathbb{N}$) be the *l-th Sobolev space of k-forms on M_n*. Recall that $H^0\Omega^k(M_n) = L^2\Omega^k(M_n)$ and that

$$H^{2l}\Omega^k(M_n) = \{\omega \in L^2\Omega^k(M_n) \ : \ (1+\Delta)^l\omega \in L^2\Omega^k(M_n)\},$$

where $(1+\Delta)^l\omega$ is to be understood in the sense of distribution. The next result follows from [45, see especially p. 165]. Its proof is based on [44, Proposition 2.4].

8.11. **Lemma.** *Fix an integer $l > \frac{1}{2}\dim X + 1$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any n and for any barycentric subdivision K_n' of K_n with fullness $\theta(K_n') \geq \theta_0$ and any k-form $\omega \in H^l\Omega^k(M_n)$, we have:*

$$||\omega - W_{K_n'} \circ R_{K_n'}(\omega)||_{L^2} \leq C\eta(K_n')||\omega||_l,$$

where $||\omega||_l$ is the l-th Sobolev norm.

From nom on we fix an integer $l > \frac{1}{2}\dim X + 1$. Then the constant C in Lemma 8.11 only depends on the local geometry of X. But Whitney [117] proves that — upon restricting θ_0 — the K_n can be made (through barycentric subdivisions) to have arbitrary small mesh with fullness $\geq \theta_0$. Since X is homogeneous, we may therefore assume — after passing to a sufficiently small subdivision in a uniform manner — that there exists a constant $C_0 < 1$ such that for all n

$$C\eta(K_n) < C_0. \tag{8.11.1}$$

8.12. Again since X is a homogeneous space and, by construction, the local geometry of K_n is uniformly bounded, there are numbers $D > 0$ and $S > 0$ (independent of n) such that for any k-simplex σ

$$\begin{gathered} \int_\sigma ||W(\sigma)||_x^2 d\mathrm{vol}_x^\sigma \geq D \\ |\{\tau \ : \ \tau \in \mathrm{St}(\sigma)\}| \leq S \\ |\{\tau \ : \ \sigma \in \mathrm{St}(\tau)\}| \leq S, \end{gathered} \tag{8.12.1}$$

where τ runs through all simplices.

8.13. **Spectral density functions.** We recall that the *analytical k-th spectral density function* of M_n is the function $F_n : [0,+\infty) \to [0,+\infty)$ given by

$$F_n(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} \dim E_\mu^k(M_n),$$

where $E_\mu^k(M_n) = E_\mu^k(\Gamma_n\backslash G)$ denotes the μ-eigenspace of the Laplace operator on square integrable differentiable k-forms on M_n.

The cellular cochain complex $C^k(K_n;\mathbb{C})$ has a canonical $\mathbb{C}$-basis and therefore a particular Hilbert space structure. Hence the adjoint $(c^k)^*$ of its differential c^k is defined. The combinatorial Laplace operator $\Delta_n^k : C^k(K_n;\mathbb{C}) \to C^k(K_n;\mathbb{C})$ is defined by $c^{k-1}(c^{k-1})^* + (c^k)^*c^k$. And we define the *combinatorial k-th spectral density function* $F_n^c(\lambda)$ to be the number of eigenvalues μ of Δ_n^k satisfying $\mu \leq \lambda$ counted with multiplicity.

The main step of the proof of Conjecture 8.5 for cocompact lattices is the following:

8.14. **Lemma.** *There exist two positive constants C and ε such that for every n,*

$$F_n(\lambda) \leq F_n^c(C\lambda) \text{ for all } \lambda \in [0,\varepsilon].$$

Proof. Given $\omega \in E_\mu^k(M_n)$ we have

$$||\omega||_l \leq \sqrt{1+\mu^l}||\omega||_L^2.$$

From now on we fix $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$C_0\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^l} < 1.$$

It then follows from Lemma 8.11 that for any $\omega \in E_\mu^k(M_n)$ with $\mu \leq \varepsilon$, we have:

$$||\omega - W \circ R(\omega)||_{L^2} \leq C_0\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^l}||\omega||_{L^2}.$$

So that

$$||\omega||_{L^2} \leq ||W \circ R(\omega)||_{L^2} + C_0\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^l}||\omega||_{L^2}$$

and

$$||\omega||_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{1-C_0\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^l}}||W \circ R(\omega)||_{L^2}.$$

Since W is obviously uniformly bounded, we conclude that there exists a uniform constant C_1 such that

$$||\omega||_{L^2} \leq C_1||R(\omega)||_{L^2}. \tag{8.14.1}$$

Since the constants D and S are uniform in (8.12.1), the proof of [71, Lemma 2.79] implies the following:

8.15. **Lemma.** *There exists a constant C_2 such that for all n, k and for all $u \in C^k(K_n;\mathbb{C})$, we have:*

$$||u||_{L^2} \leq C_2||W_{K_n}(u)||_{L^2}.$$

Now note that if $\omega \in E_\mu(M_n)$ is coclosed then $||d\omega||_{L^2} = \mu||\omega||_{L^2}$ so that:

$$\begin{aligned} ||c^k \circ R(\omega)||_{L^2} &\leq C_2||W \circ c^k \circ R(\omega)||_{L^2} \\ &\leq C_2||W \circ R \circ d(\omega)||_{L^2} \\ &\leq C_2||W \circ R|| \cdot ||d\omega||_{L^2} \\ &\leq C_2||W \circ R|| \cdot \mu||\omega||_{L^2} \\ &\leq C_1C_2||W \circ R|| \cdot \mu||R(\omega)||_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $C_3 = C_1C_2||W \circ R||$ we conclude:

$$||c^k \circ R(\omega)||_{L^2} \leq C_3\mu||R(\omega)||. \tag{8.15.1}$$

This implies that the number (with multiplicities) of eigenvalues $\mu \leq \lambda$ of coclosed k-forms is less that the $F_n^c(C_3\lambda)$.

Since the spectrum on exact k-forms is the same as the spectrum on coclosed $(k-1)$-forms, the proof of Lemma 8.14 follows. □

8.16. The proof of Conjecture 8.5 for cocompact lattices follows easily: First note that since the valence of K_n is uniformy bounded by d, the operator norm of the combinatorial Laplace operator Δ_n^k is unformly bounded. And since K_n has at most $\alpha\mathrm{vol}(M_n)$ vertices the dimension of $C^k(M_n, \mathbb{C})$ is bounded by some uniform constant times the volume of M_n. Using that the characteristic polynomial of the combinatorial Laplace operator Δ_n^k has integer coefficients[12], we therefore conclude from [70, Lemma 2.8] that there exists a constant C_4 such that for all n, k and for $0 < \lambda < 1$ we have:

$$\frac{F_n^c(\lambda) - F_n^c(0)}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)} \leq \frac{C_4}{-\log \lambda}. \tag{8.16.1}$$

According to Lemma 8.14 the same holds for the spectral density functions F_n (and for sufficiently small λ). In oherwords: there exist positive constants C and ε such that for every n and k we have:

$$\nu_{\mu_n}^k((0,\eta]) \leq \frac{C}{\log(1/\eta)} \quad (\eta \in (0,\varepsilon)). \tag{8.16.2}$$

8.17. We now conclude the proof of Theorem 8.6: the measure $\nu_{\mu_n}^k$ converges weakly toward ν_μ^k. We therefore conclude that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \nu_{\mu_n}^k(\{0\}) \leq \nu_\mu^k(\{0\})$$

and

$$\liminf_{n\to+\infty} \nu_{\mu_n}^k([0,\eta)) \geq \nu_\mu^k([0,\eta))$$

for all $\eta > 0$. Using (8.16.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_\mu^k(\{0\}) &\leq \nu_\mu^k([0,\eta)) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n\to+\infty} \nu_{\mu_n}^k([0,\eta)) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n\to+\infty} (\nu_{\mu_n}^k(\{0\}) + \nu_{\mu_n}^k((0,\eta))) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n\to+\infty} \nu_{\mu_n}^k(\{0\}) + \frac{C}{\log(1/\eta)}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 < \eta < \varepsilon$. Letting η tends to zero we finally get:

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \nu_{\mu_n}^k(\{0\}) \leq \nu_\mu^k(\{0\}) \leq \liminf_{n\to+\infty} \nu_{\mu_n}^k(\{0\}).$$

So that

$$\lim_{n\to} \nu_{\mu_n}^k(\{0\}) = \nu_\mu^k(\{0\})$$

as claimed. □

8.18. *Remarks.* 1. It follows from weak convergence and (8.16.2) that any IRS μ obtained as a local limit of IRS's associated to cocompact lattices satisfies Conjecture 8.5.

2. If μ is an IRS induced from an infinite-index normal subgroup Λ in a lattice Γ (see 11) then the above proof for lattices still holds if the quotient Γ/Λ satisfies the Determinant Conjecture of Lück (see [71, Conjecture 13.2]). The latter is known to hold for a wide class of groups, see [71, Chapter 13].

---

[12] So that we can take $C = 1$ in [70, Lemma 2.8].

3. When the limit IRS μ_∞ is the trivial one μ_{Id}, the limit measure ν_{μ_∞} is the Plancherel measure ν^G and Theorem 8.6 follows from Corollary 7.12. In that case only discrete series can contribute positively to ν^G and if $\widehat{G}_{\tau_k}$ contains a discrete series then $\dim X = 2k$ so that $\nu^{G,k}(\{0\}) = 0$ except perhaps in the middle dimension and if $\dim X = 2k$ then

$$\nu^{G,k}(\{0\}) = \sum_{\substack{\pi\in\widehat{G}_{\tau_k}\\ \lambda_\pi=\lambda}} \nu^G(\{\pi\})$$

(the sum on the RHS is finite). We therefore get the following:

8.19. **Corollary.** *Let (M_n) be a sequence of closed X-manifolds which BS-converges toward X and has injectivity radius uniformly bounded away from 0. Then:*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{b_k(M_n)}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)} = \beta_k^{(2)}(X)$$

for $0 \le k \le \dim(X)$.

8.20. Finally let $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ such that $\pi \in \widehat{G}_{\tau_k}$ and $\lambda_\pi = 0$. We recall from [21, p. 98] that:

$$\pi \text{ is tempered } \Leftrightarrow k \in \left[\frac{1}{2}\dim X - e, \frac{1}{2}\dim X + e\right]$$

where $e = \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{C}} G - \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{C}}(K))$. Theorem 7.17 therefore implies the following:

8.21. **Corollary.** *Let $(M_n = \Gamma_n\backslash X)_{n\ge1}$ be a sequence of closed X-manifolds associated to a sequence of congruence lattices in a fixed rational form $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$. Suppose that $\mathrm{vol}(M_n) \to +\infty$. Then: there exists $\alpha = \alpha(\mathbf{G}) > 0$ such that for every $k \notin \left[\frac{1}{2}\dim X - e, \frac{1}{2}\dim X + e\right]$,*

$$b_k(M_n) \ll \mathrm{vol}(M_n)^{1-\alpha}.$$

8.22. **Use of the heat kernel.** As explained in the announcement [2] our original proof of Corollary 8.19 used the heat kernel. Here we briefly explain this other proof as it will be useful to weaken the hypothesis on the injectivity radius.

As before, let $X = G/K$ be the symmetric space associated to G. Denote by $e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}}(x,y)$ the heat kernel on L^2 k-forms. The corresponding bounded integral operator in $\mathrm{End}(\Omega_{(2)}^k(X))$ defined by

$$(e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}} f)(x) = \int_X e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}}(x,y) f(y)\, dy, \quad \forall f \in \Omega_{(2)}^k(X)$$

is the fundamental solution of the heat equation (cf. [9]).

A standard result from local index theory (see e.g. [17, Lemma 3.8]) implies:

8.23. **Lemma.** *Let $m > 0$. There exists a positive constant $c = c(G,m)$ such that*

$$||e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}}(x,y)|| \le ct^{-d/2} e^{-d(x,y)^2/5t}, \quad 0 < t \le m.$$

Now let $M = \Gamma\backslash X$ be a compact X-manifold. Let Δ_k be the Laplacian on differentiable k-forms on M. It is a symmetric, positive definite, elliptic operator with pure point spectrum. Write $e^{-t\Delta_k}(x,y)$ $(x,y \in M)$ for the heat kernel on k-forms on M, then for each positive t we have:

$$e^{-t\Delta_k}(x,y) = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} (\gamma_{\tilde{y}})^* e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}}(\widetilde{x}, \gamma\widetilde{y}), \tag{8.23.1}$$

where $\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}$ are lifts of x, y to X and by $(\gamma_y)^*$, we mean pullback by the map $(x, y) \mapsto (\tilde{x}, \gamma\tilde{y})$. The sum converges absolutely and uniformly for $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}$ in compacta; this follows from Lemma 7.21 and 8.23.

8.24. **(L^2-)Betti numbers.** The trace of the heat kernel $e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}}(x, x)$ on the diagonal is independent of $x \in X$, being G-invariant. We denote it by

$$\operatorname{Tr} e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}} := \operatorname{tr} e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}}(x, x).$$

It follows from §8.2 that

$$\beta_k^{(2)}(X) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \operatorname{Tr} e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}}.$$

It is equal to zero unless $\delta(G) = 0$ and $k = \frac{1}{2}\dim X$, in that case $\beta_k^{(2)}(X) = \frac{\chi(X^d)}{\operatorname{vol}(X^d)}$, see [91].

Recall also that the usual Betti numbers of M are given by

$$b_k(M) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \int_M \operatorname{tr}\, e^{-t\Delta_k}(x, x)dx.$$

8.25. **Lemma.** *Let $m > 0$ be a real number. There exists a constant $c = c(m, G)$ such that for any $x \in M$ and $t \in (0, m]$,*

$$\left|\operatorname{tr}\, e^{-t\Delta_k}(x, x) - \operatorname{Tr} e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}}\right| \le c \cdot \operatorname{InjRad}_{M_n}(x)^{-d}.$$

Proof. Let $x \in Y$. Denote by $N'(x; r)$ the (distributional) differential of $N(x; r)$ (as a function of r). Note that $N'(x; r)$ is the sum of Dirac measures at the points $d(\tilde{x}, \gamma\tilde{x})$, $e \ne \gamma \in \Gamma$.

We may rewrite (8.23.1) as

$$e^{-t\Delta_k}(x, x) - e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}) = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma,\ \gamma\ne e} (\gamma_y)^* e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}}(\tilde{x}, \gamma\tilde{x}).$$

It follows from Lemma 8.23 that, up to constants, the last sum is bounded by

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma,\ \gamma\ne e} e^{-d(\tilde{x},\gamma\tilde{x})^2/c} = \int_0^\infty e^{-r^2/c} N'(x; r)dr$$

for some positive constant c.

Note that by Lemma 7.21, $e^{-r^2/c}N(x; r)$ vanishes at 0 and ∞. Thus, by integrating by parts, the last integral equals

$$\int_0^\infty 2c^{-1} r e^{-r^2/c} N(x; r)dr.$$

Using Lemma 7.21 again, this integral is bounded, up to constants, by

$$\operatorname{InjRad}_{M_n}(x)^{-d} \int_0^\infty r e^{-c' r^2} e^{c'' r} dr = \text{const} \cdot \operatorname{InjRad}_{M_n}(x)^{-d}.$$

□

8.26. Suppose now that $(\Gamma_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a sequence of cocompact discrete subgroups in G such that

(1) $\mathrm{vol}(M_n) \to \infty$, and
(2) for all $R > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)} \int_{(M_n)_{\leq R}} \mathrm{InjRad}_{M_n}(x)^{-d} dx \to 0.$$

Here d is the dimension of X and $M_n = \Gamma_n \backslash X$.

Remark. Suppose that for all $R > 0$ the ratio $\frac{\mathrm{vol}((M_n)_{\leq R})}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)} \to 0$. In other words, the probability that the injectivity radius at $x \in M_n$ is bigger that a given positive R tends to 1 as n tends to infinity. Then the second hypothesis is equivalent to the assumption that there *exists* $R > 0$ for which

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)} \int_{(M_n)_{\leq R}} \mathrm{InjRad}_{M_n}(x)^{-d} dx \to 0.$$

This holds, in particular, if the local injectivity radius is uniformly bounded from 0 but is weaker.

The following is an immediate corollary of Lemma 8.25.

8.27. **Corollary.** *Under the above hypotheses we have:*

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)} \int_{M_n} \mathrm{tr}\ e^{-t\Delta_k^{M_n}}(x,x)dx \to \mathrm{Tr} e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}}$$

uniformly for t in a compact subinterval of $(0, \infty)$.

8.28. Since each term in the limit above is decreasing as a function of t, we deduce that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{b_k(M_n)}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)} \leq \beta_k(X).$$

Finally, using that the usual Euler characteristic is equal to its L^2 analogue and that $\Delta_k^{(2)}$ has zero kernel if $k \neq \frac{1}{2}\dim X$ we conclude:

8.29. **Theorem.** *Let $(\Gamma_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence of cocompact discrete subgroups in G which satisfies the hypotheses of* §*8.26. Then:*

$$\lim_n \frac{b_k(M_n)}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)} = \beta_k^{(2)}(X).$$

In particular we recover corollary 8.19.

Remark. In general, if X is *any* complete connected Riemannian manifold and Γ is a group acting isometrically, freely, and properly discontinuously on X with compact quotient, an estimate on the Heat kernel analogous to Lemma 8.23 is proved in [46]. Using this the analogue of the proofs of Theorems 8.29 and 8.6 give the following generalization of Lück approximation Theorem [70].

8.30. **Theorem.** *Let $(\Gamma_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence of finite index subgroups of Γ such that $(\Gamma_n\backslash X)_n$ BS-converges toward X. Then :*

$$\lim_n \frac{b_k(M_n)}{[\Gamma:\Gamma_n]} = b_k^{(2)}(\Gamma).$$

Here $b_k^{(2)}(\Gamma)$ denotes the k-th L^2-Betti number of Γ.

9. Heat kernel estimates and Benjamini–Schramm convergence in rank one

In this section we perform some analysis on the geometry of thin components of locally symmetric manifolds $\Gamma\backslash X$ of rank one. The main goal is to show that the contribution of the heat kernel integral over the ϵ-thin part of the manifold, where $\epsilon = \epsilon(X)$ is the Margulis' constant is bounded by a constant times the volume of the thin part (see Theorem 9.5 below). This allows us to remove the uniform discreteness assumption in Corollary 8.19 and prove the following general:

9.1. **Theorem.** *Let X be a rank one symmetric space. Then for any sequence of compact X-manifolds $M_n = \Gamma_n\backslash X$ that BS-converges to X we have*

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \frac{b_k(M_n)}{vol(M_n)} = \beta_k(X).$$

Remark. We believe that it should be possible to perform the same analysis also in the higher rank case and deduce the analogous statement. However, thin parts in higher rank are much more difficult to deal with, while the Margulis' conjecture suggests that for a sufficiently small ϵ there are no ϵ-thin parts in arithmetic manifolds. If this is the case then the family of all irreducible compact X-manifolds is uniformly discrete when $\mathrm{rank}(X) \geq 2$. Here, we restrict to the rank one case which is already quite delicate, and where the family of compact X-manifolds is known to admits members with arbitrarily short closed geodesics (at least the real hyperbolic ones). Still, most of the steps in our argument below can be generalized to the higher rank cases. For instance

- Proposition 9.6 is valid with no changes in the proof to all symmetric spaces of non-compact type.
- Lemma 9.7 can be extended to higher rank where the exponent -1 should be replaced by $-\mathrm{rank}(X)$.
- Lemma 9.8 holds also for higher rank symmetric spaces, but the proof is more involved.

9.2. In the remaining part of this section we let $G = \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{R})$ be a connected adjoint simple real algebraic group of real rank one. We fix a Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ and let $K \leq G$ be the maximal compact subgroup of G corresponding to $\mathfrak{k}$. Let $\tilde{x}_0 \in X = G/K$ be the point corresponding to K. Recall that $\mathfrak{p}$ is identified with the tangent space $T_{\tilde{x}_0}X$ and the Killing form on G induces an inner product on $\mathfrak{p}$ which determines the Riemannian structure on X. Fix an $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$-invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{k}$ and extend it to an $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$-invariant inner product to $\mathfrak{g}$ so that $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ will be orthogonal. Let $s = \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{G})$ be the complex rank of $\mathbb{G}$, e.g. if $G = \mathrm{SO}(n,1)$ then $s = [\frac{n+1}{2}]$.

Let us fix $t > 0$ and $k \in [0, \dim X]$. For $x \in M$ and a lift $\tilde{x} \in X$ let

$$f_t(x) = \|e^{-t\Delta_k}(x,x) - e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}}(\tilde{x},\tilde{x})\| = \|\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma\backslash\{1\}} e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}}(\tilde{x},\gamma\cdot\tilde{x})\|.$$

(The middle part of the equation can be made well defined by identifying the tangent spaces of T_xM and $T_{\tilde{x}}X$.) Let $\tilde{f}_t(\tilde{x}) = f_t(x)$ and note that $\tilde{f}_t$ is Γ-invariant. Recall that we denote by $\mathrm{InjRad}_M(x)$ the injectivity radius of M at x.

We wish to show that if M BS-converges toward X then $\lim \frac{b_k(M)}{\mathrm{vol}(M)} \le \beta_k(X)$. Recall that $\beta_K(X) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}}(\tilde{x},\tilde{x}))$, fix an arbitrarily small $\nu > 0$ and t large enough so that $\beta_k(X) < \mathrm{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}}(\tilde{x},\tilde{x})) + \nu$. Then

$$\frac{b_k(M)}{\mathrm{vol}(M)} - \beta_k(X) \le \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(M)} \int_M \big(e^{-t\Delta_k}(x,x) - \beta_k(X)\big)dx \le \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(M)} \int_M f_t(x)dx + \nu.$$

Theorem 9.1 will follow from the following:

9.3. **Proposition.** *If* $M \overset{BS}{\to} X$ *then* $\lim \frac{1}{vol(M)} \int_M f_t(x)dx \to 0$.

The difficulty lies in estimating f at parts where the injectivity radius is small. The geometry of the parts of the manifold with small injectivity radius can be controlled with the aid of the classical Margulis' lemma:

9.4. **Theorem.** *(*[112, Section 4.1]*) There is a constant* $\epsilon = \epsilon(X) > 0$ *such that if* Λ *is a discrete torsion free subgroup of* G *consisting of semisimple elements and is generated by* $\{\gamma \in \Lambda : d(\gamma \cdot \tilde{x}, \tilde{x}) < \epsilon\}$ *for some* $\tilde{x} \in X$*, then* Λ *is cyclic. Moreover there is a unique geodesic, the axis of* Λ*, on which it acts by translations.*

An important consequence of the Margulis' lemma is the thick-thin decomposition which, in our case, says that the thin part

$$M_{<\epsilon} = \{x \in M : \ \mathrm{InjRad}_M(x) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}\}$$

consists of finitely many connected components, each of which is a tubular neighborhood of a short closed geodesic.

For $\tilde{x} \in X$, we shall denote by $\Sigma_{\tilde{x},\epsilon}$ the set of elements in Γ which moves $\tilde{x}$ by less than ϵ and by $\Gamma_{\tilde{x},\epsilon} = \langle \Sigma_{\tilde{x},\epsilon} \rangle$ the cyclic group they generate.

We will derive Proposition 9.3 from:

9.5. **Theorem.** *For every closed* X*-manifold* M *we have*

$$\int_{M_{\le\epsilon/2}} f_t(x)dx \le C_0 \cdot vol(M_{\le\epsilon}),$$

for some constant $C_0 = C_0(X,t)$.

Remark. Note that Theorem 9.5 implies, for the special case of closed rank one manifolds, Gromov's classical theorem [8, Theorem 2] that the Betti numbers are bounded linearly by the volume. Indeed,

$$b_k(M) \le \int_M e^{-t\Delta_k}(x,x)dx \le \beta_k(X) + \int_M f_t(x)dx =$$
$$= \beta_k(X) + \int_{M_{\le\epsilon/2}} f_t(x)dx + \int_{M_{\ge\epsilon/2}} f_t(x)dx$$

while $f|_{M_{\ge\epsilon}}$ is bounded, as follows from Lemma 8.25.

Most of the remaining part of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 9.5. One can estimate f in terms of the number of Γ orbit points in a ball:

9.6. **Proposition.** *Given any* $r > 0$ *there is* $D = D(r,t)$ *such that for any* $x \in X$ *we have*

$$f_t(x) \le D \cdot card(\Gamma \cdot x \cap B(x,r)).$$

Proof. Let $n = \dim X$. The statement will follow from the estimate

$$\|e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}}(x,y)\| \leq Ct^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(x,y)^2}{5t}}$$

and the fact that X has exponential growth. More precisely, let $\mathcal{D}$ be a maximal $\frac{r}{2}$ discrete subset of X. Then the $\frac{r}{2}$-balls centered at points of $\mathcal{D}$ cover X while

$$\operatorname{card}(\mathcal{D} \cap B(x,R)) \leq A^{R+1},\ \forall R > 0,$$

for some constant A, since the $\frac{r}{4}$ balls centered at $\mathcal{D}$ are disjoint and of a fixed volume. It follows that there is a constant $D = D(r,t)$ such that

$$\sum_{y \in \mathcal{D}} Ct^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{(d(x,y)-\frac{r}{2})^2}{5t}} \leq D.$$

Note also that the number of orbit points in any $\frac{r}{2}$-ball $\operatorname{card}(\Gamma \cdot x \cap B(z,r/2))$ is not greater than $\operatorname{card}(\Gamma \cdot x \cap B(x,r))$, since if $\gamma \cdot x \in B(z,r/2))$ then $\gamma^{-1}(\Gamma \cdot x \cap B(z,r/2)) \subset B(x,r)$. To conclude, we have

$$f_t(x) \leq \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta \setminus \{1\}} Ct^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{d(x,\gamma \cdot x)^2}{5t}}$$

and by replacing each orbit point by an element from $\mathcal{D}$ of distance $\leq \frac{r}{2}$ we see that the last quantity is bounded above by

$$\sum_{z \in \mathcal{D}} Ct^{\frac{n}{2}} \operatorname{card}(\Gamma \cdot x \cap B(z,r/2)) e^{-\frac{(d(x,z)-r/2)^2}{5t}} \leq \operatorname{card}(\Gamma \cdot x \cap B(x,r))D.$$

□

Our next goal is to estimate the number of orbit points in a given ball and deduce bounds on f_t. We will split this into two estimates. One which is better in the close surrounding of the sub-manifolds where the injectivity radius attains a local minima and one which is better at points far from these sub-manifolds.

9.7. **Lemma.** *Let $x \in M$ be a point in a ϵ-thin tubular neighborhood of a short geodesic, and suppose that the length of that short geodesic is τ. Then $f_t(x) \leq C_1\tau^{-1}$, for some constant $C_1 = C_1(X,t)$.*

Proof of Lemma 9.7. Let x be such a point and let $\tilde{x}$ be a lift of x to X. In view of Proposition 9.6 we should obtain an upper bound of the form $\text{const} \cdot \tau^{-1}$ on the cardinality of the set

$$\mathcal{E} = \Gamma \cdot \tilde{x} \cap B(\tilde{x},\epsilon) = \Gamma_{\tilde{x},\epsilon} \cdot \tilde{x} \cap B(\tilde{x},\epsilon).$$

Let c be the axis of $\Gamma_{\tilde{x},\epsilon}$. Let $\pi_c : X \to c$ be the nearest point projection from X to c. Since c is convex and X is non-positively curved π_c is 1-Lipschitz. Since $\Gamma_{\tilde{x},\epsilon}$ is torsion free and stabilizes c, it follows that the restriction of π_c to a $\Gamma_{\tilde{x},\epsilon}$-orbit is one to one and its image is again a $\Gamma_{\tilde{x},\epsilon}$-orbit. Moreover, since $\mathcal{E}$ has diameter $\leq 2\epsilon$ we deduce that $\pi_c(\mathcal{E})$ is contained in an interval of length 2ϵ in c. Thus $\operatorname{Card}(\mathcal{E}) \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{\tau}$.
□

Lemma 9.7 gives a sufficiently good bound on $f_t(x)$ when x is close to a short geodesic. However when x is far from the geodesic, the injectivity radius $\operatorname{InjRad}_M(x)$ might be of several magnitude larger than the minimal displacement τ, and the result of 9.7 will not be enough for our purpose, so we should obtain a better estimate in terms of $\operatorname{InjRad}_M(x)$. At first glance one may expect that the number of orbit points in a ball is controlled by $\mathrm{InjRad}_M(x)^{-1}$ (or by $\mathrm{InjRad}_M(x)^{-r}$ in general when $r = \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$). However the rotational parts of the isometries may make the orbit denser at certain distance from the sub-manifold of local minimal displacement. The true exponent is therefore the absolute rank s:

9.8. **Lemma.** *Suppose that x lies in the ϵ-thin part of M. Then $f_t(x) \leq C_2 \mathrm{InjRad}_M(x)^{-s}$ for some constant $C_2 = C_2(X, t)$.*

Proof of Lemma 9.8. Let $\delta > 0$ be sufficiently small so that for

$$U_{x_0} = \exp(\{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \|X\| \leq \delta\}$$

we have that $U_{x_0}^2$ form a Zassenhaus neighborhood in G (see [98, Chapter XI] and [112, Section 4.1]). We shall call $U_{\tilde{x}_0}$ the Zassenhaus neighborhood associated to $\tilde{x}_0$. Since G acts transitively, for any $\tilde{x} \in X$ we have some $g \in G$ such that $g \cdot \tilde{x}_0 = \tilde{x}$. Set $U_{\tilde{x}} = g U_{\tilde{x}_0} g^{-1}$ as the Zassenhaus neighborhood associated to $\tilde{x}$. Since U_{x_0} is invariant under conjugation by K, $U_{\tilde{x}}$ is well defined.

The orbit map $X \mapsto \exp(X) \cdot x_0$ restricted to $\{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \|X\| \leq \delta\}$ is α-bi-Lipschitz for some some constant α and covers an open ball $B_X(x_0, \beta)$ for some $1 \geq \beta > 0$. It follows that if $V_1, \ldots, V_t \in \mathfrak{g}$ are of norm at most δ and $\{\exp(V_1) \cdot x_0, \ldots, \exp(V_t) \cdot x_0\}$ form a ρ-discrete subset of X then $\{V_1, \ldots, V_t\}$ is $\frac{\rho}{\alpha}$ discrete in $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let now $x \in M_{\leq \epsilon}$ be the point in question and let $\tilde{x} \in X$ be a lift of x. We may suppose that $\mathrm{InjRad}_M(x) < \beta$. Let

$$m = [\frac{\mu(U_{\tilde{x}} \cdot \{g \in G : d(g \cdot \tilde{x}, \tilde{x}) \leq 1\})}{\mu(U_{\tilde{x}})}] + 1.$$

Note that m is independent of $\tilde{x}$. In the proof of the Margulis' lemma given in [112, Section 4.1] it is shown that the Margulis' constant ϵ can be chosen to be $1/m$ or smaller. Since we have defined m and β independently of ϵ, we may assume that $\epsilon \leq \frac{\beta}{2m}$. In that case, as follows easily from the argument in [112, Section 4.1], $N = \langle U_{\tilde{x}}^2 \cap \Gamma_{\tilde{x},\epsilon} \rangle$ is a subgroup of index $\leq m$ in $\Gamma_{\tilde{x},\epsilon}$ and one can chose coset representatives within $\Sigma_{\tilde{x},\epsilon}^m$. In particular it follows that

$$\mathrm{card}(\Gamma \cdot \tilde{x} \cap B(\tilde{x}, \frac{\beta}{2})) \leq m \cdot \mathrm{card}(N \cdot \tilde{x} \cap B(\tilde{x}, \beta)).$$

Moreover by the Zassenhaus–Kazhdan-Margulis theorem (see [98, Chapter XI]) $\log N$ spans a connected nilpotent Lie sub-algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ of the Lie algebra of the stabilizer $\mathrm{Stab}_G(c)$ where c is the axis of $\Gamma_{\tilde{x},\epsilon}$. Note that $\mathrm{Stab}_G(c)$ is isomorphic to a compact group times $\mathbb{R}^*$ and hence admits no unipotent elements. It follows that $\mathfrak{n}$ is abelian and semisimple and its exponent $\exp(\mathfrak{n})$ is a torus in G. In particular $\dim \mathfrak{n} \leq s$. Finally since $N \cdot \tilde{x} \cap B(\tilde{x}, \beta)$ is $\mathrm{InjRad}_M(x)/2$ discrete we get that $\log(N)$ is $\mathrm{InjRad}_M(x)/(2\alpha)$ discrete lattice of $\mathfrak{n}$. Thus

$$\mathrm{card}(N \cdot \tilde{x} \cap B(\tilde{x}, \beta)) \leq \mathrm{card}(\log(N) \cap B_{\mathfrak{g}}(0, \delta)) \leq C'(\frac{\mathrm{InjRad}_M(x)}{\alpha})^s,$$

and the result follows from Proposition 9.6. □

9.9. Let $M^\circ_{\leq\epsilon}$ be a connected component of the ϵ-thin part of M. As mentioned above, $M^\circ_{\leq\epsilon}$ is a tubular neighborhood of a short closed geodesic. Let $\tilde{M}^\circ_{\leq\epsilon}$ be a connected component of the pre-image of $M^\circ_{\leq\epsilon}$ in X and let $c \subset \tilde{M}^\circ_{\leq\epsilon}$ be the lift of the short closed geodesic. Conjugating Γ inside G we may and will assume that $c = \{\exp(sA) \cdot \tilde{x}_0 \ : \ s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ for some unit vector $A \in \mathfrak{p}$.

We let γ_0 be the generator of the cyclic group

$$\Gamma_0 = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma \cdot \tilde{M}^\circ_{\leq\epsilon} = \tilde{M}^\circ_{\leq\epsilon}\},$$

and denote by τ the displacement of γ_0 on c. Let $G_c = \mathrm{Stab}_G(c)$ be the stabilizer group of c. Recall that G_c is isomorphic to a direct product $T_c \times K_c$ of a compact group K_c and a one dimensional torus $T_c = \exp(\mathbb{R} \cdot A)$. The group K_c consists of the point-wise stabilizers of c and T_c is central in G_c and consists of the translations (or dilations) along c.

Given a unit vector $Y \in \mathfrak{p}$ orthogonal to A we let $\alpha_Y(t) = \exp(tY) \cdot \tilde{x}_0$ be the unit speed geodesic ray starting at $\tilde{x}_0$ in the direction Y and let $T(Y)$ be the total time that α_Y spends in $\tilde{M}^\circ_{\leq\epsilon}$.

9.10. **Lemma.** *Given $T > 0$ there exist τ_T such that if $Y \in \mathfrak{p}$ is orthogonal to A and $\tau \leq \tau_T$ then $T(Y) > T$.*

Proof. Let θ be sufficiently small so that any two geodesic rays $\alpha_1(t), \alpha_2(t)$ emanating from $\tilde{x}_0$ at angle $\leq \theta$ stay at distance $\leq \epsilon/2$ from each other when $t \leq T$. Since K_c is compact there is $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $o \in K_c$ there is $k = k(o) \leq l$ such that $\angle(o^k(\hat{v}), \hat{v}) < \theta$ for every unit vector $\hat{v} \in T_{\tilde{x}_0}X$. Let $\lambda > 0$ be small enough so that any two geodesic rays orthogonal to c that starts parallel to each other (i.e. they are in the same T_c orbit) at distance $\leq \lambda$, stay at distance $\leq \epsilon/2$ for $t \leq T$.

Take $\tau_T = \frac{\lambda}{l}$. Now if $g \in G_c$ is any isometry with displacement $\tau \leq \tau_0$ and rotational part o, it is easy to see that $g^{k(o)}$ has translational part $\leq \lambda$ on c and rotational part $\leq \theta$. Thus its displacement is $\leq \epsilon$ everywhere on the T neighborhood of c. $\square$

We will first prove Theorem 9.5 in the real hyperbolic case by a straightforward computation.

9.11. **The proof of Theorem 9.5 in the real hyperbolic case.** Suppose $X = \mathbb{H}^n$. We will now make some computation in radial horoshperical coordinates in the upper half space model of $\mathbb{H}^n$

$$\{(x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^n) : x_n > 0\}, \ ds^2 = \frac{\sum dx_i^2}{x_n^2}.$$

Consider the vertical geodesic $c = (0, \infty)$ and the horizontal (intrinsically Euclidian) horosphere $\mathbb{E}^{n-1}$ passing through c at $p = (0, \ldots, 0, 1)$. We will consider the coordinates (r, θ) for points on $\mathbb{E}^{n-1}$ where r is the horoshperical radial distance to p and θ is the direction. (Note that the hyperbolic distance of the point (r, θ) to p is roughly $\log r$.) We can extend these coordinates to the upper half plan, letting (r, θ, a) denote the point $a \cdot x$ where x is the point on $\mathbb{E}^{n-1}$ of coordinate (r, θ) and a is the isometric homothety corresponding to a multiplication by $a > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let G_c be the stabilizer of c in G, $G_c \cong \mathrm{SO}(n-1) \times \mathbb{R}^{>0}$.

9.12. **Lemma.** *There are $R < \infty$ and $\alpha > 1$ such that if $r_1, r_2 > R$ then for any two points points $x_1 = (r_1, \theta),\ x_2 = (r_2, \theta)$ at the same direction θ and any $g \in G_c$ for which $d_g(x_1), d_g(x_2) \leq \epsilon$ we have:*

$$\alpha^{-1}\frac{r_1}{r_2} < \frac{d_g(x_1)}{d_g(x_2)} < \alpha\frac{r_1}{r_2}.$$

Proof. Since the points x_1, x_2 are far from the invariant geodesic $c = (0, \infty)$ and have small g-displacement. The quantities $d(g \cdot x_i, x_i)$ are approximated, up to a bounded multiplicative error, by the intrinsic Euclidian distance between the Euclidian projection of $g \cdot x_i$ and x_i to the horosphere $\mathbb{E}^{n-1}$. For the projections (considered with the intrinsic distance) however the ratio in question is equal to $\frac{r_1}{r_2}$ by similarity of Euclidian triangles. □

Let now $M^{\circ}_{\leq\epsilon}$ be a thin component which is a tubular neighborhood of a short geodesic, and let $\tilde{M}^{\circ}_{\leq\epsilon}$ be a connected component of its pre-image in the upper half space. We may suppose that the short geodesic lifts to $c = (0, \infty)$. Suppose that the length of the short geodesic is τ. Note that $G_c = N_G(G_c)$ and hence it follows from the Margulis' lemma that $\Gamma_{p,\epsilon}$ is contained in G_c. Choose a fundamental domain for $\Gamma_{p,\epsilon}$ in $\tilde{M}^{\circ}_{\leq\epsilon}$ of the following form:

$$\mathcal{F} = \{(r, \theta, a) : r \leq \psi(\theta),\ 1 \leq a < e^{\tau}\}$$

where $\psi(\theta)$ is defined to be the radial horoshpherical distance for which at direction θ the minimal displacement is exactly ϵ, i.e.

$$\min\{d_\gamma(x) : \gamma \in \Gamma_{p,\epsilon}\} = \min\{d_\gamma(x) : \gamma \in \Gamma\} = \epsilon$$

for $x \in \mathbb{E}^{n-1}$ of coordinates $(\psi(\theta), \theta)$.

By Lemma 9.10 there is a constant $\tilde{\tau} > 0$ such that if $\tau \leq \tilde{\tau}$ then $\forall\theta,\ \psi(\theta) \geq R$. We may suppose that $\tau \leq \tilde{\tau}$. We wish to estimate the integral of $f_t(x)$ over $\mathcal{F}$. We divide the domain to two parts, $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{0 \leq r \leq R\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{R < r < \psi(\theta)\}$:

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}} f_t(x)dx = \int_{\mathcal{F}_1} f_t(x)dx + \int_{\mathcal{F}_2} f_t(x)dx.$$

The first integral can be bounded using Lemma 9.7:

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}_1} f_t(x)dx \leq \mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{F}_1) \cdot C_1\tau^{-1} \leq \tau \cdot \mathrm{vol}(B^{n-1})(R) \cdot C_1\tau^{-1} = \mathrm{vol}(B^{n-1})(R) \cdot C_1$$

where B^{n-1} is an Euclidian $(n-1)$-ball of radius R. So the first integral is bounded by a constant. Recall that the volume of each thin component is bounded below by a constant since one can inject an $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ ball tangent to the boundary of the component.

Let us estimate the second integral. Note that by Lemma 9.12 the $\Gamma_{p,\epsilon}$ minimal displacement at (r, θ) for $r > R$ is at least $\alpha^{-1}\frac{\epsilon r}{\psi(\theta)}$. Therefore using Lemma 9.8 we deduce

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}_2} f_t(x)dx \leq C_2\alpha^s \int_{\theta\in S^{n-2}} d\theta \int_R^{\psi(\theta)} \big(\frac{\epsilon \cdot r}{\psi(\theta)}\big)^{-s}(\tau \cdot r)r^{n-2}dr \leq$$

$$\leq \mathrm{Const} \cdot \tau \int_{S^{n-2}} \big(\psi(\theta)^s \int_0^{\psi(\theta)} r^{n-s-1}dr\big)d\theta \leq \mathrm{Const} \int_{S^{n-2}} (\tau\psi(\theta))\psi(\theta)^{n-1}d\theta.$$

The point is that the last term is, up to a constant, the volume of the thin component. This concludes the proof of Theorem 9.5 in the real hyperbolic case. □

Next we will give the proof of Theorem 9.5 for general rank one symmetric spaces.

9.13. **Variation vector fields.** The variation vector field $V_Y(t) = \frac{d}{ds}_{s=0}\beta_Y(t,s)$ of the geodesic variation $\beta_Y(t,s) = \exp(sA)\exp(tY)\tilde{x}_0$ is an orthogonal Jacobi field along the geodesic α_Y; it moreover satisfies $V_Y(0) \in \mathbb{R}A$. In any locally symmetric manifold the Jacobi differential equations have simple explicit solutions (c.f. [79, p. 109–111]). In particular $\mathfrak{p}$ has an orthonormal basis $U_1 = Y, U_2, \dots, U_n$ which consists of eigenvectors of the (self-adjoint) curvature operator $K_Y = (\mathrm{ad}Y)^2 : \mathfrak{p} \to \mathfrak{p}$. We let $\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the corresponding eigenvalues and extend the U_i to vector fields $U_i(t)$ along τ by parallel translation. Then the space of Jacobi fields $V(t)$ along τ that are orthogonal to τ and satisfy $V(0) \in \mathbb{R}A$ is spanned by the vector fields:

$$\cosh(t\sqrt{\lambda_2})U_2(t) \text{ and } \sinh(t\sqrt{\lambda_j})U_j(t)(j = 3, \dots n).$$

Note that since X is rank one $\lambda_j > 0$ for $j \geq 2$. In particular $V(t)$ grows at some fixed exponential rate as t tends to infinity.

9.14. **The proof of Theorem 9.5.** We will make computations in radial coordinates around the geodesic c. We parametrize the space $X \setminus c$ by

$$\{\beta_Y(t,s) \ : \ s \in \mathbb{R},\ Y \in \mathfrak{p}, \langle Y, A\rangle = 0,\ t \in (0, +\infty)\}.$$

Then, we can parametrize $\tilde{M}^{\circ}_{\leq\epsilon} \setminus c$ by

$$\{\beta_Y(t,s) \ : \ s \in BR,\ Y \in \mathfrak{p}, \langle Y, A\rangle = 0,\ t \in (0, T(Y))\}.$$

We construct a fundamental domain for Γ_0 in $\tilde{M}^{\circ}_{\leq\epsilon}$ as follows. Let $N \subset X$ be the co-dimension 1 sub-manifold which is the union of all geodesics passing through $\tilde{x}_0$ perpendicularly to c. In other words, N is the pre-image of $\tilde{x}_0$ under the nearest-point-projection from X to c. We let $\mathcal{F}$ be the region in $\tilde{M}^{\circ}_{\leq\epsilon}$ bounded between N and $\gamma_0 \cdot N$ and denote by $\mathcal{F}_0$ the "bottom" of $\mathcal{F}$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = N \cap \tilde{M}^{\circ}_{\leq\epsilon} = \{\beta_Y(t,0) \ : \ Y \in \mathfrak{p}, \langle Y, A\rangle = 0,\ t \in (0, T(Y))\}.$$

Let $d_X(x)$ denotes the Riemannian volume form of X and $d_N(x)$ the Riemannian volume form of N. We claim that the intrinsic Riemannian volume of $\mathcal{F}_0$ bounds the integral of f over the thin component.

9.15. **Proposition.** *There exists some constant $C = C(\epsilon)$ such that*

$$\int_{M_{\leq\epsilon/2}} f_t(x)d(x) \leq C(\epsilon) \cdot vol_N(\mathcal{F}_0)$$

where $vol_N(\mathcal{F}_0) := \int_{\mathcal{F}_0} d_N(x)$.

Let $\tilde{M}^{\circ}_{\leq\epsilon/2}$ be the pre-image in $\tilde{M}^{\circ}_{\leq\epsilon}$ of $M^{\circ}_{\leq\epsilon/2} := M_{\leq\epsilon/2} \cap M^{\circ}_{\leq\epsilon}$, and let $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F} \cap \tilde{M}^{\circ}_{\leq\epsilon/2}$ and $\mathcal{F}'_0 = \mathcal{F}_0 \cap \tilde{M}^{\circ}_{\leq\epsilon/2}$. Note that $\mathcal{F}'$ is a fundamental domain for Γ_0 in $\tilde{M}^{\circ}_{\leq\epsilon/2}$. In the proof of Proposition 9.15 we will make use of the following:

9.16. **Lemma.** *Let $(\mathcal{F}'_0)_\epsilon$ be the ϵ neighborhood of $\mathcal{F}'_0$ in $\tilde{M}^{\circ}_{\leq\epsilon/2}$. Then*

$$vol((\mathcal{F}'_0)_\epsilon) \leq h \cdot vol_N(\mathcal{F}_0),$$

where h is some constant depending only on X and ϵ.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a maximal $\epsilon/4$ discrete subset of $(\mathcal{F}_0')_\epsilon$ and let $p = \mathrm{card}(\mathcal{P})$. By maximality of $\mathcal{P}$, the $\epsilon/4$-balls centered at $\mathcal{P}$ covers $(\mathcal{F}_0')_\epsilon$ and hence

$$\mathrm{vol}((\mathcal{F}_0')_\epsilon) \leq p \cdot \mathrm{vol}(B_{\epsilon/4}),$$

where $B_{\epsilon/4}$ is an $\epsilon/4$-ball in X. In order to prove the lemma we will show that p is bounded by a constant times $\mathrm{vol}_N(\mathcal{F}_0)$.

For every $x \in \mathcal{P}$ let $\pi(x)$ be an arbitrary nearest point of x in $\mathcal{F}_0'$, and note that $d(x, \pi(x)) \leq \epsilon$. Since $\mathcal{F}_0' \subset \tilde{M}_{\leq \epsilon/2}$, for every $y \in \mathcal{F}_0'$ there is $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$ such that $d_\gamma(y) \leq \epsilon$, which implies that $d_\gamma(z) \leq 2\epsilon$ at any $z \in B(y, \epsilon/2)$, i.e. the $\epsilon/2$ ball around every point in $\mathcal{F}_0'$ is contained in $\tilde{M}^\circ_{\leq \epsilon}$. Consider the p balls $B_{\mathcal{F}_0}(\pi(x), \epsilon/2)$, $x \in \mathcal{P}$. These balls cover a subset of $\mathcal{F}_0$. Moreover, since X is non-positively curved, it follows from [94, Theorem 27] that the intrinsic volume of each such ball is at least $v :=$ the volume of a $(\dim(X) - 1)$ dimensional Euclidian ball of radius $\epsilon/2$. Moreover, if $y \in B_{\mathcal{F}_0}(\pi(x), \epsilon/2)$ then $d(y, x) \leq 1.5\epsilon$, i.e. x is inside the 1.5ϵ ball around y. Since $\mathcal{P}$ is $\epsilon/4$ discrete, $\mathrm{card}(B(y, 1.5\epsilon) \cap \mathcal{P})$ is at most $u := \mathrm{vol}(B_{1.625\epsilon})/vol(B_{0.125\epsilon})$. It follows that every point of $\mathcal{F}_0$ is covered at most u times. Thus $p \leq \frac{u}{v} \cdot \mathrm{vol}_N(\mathcal{F}_0)$. □

Proof of Proposition 9.15. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is a fundamental domain for Γ_0 in $\tilde{M}^\circ_{\leq \epsilon}$ it follows that

$$\int_{M_{\leq \epsilon/2}} f_t(x) d(x) = \int_{\mathcal{F}'} \tilde{f}_t(\tilde{x}) d_X(\tilde{x}).$$

Recall from Proposition 9.6 that

$$\tilde{f}_t(\tilde{x}) \leq D(\epsilon) \cdot \mathrm{card}(\Sigma_{\tilde{x},\epsilon})$$

where

$$\Sigma_{\tilde{x},\epsilon} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : d_\gamma(\tilde{x}) < \epsilon\}.$$

In addition

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}'} \mathrm{card}(\Sigma_{\tilde{x},\epsilon}) d_X(\tilde{x}) = \mathrm{vol}_X(\{\sigma \cdot \tilde{x} : \tilde{x} \in \mathcal{F}',\ \sigma \in \Sigma_{\tilde{x},\epsilon}\}),$$

where the function in the left side is integrable and the later set is measurable since the set valued function $x \mapsto \Sigma_{x,\epsilon}$ is locally constant.

Recall that $\Sigma_{x,\epsilon} \subset \Gamma_0$, and in particular leaves $\tilde{M}^\circ_{\leq \epsilon/2}$ invariant. Thus, the set

$$\{\sigma \cdot \tilde{x} : \tilde{x} \in \mathcal{F}',\ \sigma \in \Sigma_{\tilde{x},\epsilon}\}$$

consists of points which lies in $\tilde{M}_{\leq \epsilon/2}$ and at distance at most ϵ from $\mathcal{F}'$. Observe that $\mathcal{F}'$ itself is contained in the $\epsilon/2$-neighborhood of $\mathcal{F}_0'$. (Indeed, if $y \in \mathcal{F}'$ then there is some $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$ such that $d_\gamma(y) = d_{\gamma^{-1}}(y) \leq \epsilon/2$ and one of the geodesic segments $[y, \gamma \cdot y]$ or $[y, \gamma^{-1} \cdot y]$ hits $\mathcal{F}_0'$ as the convex set $\{z : d_\gamma(z) \leq \epsilon/2\}$ is contained in $\mathcal{F}'$ and the end points of one of these segments are at different sides of $\mathcal{F}_0'$.) Thus

$$\{\sigma \cdot \tilde{x} : \tilde{x} \in \mathcal{F}',\ \sigma \in \Sigma_{x,\epsilon}\} \subset (\mathcal{F}_0')_\epsilon$$

and we deduce the proposition from Lemma 9.16. □

In view of Proposition 9.15, Theorem 9.5 will follow if we show:

9.17. **Lemma.**

$$vol_N(\mathcal{F}_0) \leq e \cdot vol(M^\circ_{\leq \epsilon}),$$

for some constant $e = e(X, \epsilon)$.

Proof. Let $Y \in \mathfrak{p}$ be orthogonal to A. We denote by ds_N an infinitesimal sector in the unit sphere $T^1_{\tilde{x}_0}(N)$ of

$$T_{\tilde{x}_0}(N) = \{Z \in \mathfrak{p} \ : \ \langle Z, A\rangle\}$$

around Y. It follows from §9.13 that the intrinsic volume of the shade of ds_N in the r sphere $S_N(\tilde{x}_0, r)$ is $\exp(\mathfrak{a}(Y,r)\cdot r)ds_N$ where $\mathfrak{a}(Y,r)$ converges, uniformly as r tends to infinity, toward a positive constant which depends only and continuously on Y. We conclude that given $\delta > o$ there are $R < \infty$ and $\kappa < \infty$ such that if $\psi : T^1_{\tilde{x}_0}(N) \to [R, \infty]$ is any continuous function then

$$\begin{aligned}(9.17.1)\quad &\mathrm{vol}_N(\{\beta_Y(t,0) \ : \ Y \in \mathfrak{p}, \langle Y, A\rangle = 0, \ t \in (0, \psi(Y)]\}) \\ &\qquad \le \kappa \cdot \mathrm{vol}_N(\{\beta_Y(t,0) \ : \ Y \in \mathfrak{p}, \langle Y, A\rangle = 0, \ t \in [\psi(Y) - \delta, \psi(Y)]\}).\end{aligned}$$

Let us now explain how to deduce Lemma 9.17. Let $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{10}$, and let R be the radius above. It follows from Lemma 9.10 that there is a constant τ_0 such that if $\tau \le \tau_0$ then $T(Y) \ge R$, $\forall Y \in T^1_{\tilde{x}_0}(N)$. We may indeed assume that $\tau < \tau_0$ because when $\tau \ge \tau_0$ Lemma 9.17 holds trivially with $e = 1/\tau_0$. Let

$$\mathcal{F}_0^\delta := \{\beta_Y(t,0) \ : \ Y \in \mathfrak{p}, \langle Y, A\rangle = 0, \ t \in [T(Y) - \delta, T(Y)]\}.$$

By (9.17.1) we have

$$\mathrm{vol}_N(\{\beta_Y(t,0) \ : \ Y \in \mathfrak{p}, \langle Y, A\rangle = 0, \ t \in (0, T(Y)]\}) \le \kappa \cdot \mathrm{vol}_N(\mathcal{F}_0^\delta).$$

Now since $\delta = \epsilon/10$ the Γ_0-minimal displacement at any point in $\mathcal{F}_0^\delta$ is at least $\frac{8}{5}\epsilon$, and for every point in the δ-neighborhood of $\mathcal{F}_0^\delta$ is at least $\frac{6}{5}\epsilon$. In particular, it follows that the set

$$\mathcal{F}^\delta := \{\beta_Y(t,0) \ : \ Y \in \mathfrak{p}, \langle Y, A\rangle = 0, \ t \in [T(Y) - \delta, T(Y)], \ \mathrm{length}(\beta_Y(t, [0,s]) \le \delta\}$$

is disjoint from its Γ_0-translations, hence contained in a fundamental domain of Γ_0 in $\tilde{M}^\circ_{\le\epsilon}$. We deduce that

$$\delta \cdot \mathrm{vol}_N(\mathcal{F}_0^\delta) = \mathrm{vol}_X(\mathcal{F}^\delta) \le \mathrm{vol}(M^\circ_{\le\epsilon}).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 9.17 and hence of Theorem 9.5. □

9.18. **The proof of Proposition 9.3.** Let us now explain how to deduce Proposition 9.3 from Theorem 9.5. Let X be a rank one symmetric space of dimension d and let $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Let M_n be a sequence of compact X-manifolds which BS-converges to X. For a fix t let $f_t : M \to \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ be as in Paragraph 9.2. First recall that by Lemma 8.25 there is a constant $c(t)$ such that for *every* $x \in M$

$$f_t(x) \le c(t)\mathrm{InjRad}_M(x)^{-d}.$$

Let $\eta > 0$. For $r > 0$ we denote by $M_{\le r} = \{x \in M : \mathrm{InjRad}_M(x) \le r\}$ the r-thin part of M and by $M_{>r}$ its complement. Let r_0 be sufficiently large so that $c(t)r^{-d} < \eta/3$. Since M_n BS-converge to X for all sufficiently large n

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}((M_n)_{>\epsilon/2} \cap (M_n)_{\le r_0})}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)} \le \frac{\eta}{3} \cdot \frac{\epsilon^d}{2^d c(t)},$$

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{vol}((M_n)_{\le\epsilon})}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)} \le \frac{\eta}{3C_0(X,t)}.$$

With Theorem 9.5, the last inequality implies that

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)}\int_{(M_n)_{\le\epsilon/2}} f_t(x)dx \le \frac{\eta}{3}.$$

Thus we conclude:

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)}\int_{M_n} f(x)dx =$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)}\Big(\int_{(M_n)_{\le\epsilon/2}} + \int_{(M_n)_{>\epsilon/2}\cap(M_n)_{\le r_0}} + \int_{(M_n)_{>r_0}}\Big) f(x)dx \le \frac{\eta}{3}+\frac{\eta}{3}+\frac{\eta}{3}.$$

□

9.19. **The proof of Theorem 9.1.** Recall that $\beta_k(X)=0$ unless $d=\dim(X)$ is even and $k=d/2$ and that, by Hirzebruch's proportionality principle, the normalized Euler characteristic $\chi(M)/\mathrm{vol}(M)$ is constant over compact X-manifolds and equals $\beta_{\frac{d}{2}}(X)$. Therefore it is enough to show that for every sequence M_n of closed X manifolds that BS-converges to X and for every $k\in\{1,\dots,d\}$,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{b_k(M_n)}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)} \le \beta_k(X).$$

Let $\eta>0$. Since

$$\beta_k(X) = \lim_{t\to\infty}\mathrm{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}}(\tilde{x},\tilde{x}))$$

(where, by homogeneity, the right hand side is independent of $\tilde{x}$), we may take t sufficiently large so that

$$\beta_k(X)+\eta \ge \mathrm{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}}(\tilde{x},\tilde{x})).$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{b_k(M_n)}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)} &\le \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)}\int_{M_n}\mathrm{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_k}(x,x))\\ &\le \mathrm{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}}(\tilde{x},\tilde{x})) + \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)}\int_{M_n} f(x)dx\\ &\le \beta_k(X)+\eta+\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)}\int_{M_n} f(x)dx,\end{aligned}$$

and since, by Proposition 9.3, the last addend tends to 0 when n tends to ∞ and η is arbitrarily small, the result follows. □

10. GROWTH OF TORSION

When the symmetric space $X=G/K$ is L^2-acyclic — meaning that $\beta_k^{(2)}(X)=0$ — it is natural to consider the secondary invariant given by the L^2-torsion. We first review its definition and then consider the corresponding approximation problems. We continue with the notations of the preceeding sections. In particular we let Γ be a cocompact torsion-free subgroup of G and let $M=\Gamma\backslash X$. Recall that Δ_k, resp. $\Delta_k^{(2)}$, is the Laplacian on differentiable (L^2) k-forms on M, resp. X.

10.1. L^2**-torsion.** Recall that — being G-invariant — the trace of the (X-)heat kernel $e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}}(x,x)$ on the diagonal is independent of x. It is well known, see e.g. [17, §5], that the integral

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^\infty t^{s-1}\mathrm{tr}\ e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}}(x,x)dt$$

is absolutely convergent for $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ sufficiently large and extends to a meromorphic function of $s \in \mathbb{C}$ which is holomorphic at $s = 0$.

Define $t_X^{(2)}$ via

$$t_X^{(2)}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\geq 0}(-1)^i i\left(\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0}\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^\infty t^{s-1}\mathrm{tr}\ e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}}(x,x)dt\right). \tag{10.1.1}$$

(The product of $t_X^{(2)}$ with the volume of M is the L^2-analytic torsion of M.) It is possible to compute $t_S^{(2)}(\rho)$ in a completely explicit fashion, see [91] or [17, §5]. It is non-zero if and only if $\delta(G) = 1$.

Examples. The groups $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{R}), \mathrm{SO}_{n,m}$ nm odd all satisfy $\delta = 1$. In fact this is a complete list of almost simple groups with $\delta = 1$, up to isogeny. When $G = \mathrm{SO}_{2p+1,1}$ the space $X = \mathbb{H}^{2p+1}$ is the $(2p+1)$-dimensional real hyperbolic space. We have:

$$t_{\mathbb{H}^3}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{6\pi},\ t_{\mathbb{H}^5}^{(2)} = \frac{31}{45\pi^2},\ \ldots$$

10.2. Along BS-convergent sequences it is natural to ask the following:

Question. Let M_n be a sequence of compact X-manifolds which BS-converges to X. Is it true that for every $k \leq \dim(X)$ we have:

$$\frac{\log|H_k(M_n,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tors}}|}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)} \to \begin{cases} |t_X^{(2)}| & \text{if } k = \frac{\dim X - 1}{2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise ?}\end{cases}$$

This question is too naive as shown[13] by the following theorem of Brock and Dunfield [29, Theorem 1.1]:

10.3. **Theorem.** *There exists a sequence of hyperbolic* integer *homology* 3*-spheres which BS-converges toward the hyperbolic* 3*-space.*

Brock and Dunfield don't have a good control on the injectivity radius of their examples but one may even expect to construct uniformly discrete such sequences. Along the BS-converging sequences constructed by Brock and Dunfield the Cheeger constant tends to 0. Nonetheless numerical computations by Haluk Sengun [106] suggest that the answer to the question above is negative even for uniformly discrete sequences with Cheeger constant uniformly bounded away from zero. Denoting by T_M the analytic torsion of M we nevertheless propose the following:

10.4. **Conjecture.** *Let M_n be a uniformly discrete sequence of compact X-manifolds which BS-converges to X. Assume that the Cheeger constant of M_n is uniformly bounded away from zero. Then we have:*

$$\frac{\log(T_{M_n})}{vol(M_n)} \to t_X^{(2)}.$$

---

[13] Recall that $t_{\mathbb{H}^3}^{(2)} = -1/6\pi$.

Remarks. 1. According to the Cheeger-Mueller theorem [33, 84] the analytic torsion T_M decomposes as a product of

$$\prod_{k=0}^{\dim X} (-1)^{k+1} |H_k(M,\mathbb{Z})_{\text{tors}}|$$

by a *regulator*, see [17, eq. (2.2.4)]. In the arithmetic case it is expected that the regulator part disappear along *congruence*, but the computations of Sengun suggest that in more general situation the regulator part should contribute to the limit.

2. We cannot consider arbitrary sequences BS-concerging toward X in the conjecture above: the sequence of Theorem 10.3 is made of homology spheres so that there is no regulator part.

3. Even for towers of normal coverings the conjecture is widely open.

Following [17] one may similarly consider cohomology and torsion with coefficient twisted by a finite dimensional representation of G. In that setting it is even possible to *prove* positive results toward the above question. We briefly recall the setting of [17].

10.5. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{k}$) be the real Lie algebra of G (resp. K). Put $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus i\mathfrak{p}$; its normalizer inside $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a maximal compact subgroup U of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let ρ be an irreducible representation of U; it extends to a unique holomorphic representation of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ on a complex vector space E_ρ.

There is, up to scaling, one U-invariant Hermitian metric on E_ρ. We fix an inner product $(-,-)_E$ in this class.

10.6. The representation $\sigma = \rho|K$ gives rise to a G-equivariant hermitian vector bundle on G/K: namely, $(G \times V_\sigma)/K$, where the K-action (resp. G-action) is given by $(g,v) \xrightarrow{k} (gk, \sigma(k^{-1})v)$ (resp. $(g,v) \xrightarrow{x} (xg, v)$); thus, smooth sections of the G-equivariant vector bundle associated to V_σ are identified with maps $C^\infty(G, V_\sigma)$ with the property that $f(gk) = \sigma(k^{-1})f(g)$. We denote this vector bundle also as E_ρ. Note that this bundle is G-equivariantly isomorphic to the trivial vector bundle $E_\rho \times G/K$, where the G-action is via $x : (e, gK) \longrightarrow (\rho(x)e, xgK)$.

10.7. Set V to be the vector bundle on M induced by ρ (i.e., the quotient of the total space $E_\rho \times X$ by the Γ-action). Let Δ_k be the Laplacian on E_ρ-valued k-forms on M. We denote by $T_M(\rho)$ the analytic torsion twisted by ρ, see e.g. [85]

Note that $H^*(M;V)$ is isomorphic to $H^*(\Gamma;V)$. We say, accordingly, that ρ is acyclic for Γ when $H^k(X;V) = 0$ for each k; equivalently, the smallest eigenvalue of each Δ_k is positive. We say that ρ is *strongly acyclic* if there exists some uniform positive constant $\eta = \eta(G) > 0$ such that every eigenvalue of every Δ_k *for any choice of* Γ is $\geq \eta$. The remarkable fact is that there exists a large and interesting supply of strongly acyclic representations; see [17].

10.8. **Twisted L^2-torsion.** Here again the trace of the (X-)heat kernel $e^{-t\Delta_k^{(2)}}(x,x)$ on the diagonal is independent of x, because it is invariant under G. And according to [17, §5] we may define the twisted L^2-torsion $t_S^{(2)}(\rho)$ as in the trivial coefficient case. It is explicitely computed in [17, §5]. Replacing the main lemma of the proof of [17, Theorem 4.5] by Corollaries 8.27 and 4.7 we get the following:

10.9. **Theorem.** *Assume that $\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}(E)$ is strongly acyclic. Let M_n be a uniformly discrete sequence of compact X-manifolds which BS-converges toward X. Then we have:*

$$\frac{\log(T_{M_n}(\rho))}{\mathrm{vol}(M_n)} \to t_X^{(2)}(\rho).$$

We refer to [17] for applications and just point out the following:

10.10. **Example.** Given any orientable compact hyperbolic 3-manifold $M = \Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^3$ we can consider the discrete faithful $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-representation $\alpha_{\mathrm{can}} : \Gamma \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. It is strongly acyclic (see Example (3) of [17, §5.9.3] with $(p,q) = (1,0)$). In particular: the corresponding twisted chain complex

$$C_*(\widetilde{M}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} \mathbb{C}^2$$

is acyclic and it follows that the corresponding Reidemeister torsion $\tau(M, \alpha_{\mathrm{can}}) \in \mathbb{R}^*$ is defined. According to the Cheeger-Mueller theorem extended to unimodular representation by Mueller [84] we have $T_M(\rho) = |\tau(M, \alpha_{\mathrm{can}})|$ and Theorem 1.13 follows from Theorem 10.9.

Given a hyperbolic knot Dunfield, Friedl and Jackson [87] have introduced an invariant $\mathcal{T}_K(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ which is defined as the normalized twisted Alexander polynomial of K corresponding to the discrete and faithful $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-representation of the knot group. It follows from [109, Corollary 3.12] and [99, Theorem 3.7] or [65, Theorem 4] (when the twisted Alexander polynomial is zero) that the following holds: Let M_n be the n-th cyclic ramified cover of $\mathbb{S}^3$ along K, then for n large enough M_n is hyperbolic and

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log|\tau(M_n, \alpha_{\mathrm{can}})| = -\log m(\mathcal{T}_K), \tag{10.10.1}$$

where m is the exponential Mahler measure. On the other hand Friedl and Jackson [52] produce computations that suggest that $\log m(\mathcal{T}_K)$ correlates strongly with $\mathrm{vol}(K)$: As $\mathrm{vol}(K)$ tends to infinity the ratio $\log m(\mathcal{T}_K)/\mathrm{vol}(K)$ seems to tend to a constant ≈ 0.29.

Let $\overline{M}_n$ be the hyperbolic orbifold with underlying space $\mathbb{S}^3$ and n-th cyclic singularity along K. Then M_n is a regular n-sheeted cover of $\overline{M}_n$. Now recalling that $\overline{M}_n$ BS-converges toward $\mathbb{S}^3 - K$ (and in particular that $\mathrm{vol}(\overline{M}_n) \to \mathrm{vol}(K)$) as n tends to infinity and that $11/12\pi \approx 0.29$, in view of Theorem 1.13 and equation (10.10.1) it is natural to ask the following question (compare [96]):

Question. Let (K_n) be a sequence of hyperbolic knots in $\mathbb{S}^3$ such that $\mathrm{vol}(K_n) \to +\infty$. Can it happen that the sequence of finite volume hyperbolic manifolds $\mathbb{S}^3 - K_n$ BS-converge toward $\mathbb{H}^3$?

11. IRS's of rank one Lie groups: limit sets and induced IRS's

In contrast with the rigidity of IRS's in higher rank, there is a wealth of ergodic IRS's in rank one Lie groups. The simplest new examples in rank one come from the *induction* of invariant random subgroups of lattices, which we now describe.

11.1. **Induction.** To begin with, let Γ be a lattice in a Lie group G and suppose that Γ contains a normal subgroup Λ; we construct an IRS supported on the conjugacy class of Λ as follows. The map $G \ni g \mapsto g^{-1}\Lambda g \in \mathrm{Sub}_G$ factors as

$$G \longrightarrow \Gamma\backslash G \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sub}_G,$$

and the second arrow pushes forward Haar measure on $\Gamma\backslash G$ to an IRS μ_Λ of G. Geometrically, if $D \subset G$ is a fundamental domain for Γ and $\overline{D}$ is its image in G/Λ, then a μ_Λ-random subgroup is the stabilizer in G of a random point in $\overline{D}$. If Λ is a sublattice of Γ this is coherent with the previous definition.

This construction produces new examples of invariant random subgroups of rank one Lie groups. Namely, lattices in $\mathbb{R}$-rank 1 simple Lie groups are Gromov hyperbolic and it follows from [56, Theorem 5.5.A] that they contain infinite, infinite index normal subgroups. In otherwords Margulis normal subgroup theorem is known to fail for these groups. Note that if $G = \mathrm{SO}(1,n)$ or $\mathrm{SU}(1,n)$, this can be seen for example because in any dimension there are compact real or complex hyperbolic manifolds with positive first Betti number. Now any such infinite, infinite index normal subgroup Λ of a lattice in one of these groups G gives an IRS supported on the conjugacy class of Λ. In particular, Theorem 4.2 fails for these G.

The above is a special case of 'induction' of IRS's of G from IRS's of lattices. Note that if Γ is a finitely generated group, then an invariant random subgroup of Γ is just a probability measure $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Sub}_\Gamma)$ that is invariant under conjugation. Examples include the Dirac mass at a normal subgroup, and the mean over the (finitely-many) conjugates of a finite index subgroup of a normal subgroup. Less trivially, Bowen [24] has shown that there is a wealth of invariant random subgroups of free groups.

So, let μ be an IRS of a lattice Γ in a Lie group G. Define the IRS of G *induced* from μ to be the random subgroup obtained by taking a random conjugate of Γ and then a μ-random subgroup in this conjugate (which is well-defined because of the invariance of μ). Formally, the natural map

$$G \times \mathrm{Sub}_\Gamma \ni (g, \Lambda) \longrightarrow g\Lambda g^{-1} \in \mathrm{Sub}_G$$

factors through the quotient of $G \times \mathrm{Sub}_\Gamma$ by the Γ-action $(g,\Lambda)\gamma = (g\gamma, \gamma^{-1}\Lambda\gamma)$. This quotient has a natural G-invariant probability measure, and we define our IRS to be the push forward of this measure by the factored map $(G\times \mathrm{Sub}_\Gamma)/\Gamma \to \mathrm{Sub}_G$.

It follows from Proposition 2.6 that any ergodic IRS other than μ_G is supported on discrete subgroups of G. So, a natural question to ask is whether all ergodic IRS's in G different from μ_G are induced from IRS's in some lattice $\Gamma < G$. In Sections 12.1, 12.5 and 13 we give examples that show this is not the case. However, all of our examples are *weak limits* of IRS's corresponding to lattices in G.

We therefore ask:

Question. *Is every ergodic IRS of a simple Lie group G other than μ_G a weak limit of IRS's corresponding to lattices of G?*

11.2. **Limit sets of rank one IRS's.** We show in this section that IRS's in rank one groups have either full or empty limit set. This is a trivial application of Poincaré recurrence that works whenever one has a reasonable definition of limit set.

Let G be a simple Lie group with $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(G) = 1$. The symmetric space G/K is a Riemannian manifold with pinched negative curvature and therefore has a natural

Gromov boundary $\partial_\infty X$. The *limit set* $\Lambda(H)$ of a subgroup $H < G$ is the set of accumulation points on $\partial_\infty X$ of some (any) orbit Hx, where $x \in X$. We say that H is *non-elementary* if $\Lambda(H)$ contains at least three points.

11.3. **Proposition.** *Suppose that G is a simple Lie group with $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(G) = 1$ and that $H < G$ is a closed, non-elementary subgroup. Let $A \trianglelefteq H$ be the compact, normal subgroup consisting of all elements that fix pointwise the union of all axes of hyperbolic elements of H. Then if μ is an IRS of H, either*

1. *the limit set of a μ-random $\Gamma < H$ is equal to $\Lambda(H)$, or*
2. $\mu\left(\mathrm{Sub}_A\right) > 0$.

In particular, any IRS of G without an atom at id has limit set $\partial_\infty X$. As a further example explaining condition (2), note that $H = \mathrm{SO}(2,1) \times \mathrm{SO}(3)$ embeds in $\mathrm{SO}(5,1)$ and any IRS of $A = \mathrm{SO}(3)$ induces an IRS of H with empty limit set.

Proof. Assume that with positive μ-probability, the limit set of a subgroup $\Gamma < H$ is smaller than $\Lambda(H)$. As $\Lambda(H)$ is second countable, there exists an open set $U \subset \Lambda(H)$ such that $\Lambda(\Gamma) \cap U = \emptyset$ with μ-probability $\epsilon > 0$. Since H is non-elementary, there is a hyperbolic element $h \in H$ with repelling fixed point $\lambda_- \in U$ (see [59, Theorem 1.1]). The element h acts on $\partial_\infty X$ with north-south dynamics [59, Lemma 4.4], and we let $\lambda_+ \in \partial_\infty X$ be its attracting fixed point. Then for each i, the μ-probability that $\Lambda(\Gamma) \cap h^i(U) = \emptyset$ is also ϵ, by H-invariance of μ.

Passing to a subsequence, we may assume that the sets $h^i(U)$ form a nested increasing chain with union $\partial_\infty X \setminus \lambda_+$. Therefore, passing to the limit we have that the μ-probability that $\Lambda(\Gamma) \subset \{\lambda_+\}$ is ϵ. The μ-probability that $\Lambda(\Gamma) = \{\lambda_+\}$ cannot be positive if H is non-elementary, since the H-orbit of λ_+ is infinite [59, Theorem 1.1] and there is equal probability of having limit set any translate of λ_+. Therefore, $\Lambda(\Gamma) = \emptyset$ with positive μ-probability.

Suppose now that (2) does not hold: then with positive μ-probability we have $\Lambda(\Gamma) = \emptyset$ and $\Gamma \notin A$. Pick some $\Gamma < H$ that satisfies these two conditions such that in every neighborhood of Γ the μ-probability of satisfying the two conditions is positive. Since Γ has empty limit set, Γ must be finite and therefore has a nonempty fixed set $F_\Gamma \subset X$, which is a totally geodesic hyperplane in X. Since Γ is not contained in A, there is some hyperbolic element $h \in H$ whose axis is not contained in F_Γ. Then fixing $x \in X$,

$$\max_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \operatorname{dist}\left(\gamma \circ h^i(x), h^i(x)\right) \longrightarrow \infty$$

as i increases. Moreover, this is true uniformly over some neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of $\Gamma \in \mathrm{Sub}_H$. Namely, for sufficiently small $\mathcal{U}$, we have that as i increases,

$$\inf_{\text{finite } \Gamma' \in \mathcal{U}} \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma'} \operatorname{dist}\left(\gamma \circ h^i(x), h^i(x)\right) \longrightarrow \infty.$$

We can rephrase this by saying that as i increases,

$$\inf_{\text{finite } \Gamma' \in h^{-i}\mathcal{U}h^i} \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma'} \operatorname{dist}\left(\gamma(x), x\right) \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Thus for an infinite collection of indices i, the subsets $h^{-i}\mathcal{U}h^i$ are pairwise disjoint in Sub_H. This is a contradiction, since they all have the same positive μ-measure. $\square$

If $\Gamma < G$ is a subgroup whose limit set is not the full boundary $\partial_\infty G$, then there is no upper bound for the local injectivity radius $inj_{\Gamma\backslash X}(x)$ at points $x \in \Gamma\backslash X$. We pose the following question, which is related to Proposition 11.3.

11.4. **Question.** *Suppose that μ is an ergodic IRS of G. Does the function*

$$\mathrm{Sub}_G \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \Gamma \mapsto inj_{\Gamma\backslash X}([id])$$

have finite μ-expected value? Here, $[id]$ is the projection of the identity element under $G \longrightarrow \Gamma\backslash X = \Gamma\backslash G/K$.

The condition on ergodicity is essential, as otherwise one can easily construct convex combinations of lattice IRS's with infinite expected injectivity radius. Also, in Section 12.1 we construct ergodic IRS's that have *unbounded* injectivity radius.

12. Exotic IRS in dimensions two and three

12.1. **Random trees of pants — examples in $G = \mathrm{SO}(2,1)$.** The idea for this construction was suggested by Lewis Bowen.

Suppose that S is a topological surface obtained by gluing together pairs of pants in the pattern dictated by a 3-valent graph X, i.e. properly embed X in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and let S be the boundary of some regular neighborhood of it. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of simple closed curves on S corresponding to the boundary components of these pants. Each hyperbolic metric on S determines a function

$$\mathcal{C} \to (0,\infty) \times S^1, \quad c \mapsto (l_c, t_c),$$

called its *Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates.* The coordinate l_c records geodesic length and t_c is a 'twisting parameter'. Moreover, these coordinates parameterize

$$\mathcal{M}(S) := \{ \text{ hyperbolic metrics on } S \ \}/\sim,$$

where $d_1 \sim d_2$ if there is an isometry $(S, d_1) \to (S, d_2)$ preserving the homotopy class of each curve in $\mathcal{C}$, [78].

Pick a Borel probability measure ν on $(0,\infty)$ and consider S^1 with Lebesgue probability measure λ. We then have a probability measure $(\nu \times \lambda)^{\mathcal{C}}$ on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(S)$. Fix some pair of pants $P \subset S$ bounded by curves in $\mathcal{C}$. We create an IRS μ of G as follows. Randomly select an element $[d] \in \mathcal{M}(S)$, represented by a hyperbolic metric d on S. Let $P_d \subset S$ be the totally d-geodesic pair of pants in the homotopy class of P and pick a base frame f on P_d randomly with respect to its Haar probability measure m_d. The stabilizer $\mathrm{Stab}(d,f)$ of f under the G-action on the frame bundle of (S,d) is a μ-random subgroup of G.

More formally, if $A \subset \mathrm{Sub}_G$ is a Borel subset, let

$$\mu(A) = \int_{d\in\mathcal{M}(S)} m_d \left({\text{frames } f \text{ on } P_d \atop \text{with } \mathrm{Stab}(d,f)\in A} \right) \, d(\nu \times \lambda)^{\mathcal{C}}.$$

12.2. **Proposition.** *If the 3-valent graph X is vertex transitive, then μ is G-invariant. Moreover, if X is also infinite then μ is ergodic.*

Proof. Fix $g \in G$ and some Borel subset $A \subset \mathrm{Sub}_G$. Subdividing A if necessary, we may assume that there is some pair of pants $P' \subset S$ bounded by curves in $\mathcal{C}$ such that if d is a hyperbolic metric on X as above and f is a frame on P_d, then

$$\mathrm{Stab}(d,f) \in A \implies gf \in P'_d,$$

where P'_d is the totally d-geodesic pair of pants homotopic to P'. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(A) &= \int_{d\in\mathcal{M}(S)} m_d\left(\substack{\text{frames } f \text{ on } P'_d\\ \text{with } \mathrm{Stab}(d,f)\in gA}\right) d(\nu\times\lambda)^{\mathcal{C}}\\ &= \int_{d'\in\mathcal{M}(S)} m_{d'}\left(\substack{\text{frames } f \text{ on } P_{d'}\\ \text{with } \mathrm{Stab}(d',f)\in gA}\right) d(\nu\times\lambda)^{\mathcal{C}}\\ &= \mu(gA).\end{aligned}$$

Here, the first equality follows from our assumption on A and the fact that g acts as a measure preserving homeomorphism on the frame bundle of (S,d). For the seco nd, let $v\mapsto v'$ be a graph isomorphism of X taking the vertex corresponding to P to that corresponding to P'. Then there is an induced map $d\mapsto d'$ on $\mathcal{M}(S)$; this map preserves the measure $(\nu\times\lambda)^{\mathcal{C}}$, so the second inequality follows.

Now suppose that X is infinite and $A\subset \mathrm{Sub}_G$ is a G-invariant set. Define

$$\overline{A} = \{\, d\in\mathcal{M}(S) \mid \exists \text{ a frame } f \text{ on } P_d \text{ with } \mathrm{Stab}(d,f)\in A\,\}.$$

The set $\overline{A}$ is invariant under the action on $\mathcal{M}(S)$ corresponding under Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates to the subgroup of $\mathcal{C}$-permutations arising from graph automorphisms of X. As X is infinite and vertex transitive, for every finite subset F of $\mathcal{C}$ there is such a permutation such that F is disjoint from its image. It follows from a standard argument that the $(\nu\times\lambda)^{\mathcal{C}}$-measure of $\overline{A}$ is either 0 or 1. As A is G-invariant, it can be recovered from $\overline{A}$ as the set of stabilizers of all frames on (S,d) where d ranges through $\overline{A}$. Therefore, $\mu(A)$ is either 0 or 1. $\square$

If X is infinite and vertex transitive and ν is non-atomic and supported within $(0,\epsilon)$, where ϵ is less than the Margulis constant, the measure μ cannot be induced from a lattice. For then with full $(\nu\times\lambda)^{\mathcal{C}}$-probability, the length parameters of the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates of a point in $\mathcal{M}(S)$ cannot be partitioned into finitely many rational commensurability classes. In a finite volume hyperbolic surface, there are only finitely many closed geodesics with length less than ϵ. If a hyperbolic surface isometrically covers a finite volume hyperbolic surface, then the lengths of its closed geodesics that are shorter than ϵ can be partitioned into finitely many rational commensurability classes. Therefore, at most a measure zero set of Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates give hyperbolic structures on S that isometrically cover finite volume hyperbolic surfaces. This shows that μ cannot be induced from a lattice.

There is one additional feature of this example that is of interest:

12.3. **Proposition.** *If X is an infinite 3-valent tree and ν has unbounded support, then the injectivity radius at the base frame of a framed hyperbolic surface has infinite μ-essential supremum.*

This should be compared with Question 11.4, which asks whether there is an ergodic μ such that the injectivity radius function has infinite μ-expected value.

12.4. **Lemma.** *Suppose $l>0$ and P is a hyperbolic pair of pants with geodesic boundary all of whose boundary components have length in $[l,l+1]$. Let γ be a geodesic segment in P that has endpoints on ∂P but is not contained in ∂P. Then*

$$\mathrm{length}(\gamma) \geq \sinh\left(\frac{1}{\sinh(l)}\right).$$

Also, if the endpoints of γ lie on the same component of ∂P then $\mathrm{length}(\gamma)\geq \frac{l-1}{2}$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the lemma when γ is a simple closed curve. For the first part, double P to obtain a closed hyperbolic surface of genus 2. There is a closed geodesic $\bar{\gamma}$ on this surface homotopic to the double of γ; this has length at most twice that of γ. The Collar Lemma [49, Lemma 12.6] states that the radius $\sinh^{-1}\left(1/\sinh(\frac{1}{2}\text{length}\bar{\gamma})\right)$-neighborhood of $\bar{\gamma}$ is an annulus; as some boundary curve of P intersects $\bar{\gamma}$, this radius is at most l. This gives the first inequality.

If the endpoints of γ lie on the same component of ∂P, they partition that component into two arcs α and β. Without loss of generality, $\text{length}(\alpha) \leq \frac{l+1}{2}$. But the concatenation $\gamma \cdot \alpha$ is homotopic to one of the other two boundary components of P, so it must have length at least l. The lemma follows. □

Proof of Proposition 12.3. Recall that to pick a μ-random framed hyperbolic surface, we choose length and twist parameters for each edge of X, produce from these a hyperbolic metric d on the surface S and then choose a base frame randomly from a totally geodesic pair of pants P_d on S corresponding to some root of X. Fix some large $l, R > 0$ such that $\nu([l, l+1]) > 0$. Then with positive probability the length parameters for every edge in an R-ball around the root in X are within $[l, l+1]$. It follows that the injectivity radius at any point $p \in P_d$ is at least

$$\star = \min\left\{\frac{l-1}{2},\ R\sinh\left(\frac{1}{\sinh(l)}\right)\right\}.$$

To see this, note that the injectivity radius is realized as the length of a geodesic segment which starts and terminates at p. Either this geodesic enters and leaves the same boundary component of some pair of pants with boundary lengths in $[l, l+1]$, in which case the first estimate applies, or it passes through at least R such pants and the second applies. As $\star$ can be made arbitrarily large, the proposition follows. □

12.5. **IRS's of $\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ supported on thick surface groups.** Suppose that Σ is a closed, orientable surface of genus g. In this section we construct a large family of IRS's of $\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ that are supported on subgroups Γ with $\mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ homeomorphic to $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$. These examples are similar in spirit to those constructed — in any dimensions — by gluings in the following section, but have the added feature that they are supported on finitely generated subgroups of $\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$.

The authors would like to thank Yair Minsky for an invaluable conversation that led to this example.

12.6. The construction makes use of the action of the mapping class group $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$ on the Teichmuller space $\mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$; we refer to [78], [60], [49] for the general theory. We identify $\mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$ as the space of equivalence classes of hyperbolic metrics on Σ, where two metrics d_0, d_1 are equivalent if there is an isometry $(\Sigma, d_0) \to (\Sigma, d_1)$ homotopic to the identity map. We will sometimes denote elements of Teichmuller space as (Σ, d) and sometimes as X, depending on context. The group $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$ acts properly discontinuously on $\mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$ and the quotient is the moduli space of all hyperbolic metrics on Σ. Teichmuller space admits a natural *Teichmuller metric* (see [60]), with respect to which $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$ acts by isometries. Thurston has shown [111] how to give the union of $\mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$ with the space of projective measured lamination space $\mathcal{PML}(\Sigma)$ a natural topology so that the resulting space is homeomorphic to a ball of dimension $6g-6$, with $\mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$ as the interior and $\mathcal{PML}(\Sigma)$ as the boundary. This topology is natural, in the sense that the action of $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$ on $\mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$ extends continuously to the natural action of $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$ on $\mathcal{PML}(\Sigma)$.

Our construction of IRS's of $\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ relies on the following definition.

12.7. **Definition** (Farb-Mosher)**.** A finitely generated, free subgroup $F \subset \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$ is *Schottky* if any orbit of the action of F on $\mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$ is *quasi-convex,* i.e. after fixing $X \in \mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$, there is some $C > 0$ such that any Teichmuller geodesic segment that joins two points from the orbit $F(X)$ lies in a C-neighborhood of $F(X)$.

Remark. Farb and Mosher [50] have shown that if $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n$ are pseudo-Anosov elements of $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$ with pairwise distinct attracting and repelling laminations, then for all choices of sufficiently large exponents the elements $\phi_1^{e_1}, \dots, \phi_n^{e_n}$ freely generate a purely pseudo-Anosov Schottky subgroup of $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$.

Suppose from now on that $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \in \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$ freely generate a Schottky subgroup $F \subset \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$. Choose a sequence of finite strings

$$e^1 = (e^1_1, \dots, e^1_{n_1}), \qquad e^2 = (e^2_1, \dots, e^2_{n_2}), \qquad \dots$$

with entries in $\{0, \dots, n\}$ and let C be the sub-shift of $\{0, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ consisting of strings all of whose finite substrings are contained in e^i for some i. Set

$$f_i : \Sigma \to \Sigma, \quad f_i = \phi_{e^i_{n_i}} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{e^i_1}.$$

A celebrated theorem of Thurston [113] then implies that each *mapping torus*

$$M_{f_i} = \Sigma \times [0,1]/(x,0) \sim (f_i(x), 1)$$

admits a (unique) hyperbolic metric. We let μ_i be the corresponding IRS of $\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$.

12.8. **Theorem.** *Any weak limit of a subsequence of (μ_i) is an IRS μ of* $\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ *that is supported on subgroups $\Gamma <$* $\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ *with $\mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ homeomorphic to $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, if the shift space C does not contain periodic sequences, no subgroup $\Gamma <$* $\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ *in the support of μ is contained in a lattice of* $\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$*.*

Before beginning the proof in earnest, we give a motivational outline. The idea is to associate to use the Schottky group $\langle \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \rangle$ to associate to every element $\gamma \in \{0, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ of the shift space a pair consisting of the following elements:

1. a hyperbolic 3-manifold N_γ homeomorphic to $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$
2. a 'coarse base point' P_γ, i.e. a subset of N_γ with universally bounded diameter.

Shifting a string γ corresponds to shifting the base point of N_γ and convergence of $\gamma_i \in \{0, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ corresponds to based Gromov Hausdorff convergence of the associated pairs $(N_{\gamma_i}, P_{\gamma_i})$. A periodic string with period $(e_1, \dots, e_k)$ corresponds to the infinite cyclic cover of the mapping torus $M_{\phi_{e_1}, \dots, \phi_{e_k}}$, where the placement of the base point depends on the particular shift of the periodic string; moreover, no aperiodic string produces a hyperbolic 3-manifold that covers a finite volume manifold. If the IRS's μ_i limit to μ as in the statement of the theorem, then the support of μ consists of subgroups $\Gamma < \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ a based Gromov Hausdorff limit of the mapping tori M_{f_i} (in fact, of their infinite cyclic covers) under some choice of base points. Using the correspondence above, we see that such $\mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ arise from elements of $\{0, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ that are limits of periodic sequences used in producing the mapping tori M_{f_i}. Varying the base points chosen on M_{f_i} gives Gromov Hausdorff limits corresponding exactly to the elements of the sub-shift $C \subset \{0, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Therefore, as long as C does not contain periodic sequences, no such Gromov Hausdorff limit can cover a finite volume hyperbolic 3-manifold.

The correspondence between elements of $\{0,\dots,n\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and coarsely based hyperbolic 3-manifolds occupies most of the exposition to follow. It will be convenient to embed the shift space inside of an auxiliary space, consisting of geodesics in the Schottky group $F = \langle \phi_1,\dots,\phi_n\rangle$. Namely, we consider F with its *word metric:*

$$\operatorname{dist}(g,h) = \min\{k \mid h^{-1}g = \phi_{i_1}\dots\phi_{i_k}\}.$$

and define the *space of geodesics* in F as the set

$$\mathcal{G}(F) := \{\gamma : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow F \mid \gamma \text{ word-isometric embedding}\},$$

which we consider with the compact-open topology. The space of geodesics $\mathcal{G}(F)$ has a natural *shift operator* defined by the formula

$$S : \mathcal{G}(F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(F), \quad S(\gamma)\,(i) = \gamma(i-1).$$

The group F acts on $\mathcal{G}(F)$ via $(g\cdot\gamma)(x) = g\gamma(x)$ and the quotient $\mathcal{G}(F)/F$ can be identified with the space of geodesics $\gamma : \mathbb{Z} \to F$ with $\gamma(0) = 1$. Note that the shift operator S descends to another 'shift operator', also called S, on $\mathcal{G}(F)/F$. Finally, there is then a natural shift invariant embedding

$$\{0,\dots,n\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(F)/F, \qquad e \mapsto [\gamma_e]$$

determined by the constraint $\gamma_e(i)^{-1}\gamma_e(i+1) = \phi_{e_i}$.

It now remains to relate elements of $\mathcal{G}(F)/F$ to hyperbolic 3-manifolds. The key to this is Minsky's theorem [80] that ϵ-thick doubly degenerate hyperbolic 3-manifolds homeomorphic to $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ are modeled on geodesics in the Teichmuller space $\mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$. The plan for the rest of the section is as follows. In section 12.9, we show how to go from elements of $\mathcal{G}(F)/F$ to Teichmuller geodesics. Section 12.13 describes Minsky's theorem above, and the following section completes the relationship between elements of $\mathcal{G}(F)/F$ and hyperbolic 3-manifolds. Section 12.20 shows that convergence in $\mathcal{G}(F)/F$ translates to based Gromov Hausdorff convergence of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. We then indicate how shift-periodic elements of $\mathcal{G}(F)/F$ correspond to infinite cyclic covers of mapping tori, and end with a section devoted to the proof of Theorem 12.8.

12.9. **Geodesics in F and thick Teichmuller geodesics.** Let $O : F \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$ be a fixed F-equivariant map. It follows from [50, Theorem 1.1] that the map O is a quasi-isometric embedding that extends continuously to an F-equivariant embedding $O : \partial_\infty F \longrightarrow \mathcal{PML}(\Sigma)$. The following lemma is implicit in [50].

12.10. **Lemma.** *For sufficiently large $C > 0$, if $\gamma : \mathbb{Z} \to F$ is any geodesic then there is a Teichmuller geodesic $\alpha_\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$ such that the Hausdorff distance between $O \circ \gamma(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\alpha_\gamma(\mathbb{R})$ is at most C. If we require*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \alpha_\gamma(t) = \lim_{i\to\infty} O\circ\gamma(i), \qquad \lim_{t\to-\infty} \alpha_\gamma(t) = \lim_{i\to-\infty} O\circ\gamma(i),$$

then α_γ is unique up to orientation preserving reparameterization.

Proof. By quasi-convexity of $O(F) \subset \mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$, each of the geodesic segments

$$\alpha_i = [O\circ\gamma(-i), O\circ\gamma(i)] \subset \mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$$

is contained in the ϵ-thick part

$$\mathbb{T}_\epsilon(\Sigma) = \{(\Sigma,d) \in \mathbb{T}(\Sigma) \mid inj(\Sigma,d) \geq \epsilon\}$$

of $\mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$ for some universal $\epsilon = \epsilon(F,O)$. Therefore, Theorem 4.2 from [82] implies that there exists some $C = C(F,O) > 0$ such that $\{O\circ\gamma(-i),\dots,O\circ\gamma(i)\}$ is contained in a C-neighborhood of α_i for all i. This means that all α_i pass within a bounded distance of $O \circ \gamma(0)$, so Arzela-Ascoli's theorem guarantees that after passing to a subsequence (α_i) converges to a geodesic $\alpha_\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$. Then $O \circ \gamma(\mathbb{Z})$ lies in a C-neighborhood of $\alpha_\gamma(\mathbb{R})$, and thus also $\alpha_\gamma(\mathbb{R})$ lies in a C'-neighborhood of $O \circ \gamma(\mathbb{Z})$ for some C' depending on C and the distortion constants of the quasi-isometric embedding O. The uniqueness is [50, Lemma 2.4]. □

Note in particular that α_γ has image contained in the ϵ-thick part $\mathbb{T}_\epsilon(\Sigma)$ for some universal $\epsilon = \epsilon(F, O)$.

12.11. *Remark.* Here one word of caution about Thurston's boundary of Teichmuller space is in order: if $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$ is a geodesic ray, then it is not always true that γ converges to a single point in the boundary $\mathcal{PML}(\Sigma)$ [67]. It is however true if γ is contained in $\mathbb{T}_\epsilon(\Sigma)$, the ϵ-thick part of Teichmuller space, as follows from three theorems of Masur [77, Theorem 1.1], [76], [75]. We state these as a lemma:

12.12. **Lemma** (Masur). *Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{T}_\epsilon(\Sigma)$ be a geodesic ray. Then γ converges to a point $\lambda \in \mathcal{PML}(\Sigma)$, and the lamination λ is filling and uniquely ergodic. Furthermore, if two geodesic rays $\gamma, \gamma' : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{T}_\epsilon(\Sigma)$ converge to the same point of $\mathcal{PML}(\Sigma)$, then they are asymptotic.*

12.13. **Doubly degenerate hyperbolic structures on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$.** In this section we review some well-known facts about the geometry of hyperbolic structures on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ and present Minsky's theorem that thick doubly degenerate hyperbolic structures are modeled on Teichmuller geodesics. To begin, consider the deformation space

$$AH(\Sigma) = \{\rho : \pi_1\Sigma \to \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C} \mid \rho \text{ discrete, faithful }\}/\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C},$$

where the quotient is with respect to the conjugation action of $\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$. We consider $AH(\Sigma)$ with the topology induced from the compact-open topology on the representation variety $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1\Sigma, \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C})$. The space $AH(\Sigma)$ has a geometric interpretation as the set of 'marked isometry types' of hyperbolic structures on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$:

$$AH(\Sigma) \cong \left\{ (N, \mu) \;\Big|\; \substack{N \text{ is a hyperbolic } 3-\text{manifold} \\ \text{homeomorphic to } \Sigma\times\mathbb{R}}\, , \substack{\mu : \Sigma \longrightarrow N \text{ is a} \\ \text{homotopy equivalence}} \right\} / \sim,$$

where $(N_1, \mu_1) \sim (N_2, \mu_2)$ when there is an isometry $\phi : N_1 \to N_2$ with $\phi \circ \mu_1$ homotopic to μ_2. Here, the correspondence assigns to a representation ρ the quotient manifold $N = \mathbb{H}^3/\rho(\pi_1\Sigma)$ and a marking $\mu : \Sigma \to N$ such that composing $\mu_* : \pi_1\Sigma \to \pi_1 N$ with the holonomy map gives ρ up to conjugacy. We will use these two descriptions of $AH(\Sigma)$ interchangeably.

Suppose now that N is a complete hyperbolic 3-manifold with no cusps that is homeomorphic to $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$. The two ends of N admit a geometric classification: very loosely, an end of N is *convex cocompact* if level surfaces increase in area as they exit the end, while an end is *degenerate* if the areas of level surfaces stay bounded. We refer the reader to [78] for actual definitions and further exposition.

One says N is *doubly degenerate* if both its ends are degenerate. We write

$$\mathcal{DD}(\Sigma) = \{\, (N, \mu) \in AH(\Sigma) \mid N \text{ doubly degenerate}\}$$

for the space of all doubly degenerate elements of the deformation space $AH(\Sigma)$.

If (N, μ) is doubly degenerate, each of its ends has an *ending lamination,* a geodesic lamination on Σ that captures the geometric degeneration of level surfaces exiting that end (see [78]). Ending laminations are always filling and they always admit a transverse measure of full support [81, Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4]. It is therefore convenient to defined the space $\mathcal{EL}(\Sigma)$ as the set of supports of filling measured laminations on Σ; in particular, the weak-* topology on (filling) measured laminations descends to a natural topology on $\mathcal{EL}(\Sigma)$. We then have a function

$$\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{DD}(\Sigma) \longrightarrow \mathcal{EL}(\Sigma) \times \mathcal{EL}(\Sigma)$$

that takes a doubly degenerate hyperbolic 3-manifold to its two ending laminations.

The following theorem is well-known: the injectivity is Thurston's Ending Lamination Conjecture, recently resolved by Brock-Canary-Minsky [28], and the topological content follows from standard arguments and Brock's proof of the continuity of Thurston's length function [27]. However, a nicely written proof of the full statement was recorded by Leininger and Schleimer in [66].

12.14. **Theorem** (Theorem 6.5, [66]). *The map above gives a homeomorphism*

$$\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{DD}(\Sigma) \longrightarrow \mathcal{EL}(\Sigma) \times \mathcal{EL}(\Sigma) \, - \, \Delta$$

onto the space of distinct pairs of elements of $\mathcal{EL}(\Sigma)$.

A fundamental result of Minsky [81] states that doubly degenerate hyperbolic 3-manifolds with injectivity radius bounded away from zero are 'modeled' on universal curves over bi-infinite geodesics in Teichmuller space. This was an early part of Minsky's program to prove Thurston's Ending Lamination Conjecture, which as noted above was established by Brock-Canary-Minsky in [28]. The following theorem is a major step in this work.

12.15. **Theorem** (Minsky [80]). *If* $(N,\mu) \in \mathcal{DD}(\Sigma)$ *is a doubly degenerate hyperbolic* 3*-manifold and* $inj(N) \geq \epsilon > 0$, *there is some* $C = C(\epsilon, \Sigma)$ *and a bi-infinite Teichmuller geodesic* $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$ *with the following properties.*

1. *If* (Σ, d) *is a point on the geodesic* $\alpha(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$, *there is a point* $(\Sigma, d') \in \mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$ *at distance at most* C *from* (Σ, d) *and a pleated surface* $f_d : (\Sigma, d') \longrightarrow N$ *in the homotopy class of* μ.
2. *If* $f : (\Sigma, d') \longrightarrow N$ *is a pleated surface in the homotopy class of* μ, *then the distance in* $\mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$ *between* (Σ, d') *and the image* $\alpha(\mathbb{R})$ *is at most* C.

Moreover, α *limits to exactly two points on* $\mathcal{PML}(\Sigma) = \partial\mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$, *the unique projective measured laminations supported on the two ending laminations* $\mathcal{E}(N,\mu)$. *If*

$$\mathcal{E}(N,\mu) = \left(\lim_{t\to\infty} \alpha(t), \lim_{t\to-\infty} \alpha(t) \right),$$

then α *is unique up to orientation preserving reparameterization. In this case, we say that* (N,μ) *is* modeled on *the geodesic* $\alpha \subset \mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$.

Here, a *pleated surface* $f : (\Sigma, d') \longrightarrow N$ is a map that is an isometric embedding on the complement of some geodesic lamination on (Σ, d'). Note that in particular any pleated surface is 1-lipschitz. We refer the reader to [78] for more details.

Remark. We should mention that the statement of Theorem 12.15 given here is slightly different than that of [80]. First of all, his theorem is more general since it deals with arbitrary hyperbolic 3-manifolds rather than doubly degenerate structures on $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$. Also, his (1) states that (Σ, d) can be mapped into N by a map with bounded *energy,* rather than giving a pleated map from a nearby point in $\mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$. The version of (1) above can be deduced from his (1) and Proposition 6.2 from his paper. Next, Minsky's statement does not reference uniqueness of α or its endpoints in $\mathcal{PML}(\Sigma)$. However, if a geodesic α satisfies (1) then it follows from

Corollary 9.3 of [81] all accumulation points of α in $\mathcal{PML}(\Sigma)$ are supported on one of the ending laminations of (N, μ). Furthermore, (1) implies immediately that α is contained in some ϵ'-thick part of Teichmuller space, so Theorem 12.12 shows that the ending laminations of (N, μ) support unique projective measured laminations and that these are the endpoints of α in $\mathcal{PML}(\Sigma)$. Theorem 12.12 shows that any two such α lie at bounded Hausdorff distance, so as any such α is contained in the thick part of Teichmuller space the uniqueness follows from [50, Lemma 2.4].

12.16. **Proposition.** *Suppose that* $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$ *is a geodesic in the* ϵ*-thick part of* $\mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$*. Then there is a unique* $(N, \mu) \in \mathcal{DD}(\Sigma)$ *that is modeled on* α*, in the sense of Theorem 12.15. Moreover,* $inj(N) \geq \epsilon'$ *for some* $\epsilon' > 0$ *depending only on* ϵ*.*

Proof. Let $\lambda_+, \lambda_- \in \mathcal{PML}(\Sigma)$ be the endpoints of α given by Theorem 12.12. Then by Theorem 12.14, there is a doubly degenerate hyperbolic 3-manifold $(N, \mu) \in \mathcal{DD}(\Sigma)$ with ending laminations $\{|\lambda_+|, |\lambda_-|\}$. Combining theorems of Minsky [83] and Rafi [97], we see that as α lies in the ϵ-thick part of $\mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$ there is a lower bound $inj(N) \geq \epsilon' > 0$ for the injectivity radius of N. Then Theorem 12.15 shows that there is some Teichmuller geodesic $\alpha' \subset \mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$ on which (N, μ) is modeled. But the uniqueness statement in Theorem 12.12 then implies that $\alpha' = \alpha$. ☐

12.17. **Geodesics in F and hyperbolic 3-manifolds.** Now suppose that $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}(F)$ and let $\alpha_\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$ represent the oriented Teichmuller geodesic at bounded Hausdorff distance from $O \circ \gamma(\mathbb{Z})$ given by Lemma12.10. Let

$$(N_\gamma, \mu) \in \mathcal{DD}(\Sigma)$$

be the unique doubly degenerate hyperbolic 3-manifold modeled on the geodesic α_γ, as given by Proposition 12.16. Then recalling that $\gamma(0) \in \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$, we define

$$\mu_\gamma = \mu \circ \gamma(0) : \Sigma \longrightarrow N_\gamma.$$

This gives a map $\mathcal{G}(F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{DD}(\Sigma)$ defined by $\gamma \mapsto (N_\gamma, \mu_\gamma)$.

Remark. It follows from Proposition 12.16 that the manifolds N_γ above all have injectivity radius $inj(N_\gamma) \geq \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ depending only on F and O.

12.18. The map : $\mathcal{G}(F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{DD}(\Sigma)$ factors as

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{G}(F) \arrow[rr] \arrow[dr] & & \mathcal{DD}(\Sigma) \\
& \mathcal{G}(F)/F \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

To see this, suppose that $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}(F)$ and $g \in F$. Then $O(g\cdot\gamma) = gO(\gamma)$, so the nearby Teichmuller geodesics satisfy $\alpha_{g\gamma} = g\alpha_\gamma$. However, if (N_γ, μ) is modeled on α_γ then it follows immediately from the conditions in Theorem 12.15 that $(N_\gamma, \mu \circ g^{-1})$ is modeled on $\alpha_{g\gamma}$. So, $N_\gamma = N_{g\gamma}$ and $\mu_{g\gamma} = \mu \circ g^{-1} \circ (g \cdot \gamma(0)) = \mu \circ \gamma(0) = \mu_\gamma$.

12.19. **Proposition.** *The map* $\mathcal{G}(F)/F \to \mathcal{DD}(\Sigma)$*,* $[\gamma] \mapsto (N_\gamma, \mu_\gamma)$ *is an embedding.*

Proof. As mentioned above, the quotient map $\mathcal{G}(F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(F)/F$ restricts to a homeomorphism on the subset $\mathcal{G}_0(F)$ consisting of geodesic $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}(F)$ with $\gamma(0) = 1$. Using the notation of section 12.17, for $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_0(F)$ we have $\mu = \mu_\gamma$. Therefore,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{G}_0(F) \arrow[rr, "\gamma \mapsto (N_\gamma, \mu_\gamma)"] \arrow[dr, "E"'] & & \mathcal{DD}(\Sigma) \\
& \mathcal{EL}(\Sigma) \times \mathcal{EL}(\Sigma) - \Delta \arrow[ur, "\mathcal{E}^{-1}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes, where E takes a geodesic $\gamma : \mathbb{Z} \to F$ to the pair of supports of

$$\left(O\left(\lim_{t\to\infty} \gamma(t) \right), O\left(\lim_{t\to-\infty} \gamma(t) \right) \right) \in \mathcal{PML}(\Sigma) \times \mathcal{PML}(\Sigma) - \Delta$$

and $\mathcal{E}$ is the map that takes a doubly degenerate hyperbolic 3-manifold to its pair of ending laminations (see Theorem 12.14). The maps E and $\mathcal{E}^{-1}$ are continuous and injective by Lemma 12.10 and Theorem 12.14, respectively. As the domain $\mathcal{G}_0(F)$ is compact and the co-domain $\mathcal{DD}(\Sigma)$ is Hausdorff, our map is an embedding. ☐

12.20. **The topologies of $\mathcal{G}(F)/F$ and $\mathcal{DD}(\Sigma)$.** Let $(\Sigma, d_0) = O(1)$ be the point in Teichmuller space that is the image of the identity $1 \in F$. We then have:

12.21. **Lemma.** *For all sufficiently large $C = C(F, O) > 0$, there is some $D > 0$ as follows. If $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}(F)$ then the map $\mu_\gamma : \Sigma \to N_\gamma$ is homotopic to a C-lipschitz map*

$$m : (\Sigma, d_0) \longrightarrow N_\gamma.$$

Moreover, after fixing γ, the union $P_\gamma^C = \bigcup_m m(\Sigma)$ of the images of all such maps is a subset of N_γ with diameter at most D.

Proof. With the notation of section 12.17, the point $O(\gamma(0))$ lies at a bounded distance from the geodesic α_γ. By Theorem 12.15 (1), there is a pleated surface $f' : (\Sigma, d') \to N_\gamma$ in the homotopy class of μ such that

$$\operatorname{dist}\big((\Sigma, d'),\, O(\gamma(0)) \big) \leq C', \text{ for some } C' = C'(F, O).$$

But then there is a pleated surface $f : (\Sigma, \gamma(0)_*^{-1} d) \to N_\gamma$ given by $f = f' \circ \gamma(0)$ homotopic to μ_γ. Since the Teichmuller distance between $(\Sigma, \gamma(0)_*^{-1} d)$ and (Σ, d_0) is bounded by C', there is a C-lipschitz map $(\Sigma, d_0) \to (\Sigma, \gamma(0)_*^{-1} d)$ homotopic to the identity map [34]. Composing this with f yields the map m desired.

For the diameter bound on P_γ, fix an essential closed curve a on Σ. Then if

$$m : (\Sigma, d_0) \longrightarrow N_\gamma$$

is a C-lipschitz map homotopic to μ_γ, the image $m(a)$ is a closed curve in N_γ with length at most $C \operatorname{length}_{d_0}(a)$. As the geodesic representative of $\mu_\gamma(a)$ has length at least $inj(N_\gamma) \geq \epsilon = \epsilon(F) > 0$, its distance to $m(a)$ is at most some $D' = D'(F)$. Therefore, $D = 2D' + C \operatorname{diam}(\Sigma, d_0)$ is a bound for the diameter of the union P_γ. ☐

One way to interpret Lemma 12.21 is that one can regard the image of $\mathcal{G}(F)$ as a space of hyperbolic 3-manifolds with preferred coarsely defined basepoints. The basepoint for (N_γ, μ_γ) is just any point contained in P_γ; these basepoints are then well-defined up to a universally bounded error. This viewpoint motivates the following lemma, which should be interpreted as saying that $\mathcal{G}(F)$ maps continuously when the co-domain is given the topology of based Gromov-Hausdorff convergence.

12.22. **Lemma.** *Suppose that $\gamma_i \to \gamma$ in $\mathcal{G}(F)$ and let C be as in Lemma 12.21. If $p_i \in P^C_{\gamma_i}$ and (N_{γ_i}, p_i) converges in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology to a based hyperbolic 3-manifold (N, p), then N is isometric to N_γ.*

Proof. By Proposition 12.19, the pairs $(N_{\gamma_i}, \mu_{\gamma_i})$ converge to (N_γ, μ_γ) in $\mathcal{DD}(\Sigma)$. Let $\rho_i : \pi_1\Sigma \longrightarrow \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ be representations with $N_{\gamma_i} \cong \mathbb{H}^3/\rho_i(\pi_1\Sigma)$ in such a way that the composition of $\mu_* : \pi_1\Sigma \to \pi_1 N_{\gamma_i}$ with the holonomy map gives ρ_i, and assume by conjugation that ρ_i converges to some ρ_γ similarly associated with (N_γ, μ_γ). As there is a universal lower bound for the injectivity radii $inj(N_{\gamma_i})$ by Lemma 12.10 and Proposition 12.16, the image $\rho_\gamma(\pi_1\Sigma)$ does not contain parabolic elements. So, [6, Theorem F] implies that $\rho_i(\pi_1\Sigma)$ converges to $\rho_\gamma(\pi_1\Sigma)$ in the Chabauty topology.

Fix some point $p \in \mathbb{H}^3$ and denote its projection to quotients by $\bar{p}$. By [12, Theorem E.1.13] the manifolds $(N_{\gamma_i}, \bar{p})$ converge in the Gromov Hausdorff topology to a based hyperbolic 3-manifold isometric to N_γ. As noted in the proof of the lemma above, the points p_i lie at bounded distance from the geodesic representatives in N_{γ_i} of the loops $\mu_{\gamma_i}(a)$, where $a \subset \Sigma$ is any essential closed curve. However, the same is true of the points $\bar{p}$ since these geodesic representatives are the projections of the axes of elements $\rho_i([a]) \in \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$, which converge as $i \to \infty$. Therefore, the distances $\mathrm{dist}(\bar{p}, p_i)$ are universally bounded above, which implies immediately that the Gromov Hausdorff limit N is isometric to N_γ. □

It is clear from the definitions that shifting a geodesic $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}(F)$ does not change the isometry type of the manifold N_γ. Adopting the viewpoint suggested by Lemma 12.21, shifting γ just changes the coarsely defined basepoint P_γ for N_γ. The following lemma shows that the basepoints $P_{S^n(\gamma)}$ of shifts of γ coarsely cover N_γ.

12.23. **Lemma.** *There exists some $C = C(F, O) > 0$ such that if $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}(F)$ and $p \in N_\gamma$, then there exists some shift $S^n(\gamma)$ and an isometry*

$$i : N_\gamma \longrightarrow N_{S^n(\gamma)}$$

such that the distance between $i(p)$ and $P^C_{S^n(\gamma)}$ is at most C.

Proof. As in section 12.17, assume that (N_γ, μ) is the element of $\mathcal{DD}(\Sigma)$ modeled on the Teichmuller geodesic at bounded Hausdorff distance from $\gamma(\mathbb{Z})$. To prove the lemma, we need to show that for large C and some $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a C-lipschitz map $(\Sigma, d_0) \longrightarrow N_\gamma$ in the homotopy class of $\mu \circ \gamma(i)$ whose image is within C of p.

As N_γ is doubly degenerate, it follows from work of Thurston [111] that through the point $p \in N_\gamma$ there is some essential closed curve with length at most some $C(\Sigma)$. Again by [111], this closed curve is geodesically realized by a pleated surface $f : (\Sigma, d) \longrightarrow N_\gamma$ in the homotopy class of μ. Since $inj(N_\gamma) \geq \epsilon = \epsilon(F, O)$, the distance from p to $f(\Sigma)$ is at most some $C(C', \epsilon) = C(F, O)$.

By Theorem 12.15 and Lemma 12.10, there is a point $\gamma(i) \in \mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$ at Teichmuller distance at most some $C(F, O)$ from (Σ, d). Therefore, there is a $C(F, O)$-lipschitz map $g : O(\gamma(i)) \to (\Sigma, d)$ homotopic to the identity map [34]. Then

$$\begin{tikzcd}(\Sigma, d_0) = O(\gamma(0)) \arrow[r, "\gamma(i)"] & O(\gamma(i)) \arrow[r, "g \sim id"] & (\Sigma, d) \arrow[r, "f \sim \mu"] & N_\gamma\end{tikzcd}$$

composes to a C-lipschitz map homotopic to $\mu \circ \gamma(i)$ as desired. □

We use this lemma to prove the following proposition, which loosely states that Gromov Hausdorff limits can always be realized as limits in $\mathcal{G}(F)/F$ up to shifts.

12.24. **Proposition.** *Suppose* γ_i *is a sequence in* $\mathcal{G}(F)$, $p_i \in N_{\gamma_i}$ *and that* (N_{γ_i}, p_i) *converges in the Gromov Hausdorff topology to* (N, p). *Then after passing to a subsequence, there is a sequence* (n_i) *in* $\mathbb{Z}$ *and some* $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}(F)$ *such that*

$$[S^{n_i}(\gamma_i)] \longrightarrow [\gamma] \in \mathcal{G}(F)/F$$

and N_γ *is isometric to* N.

Proof. By Lemma 12.23, we may assume after shifting each γ_i that the base points p_i lie in $P^C_{\gamma_i}$. Without changing their images in $\mathcal{G}(F)/F$, we may translate the geodesics γ_i so that $\gamma_i(0) = 1$ for all i. Then after passing to a subsequence, (γ_i) converges to some $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}(F)$, and the proposition follows from Lemma 12.22. □

12.25. **Shift periodicity and cyclic covers of mapping tori.** If $g : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ is a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism, we define $\widehat{M}_g$ to be the infinite cyclic cover of

$$M_g = \Sigma \times [0, 1]/(x, 0) \sim (g(x), 1)$$

corresponding to the fundamental group of any of the level surfaces $\Sigma \times \{t\}$. Note that the map g is necessary to determine $\widehat{M}_g$ — it is not sufficient to know only the homeomorphism class of M_g. The main result here is the following:

12.26. **Proposition.** *Suppose that* $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}(F)$. *If* $[\gamma] \in \mathcal{G}(F)/F$ *is shift-periodic, then* N_γ *is isometric to* $\widehat{M}_g$ *for some* $g : \Sigma \to \Sigma$. *On the other hand, if* $[\gamma]$ *is not shift-periodic then* N_γ *does not cover a finite volume hyperbolic* 3*-orbifold.*

Proof. Since γ is shift-periodic in $\mathcal{G}(F)/F$, one can easily check that it is the *axis* of some element $g \in F$, i.e. $\gamma(\mathbb{Z})$ is invariant under the action of g on F by left translation and the restriction of g to $\gamma(\mathbb{Z})$ is a nontrivial translation.

Let (N_γ, μ) be the doubly degenerate hyperbolic 3-manifold modeled on the Teichmuller geodesic at bounded Hausdorff distance from $O \circ \gamma(\mathbb{Z})$, as in Definition 12.17. Then $\mu_\gamma = \mu \circ \gamma(0)$ and $\mu_{g\gamma} = \mu \circ g\gamma(0)$. Since (N_γ, μ_γ) and $(N_g\gamma, \mu_{g\gamma})$ represent the same point of $\mathcal{DD}(\Sigma)$, this means that there is an isometry $i : N_\gamma \to N_\gamma$ with $i \circ \mu = \mu \circ g$. As g has infinite order, the isometry i cannot have fixed points. Therefore, the quotient is a hyperbolic 3-manifold M. But $\pi_1 M$ is isomorphic to $\pi_1 M_g$, so a theorem of Waldhausen [115] implies that they are homeomorphic, in which case N_γ is isometric to $\widehat{M}_g$. This finishes the first part of the proposition.

For the second statement, suppose that $N_\gamma = N$ covers a finite volume hyperbolic 3-orbifold. Thurston's Covering Theorem[14] implies that N_γ is isometric to the fiber subgroup of a mapping torus of Σ. Therefore, there is some isometry $i : N_\gamma \longrightarrow N_\gamma$ with $i \circ \mu = \mu \circ f$ for some pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism $f : \Sigma \longrightarrow \Sigma$. Here, $\mu : \Sigma \longrightarrow N_\gamma$ is the map given in section 12.17. Then it follows from Theorem 12.15 that the action of f on Teichmuller space leaves the geodesic $\alpha \subset \mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$ on which (N_γ, μ) is modeled invariant.

Fix some point X on $\alpha \subset \mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$. As $O \circ \gamma(\mathbb{Z})$ lies at bounded Hausdorff distance from α, for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is some $j_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$\sup_i \ \mathrm{dist}\left(f^i(X),\, O \circ \gamma(j_i) \right) < \infty.$$

---

[14] As Thurston's proof is not readily available, we refer the reader to [31] for a proof by Canary of a more general result. Note that although Canary's statement does not deal with orbifold covers, the proof works just as well.

Therefore, by the equivariance of O we have that

$$\sup_i \operatorname{dist}\big(\gamma(j_i)^{-1}f^i(X),\, O\circ\gamma(0)\big) < \infty.$$

Since the action of $\mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$ on $\mathbb{T}(\Sigma)$ is properly discontinuous, this means that the set $\{\gamma(j_i)^{-1}f^i \,|\, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is finite. In other words, we have some $i \neq k$ with

$$g := f^{k-i} = \gamma(j_i)\gamma(j_k)^{-1} \in F.$$

This means that there is some element $g \in F$ that acts as a nontrivial translation along the Teichmuller geodesic α. But recall from Lemma 12.10 that the extension $O : \partial_\infty F \longrightarrow \mathcal{PML}(\Sigma)$ is an embedding. Therefore, as $O \circ \gamma(\mathbb{Z})$ and $O(\operatorname{Axis}(g))$ accumulate to the same points of $\mathcal{PML}(\Sigma)$ we must have $\gamma(\mathbb{Z}) = \operatorname{Axis}(g)$. Now if $\gamma(\mathbb{Z})$ is the axis of $g \in F$, then for some k we have

$$g\gamma(i) = \gamma(i+k) = S^{-k}\gamma(i).$$

Then γ and $S^{-k}(\gamma)$ have the same projection in $\mathcal{G}(F)/F$, so $[\gamma]$ is periodic. □

12.27. **The proof of Theorem 12.8.** For easy reading, we briefly recall the relevant notation. We have pseudo-Anosov maps $\phi_0, \dots, \phi_n \in \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$ that generate a Schottky subgroup $F < \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$. The group F acts on the space $\mathcal{G}(F)$ of its geodesics and there is a shift-invariant embedding

$$\{0, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(F)/F, \qquad e \mapsto [\gamma_e]$$

determined by the constraints $\gamma_e(i)^{-1}\gamma_e(i+1) = \phi_{e_i}$ and $\gamma_e(0) = 1$. Note that any γ_e satisfying the first property has the same projection in $\mathcal{G}(F)/F$, so the condition that $\gamma_e(0) = 1$ is necessary only to uniquely specify γ_e within its equivalence class.

As in the beginning of the section, choose a sequence of finite strings

$$e^1 = (e^1_1, \dots, e^1_{n_1}), \qquad e^2 = (e^2_1, \dots, e^2_{n_2}), \qquad \dots$$

with entries in $\{0, \dots, n\}$ and let C be the sub-shift of $\{0, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ consisting of strings all of whose finite substrings are contained in e^i for some i.

12.28. **Lemma.** *Let $\bar{e}^i \in \{0, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be a bi-infinite string obtained by concatenating copies of e^i. Then the sub-shift C consists of all the accumulation points of sequences $S^{n_j}(\bar{e}^{i_j})$, where $n_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i_j \in \mathcal{N}$ is increasing. Consequently, if*

$$\bar{e}^i \mapsto [\gamma_i] \in \mathcal{G}(F)/F \quad \textit{and} \quad C \mapsto \Gamma_C \subset \mathcal{G}(F)/F$$

then Γ_C consists of all accumulation points in $\mathcal{G}(F)/F$ of sequences $S^{n_j}(\gamma_{i_j})$, where $n_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i_j \in \mathcal{N}$ is increasing.

Write N_i for the manifolds N_{γ_i} modeled on the geodesics in F corresponding to the string e^i, where γ_i is from the lemma above and N_{γ_i} is defined in section 12.17. The mapping classes $f_i = \phi_{e^i_{n_i}} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{e^i_1} \in \mathrm{Mod}(\Sigma)$ all pseudo-Anosov, so each

$$M_i = \Sigma \times [0,1]/(x,0) \sim (f_i(x), 1) \tag{12.28.1}$$

has a hyperbolic metric, unique up to isometry. By Proposition 12.26, we identify N_i with the infinite cyclic cover $\widehat{M}_g$ corresponding to $\pi_1(\Sigma \times \{t\})$. We prove:

12.29. **Theorem.** *Assume $p_i \in M_i$ and that some subsequence of (M_i, p_i) converges in the Gromov Hausdorff topology to a hyperbolic 3-manifold (N, p). If N covers a finite volume hyperbolic 3-orbifold, then C contains a shift-periodic point.*

Proof. Pick lifts $\hat{p}_i$ of p_i in the cyclic covers $N_i \longrightarrow M_i$. We claim that a subsequence of $(N_i, \hat{p}_i)$ converges in the Gromov Hausdorff topology to (N, p). To see this, note that the projection onto the second factor in Equation 12.28.1 gives a map $M_i \to S^1$; define the *circumference* of M_i to be the length of the shortest loop that projects to a nontrivial element of $\pi_1(S^1)$. In [20, Proposition 5.1], it is shown that there are only finitely many ϵ-thick mapping tori with circumference less than a given constant. The proof only uses that the covers N_i are ϵ-thick, though, which we know to be the case by Lemma 12.10 and Proposition 12.16. So, it follows that the circumferences of M_i go to infinity. In other words, there is an increasing sequence $r_i \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the ball $B_{M_i}(p_i, r_i)$ is isometric to $B_{N_i}(\hat{p}_i, r_i)$ for all i. It follows immediately that a subsequence of $(N_i, \hat{p}_i)$ converges to (N, p).

Recall that $N_i = N_{\gamma_i}$, the manifold associated to $\gamma_i \in \mathcal{G}(F)$. By Proposition 12.24, there is a sequence (n_i) in $\mathbb{Z}$ and some geodesic $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}(F)$ with

$$[S^{n_i}(\gamma_i)] \longrightarrow [\gamma] \in \mathcal{G}(F)/F$$

and N_γ isometric to N. Since N covers a finite volume hyperbolic 3-orbifold, $[\gamma]$ is shift-periodic in $\mathcal{G}(F)/F$ by Proposition 12.26. Lemma 12.28 implies that $\gamma \in \Gamma_C$, but then as the map $\{0, \dots, n\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(F)/F$ is a shift-invariant embedding, γ must be the image of some shift-periodic point of C. This finishes the proof. ☐

To conclude this section, we derive the statement of Theorem 12.8 given at the beginning of the section. Suppose that μ_i is the IRS of $\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$ corresponding to the hyperbolic 3-manifold M_i. If we write $M_i = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma_i$, then μ_i is supported on the set of conjugates of $\Gamma_i < \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$. Suppose that μ is the weak limit of some subsequence of μ_i. Then μ is supported within the set of accumulation points in $\mathrm{Sub}_{\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}}$ of sequences $g_i \Gamma_i g_i^{-1}$, where $g_i \in \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$. But if

$$g_i \Gamma_i g_i^{-1} \longrightarrow \Gamma < \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$$

then there are base points $p_i \in M_i$ and $p \in \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ such that (M_i, p_i) converges in the Gromov Hausdorff topology to $(\mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma, p)$. Therefore, Theorem 12.8 follows from Theorem 12.29.

13. A GENERAL GLUING CONSTRUCTION IN SO$(n,1)$

13.1. **Notation.** Let N_0, N_1 be two real hyperbolic n-manifolds such that each have totally geodesic boundary, and each boundary is the disjoint union of two copies of some hyperbolic $(n-1)$-manifold Σ. We choose for each manifold N_a a component Σ_a^+ of ∂N_a, and denote the other one by Σ_a^-; we call $i_a^\pm$ the corresponding embeddings of Σ in ∂N_a. Given a sequence $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ we let N_α denote the manifold obtained by gluing copies of N_0, N_1 according to the pattern prescribed by α:

$$N_\alpha = \left(\bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} N_{\alpha_i} \times \{i\} \right) / (i_{\alpha_i}^+ x, i) \sim (i_{\alpha_{i+1}}^- x, i+1) \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \Sigma).$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we shall take the (abusive) convention of denoting by N_{α_k} the image of $N_{\alpha_k} \times \{k\}$ in N_α. In the same way, for a finite subsequence $(\alpha_k, \dots, \alpha_{k+l})$ we denote by $N_{\alpha_k, \dots, \alpha_{k+l}}$ the image in N_α of the $N_{\alpha_k} \times \{k\}, \dots, N_{\alpha_{k+l}} \times \{k+l\}$ and by Σ_k the hypersurface image of $i_{\alpha_k}^-(\Sigma) \times \{k\}$.

We arbitrarily choose an hyperplane $\mathbb{H}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ and a monodromy $\rho : \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^{n-1})$ (which is equivalent to choosing frames $\mathcal{B}$ on Σ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on $\mathbb{H}^{n-1}$).

Then for any $\alpha \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ there are exactly two monodromies for N_α which restrict to ρ on $\pi_1(\Sigma_0)$; we eliminate the indeterminacy by choosing a side of $\mathbb{H}^{n-1}$ and asking that the developing map maps N_{α_0} on this side (equivalently we choose a normal vector n to Σ_0 in TN_α so that $\mathcal{B} \cup \{n\}$ is a frame on N_α and an orientation of $\mathbb{H}^n$ and take the only positive frame on $\mathbb{H}^n$ containing $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$). We denote by Λ_α the image of $\pi_1(N_\alpha)$ under this monodromy.

13.2. **Construction of the IRS.** Let ν be a Borel measure on the Cantor set $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$; we define a random subgroup μ_ν of $\mathrm{SO}(1,n)$ in the following manner: Let ν' be the probability measure on $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ defined on Borel sets $A \subset \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by

$$\nu'(A) = \frac{\int_A \mathrm{vol}(N_{\alpha_0}) d\nu(\alpha)}{\int_{\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}} \mathrm{vol}(N_{\alpha_0}) d\nu(\alpha)}.$$

Then a μ_ν-random subgroup is the stabilizer in G of a random point in the preimage in $\Lambda_\alpha \backslash G$ of N_{α_0} (equivalently it is the stabilizer in G of a random frame on the image of N_{α_0} in $\Lambda_\alpha \backslash \mathbb{H}^n$) where α is a ν'-random sequence.

Let σ be the shift map on $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Suppose that ν is a σ-invariant probability measure on $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ supported on a periodic orbit, i.e. there is some $\alpha \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\sigma^k(\alpha) = \alpha$ and $\nu = \frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \delta_{\sigma^i(\alpha)}$. We can construct a closed manifold M from α:

$$M = \left(\bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}} N_{\alpha_i} \times \{i\} \right) / (i^+_{\alpha_i} x, i) \sim (i^-_{\alpha_{i+1}} x, i+1) \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}).$$

Then each N_β, with β in the support of ν, is an infinite cyclic cover of M and the random subgroup μ_ν is equal to the random subgroup obtained — as in §11 above — from the normal subgroup $\pi_1(N_\alpha)$ of the lattice $\pi_1(M)$. In particular it is invariant and ergodic. Note that the measure ν is an ergodic measure for the shift. A more general result holds:

13.3. **Lemma.** *Let ν be a shift-invariant ergodic measure on $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Then the random subgroup μ_ν constructed above is an ergodic IRS.*

Proof. Let α be in the support of ν and U be an open bounded set of frames on N_α. Then U and gU are contained in some submanifold $N_{\alpha_k,\dots,\alpha_{k+l}}$. Because of the shift-invariance of ν we get the same random subgroup by taking the stabilizer of a random frame in $N_{\beta_k,\dots,\beta_{k+l}}$ for a ν'-random β. Let V be some neighbourhood of α containing all $\beta \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that for all $i = k, \dots, k+l$ we have $\beta_i = \alpha_i$; for $\beta \in V$ let U_β be the image of U in N_β. Since g preserves the Haar measure on the spaces of frames $\mathcal{F}N_\alpha = \Lambda_\beta \backslash G$, when taking a random frame in $N_{\beta_k,\dots,\beta_{k+l}}$ we have the same probability to land in U_β or gU_β, i.e. if we put

$$W = W(U,V) = \{y \in \mathcal{F}U_\beta,\ \beta \in V\}$$

then we get

$$\mu_\nu(W) = \mu_\nu(\{y \in gU_\beta,\ \beta \in V\}) = \mu_\nu(gW).$$

The G-invariance follows since the sets $\{y \in U_\beta,\ \beta \in V\}$ form a basis for Borel sets in the support of μ_ν.

Since G acts transitively on the frame bundle of a connected G-manifold, if a G-invariant set S of frames contains a frame on some N_α it contains all frames on N_α. It follows that the set $\{\alpha : \Lambda_\alpha \in S\}$ is a shift-invariant set. Since ν is ergodic it follows that this set has full or zero measure so that S has full or zero measure for μ_ν. $\square$

We can see this proof as giving a realization of our IRS μ_ν as a random stabilizer of an action on a probability space as follows. Let Y be the disjoint union of all N_α with the topology where the $W(U,V)$ form a basis of open sets. We can put a measure μ_0 on Y by defining it on the W by the formula

$$\mu_0(W(U,V)) = \frac{\mu_{\mathrm{Haar}}(U)}{\mathrm{vol} N_{\alpha_k,\ldots,\alpha_{k+l}}}\nu'(V).$$

The shift invariance of ν guarantees that this is σ-additive and that it descends to a G-invariant probability measure on the quotient X of Y by the shift.

Remarks. 1) The IRS we constructed are always limits of lattice IRS since shift-invariant measures are limits of measures supported on finite orbits.

2) We could have made the construction with more general graphs: if a group Ω acts freely on a locally finite graph T, D is a connected fundamental domain for Ω and N_0, N_1 are manifolds with totally geodesic boundary whose boundary components are all isometric and indexed by ∂D then for any $\alpha \in \{0,1\}^\Omega$ we can glue them along T in the manner precribed by α to get an hyperbolic manifold. We can then construct an ergodic IRS in the same manner as above using Ω-ergodic probability measures on $\{0,1\}^\Omega$.

13.4. **Exoticity.** By choosing suitable N_0 and N_1 the construction above yields IRS that are not induced from a lattice, which follows from the next theorem.

13.5. **Theorem.** *Suppose that $n \geq 3$ and that N_0 (resp. N_1) is isometrically embedded in a compact arithmetic manifold M_0 (resp. M_1). If M_0, M_1 are non-commensurable then for any sequence $\alpha \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ that is not periodic the manifold N_α does not cover any finite volume hyperbolic manifold.*

13.6. **Corollary.** *Under the same hypotheses as in the above theorem, if the ergodic shift-invariant measure ν is not supported on a periodic orbit then the support of the IRS μ_ν is disjoint from the set of all subgroups of all lattices of G (in particular it follows that μ_ν cannot be induced from a lattice).*

The proof of this theorem occupies the rest of this section. Of course it is easy to see that since N_α does not have cusps it cannot be a cover of any finite-volume noncompact manifold, i.e. Λ_α is not a subgroup of any lattice with unipotent elements. The argument goes as follows: we know that Λ_α does not contain unipotent elements because the global injectivity radius of M_α is positive. On the other hand, if some Λ is a subgroup of a nonuniform lattice $\Gamma \subset G$ and Λ does not contain a nontrivial unipotent element, then Λ must have unbounded local injectivity radius. To see this let B be an horoball in $\mathbb{H}^n$ which projects to a cusp in $\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^3$. We thus know that any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma B \cap B \neq \emptyset$ must be unipotent (and fix the point at infinity of B), so that B injects in $N = \Lambda\backslash\mathbb{H}^n$. It is trivial to see that for any $C > 0$ there exists a point $x \in B$ such that the ball of radius C about x is contained in B (if $B = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n),\ x_n > a\}$ is an horoball about infinity in the upper half-space model we can take $x = (0, \ldots, 0, \exp(C) \times a)$). In particular the local injectivity radius $inj_x(N) > C$, so that the local injectivity radius is unbounded in $B \subset N$. Since the manifold N_α clearly has a bounded local injectivity radius we can conclude that it is not a cover of any manifold with cusps.

It remains to show that if α is nonperiodic the N_α cannot cover a compact manifold. This is much harder, and the plan of proof is as follows: first, in §13.7, we recall the standard construction of arithmetic manifolds with totally geodesic hypersurfaces and recall the commensurability properties of their hybrids (as used in [57]), which results in Proposition 13.11 and Lemma 13.10. Then we make use of a very recent result of Agol [3] to show that the fundamental group of an hypothetic compact quotient of an N_α has good separability properties (see Proposition 13.14). Finally we conclude that N_α has a finite cover having an infinite–order isometry (Lemma 13.17) and show that this forces α to be periodic.

13.7. **Arithmetic manifolds with totally geodesic hypersurfaces.** The standard way to construct arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds which contain totally geodesic hypersurfaces is as follows: let F be a totally real number field and q a quadratic form in $n+1$ variables over F such that q is definite positive at all real places of F but one, where it has signature $(1,n)$. Then the group of integer points $\Gamma_q = \mathrm{SO}(q, \mathcal{O}_F)$ is a lattice in $\mathrm{SO}(1,n)$. If q is written as $a_1x_1^2 + \ldots + a_{n+1}x_{n+1}^2$ where $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ are totally positive and a_{n+1} is negative at exactly one real place, then Γ_q contains the subgroup associated to the quadratic form in n variables $a_2x_2^2 + \ldots + a_{n+1}x_{n+1}^2$ which gives rise to an imbedded totally geodesic hypersurface. It follows from work of Millson that there exists an ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ such that this hypersurface is actually embedded in the manifold associated to the principal congruence subgroup of level $\mathfrak{p}$, i.e. $\Gamma \cap \ker(\mathrm{SL}(n+1, \mathcal{O}_F) \to \mathrm{SL}(n+1, \mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{p}))$. Moreover we can choose $\mathfrak{p}$ so that this hypersurface S is non separating, that is $M - S$ is connected (so that it is the interior of a compact manifold with two boundary components isometric to S). Note also that the isometry type of S depends only on $a_2, \ldots, a_{n+1}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$.

The simplest exemple of the previous procedure is when $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_n > 0$, $a_{n+1} < 0$ but then the manifolds obtained are noncompact for $n \geq 4$. However, if $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ for a square-free rational integer $d > 0$, $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{Q}_+^*$ and $a_{n+1}/\sqrt{d} \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ then q is anisotropic over F so that $\Gamma_q \backslash \mathbb{H}^3$ is compact.

Now we want to find $a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1}$ and a_1' such that:

- Both $a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1}$ and $a_1', \ldots, a_{n+1}$ satisfy the conditions above;
- The lattices obtained from $q = a_1x_1^2 + \ldots + a_{n+1}x_{n+1}^2$ and $q' = a_1'x_1^2 + \ldots + a_{n+1}x_{n+1}^2$ are noncommensurable.

By [57, 2.6] it suffices to show that q' and λq are not isometric for any $\lambda \in F^*$. For n odd, since the discriminants of q' and λq are equal for all λ it suffices that $a_1/a_1' \notin F^2$ since then the discriminants of λq and q' are never the same (as noted in [57]). For example we can take $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ and

$$q = x_1^2 + \ldots + x_n^2 - 3\sqrt{2}x_{n+1}^2, \; q' = 7x_1^2 + \ldots + x_n^2 - 3\sqrt{2}x_{n+1}^2$$

For n even we need to consider another invariant. Let k be any field; for $u, v \in k^*$ the Hilbert symbol (u, v) is defined in [107, III,1.1] as 1 if $1 = uv^2 + vy^2$ for some $x, y \in k$ and -1 otherwise. Then it is shown in [107, IV, Théorème 2] that

$$\varepsilon(q) = \prod_{i<j} (a_i, a_j)$$

is an isometry invariant of q over k. Now we suppose that $k = \mathbb{Q}_p$ for a prime $p > 2$, then for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ we have $(a, b) = -1$ if and only if either p divides a (resp. b) and b (resp. a) is a nonsquare unit (modulo squares), or a, b have the same p-valuation mod 2 and $-a^{-1}b$ is a square unit (see [107, III, Théorème 2]). Now let q and q' be as above and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_7^*$. Since $7 = 3 \pmod 4$, -1 is not a square mod 7 and it follows that $(\lambda, \lambda) = 1$, so that $\varepsilon(\lambda q) = (\lambda, -\lambda\sqrt{2})^n = 1$ since n is even. On the other hand, we have $-3\sqrt{2} = 5 \pmod 7$, which is not a square, so that $\varepsilon(q') = (7, -3\sqrt{2}) = -1$. It follows that q' and λq are not isometric over $\mathbb{Q}_7$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_7$.

The main advantage of working with arithmetic manifolds is that the following criterion for commensurability is available (see [57, 1.6]).

13.8. **Proposition.** *If Γ, Γ' are two arithmetic subgroups in $\mathrm{SO}(1,n)$ such that the intersection $\Gamma \cap \Gamma'$ is Zariski-dense in $\mathrm{SO}(1,n)$, then this intersection has finite index in both of them (so that they are in particular commensurable).*

We will also require the following lemma, which we remark is false for surfaces (for example, two distinct pairs of pants with a common boundary component can intersect in an annulus, and it is not hard to see that there are pants which have a simply connected intersection).

13.9. **Lemma.** *Let $n \geq 3$, W a complete hyperbolic n-manifold and U, U' two submanifolds with compact totally geodesic boundary. Then either the intersection $U \cap U'$ has empty interior or it contains an hyperbolic n-manifold with Zariski-dense fundamental group.*

Proof. We fix a monodromy for the hyperbolic structure on W; then for any totally geodesic submanifold we get a monodromy as a subgroup of $\pi_1(W) \subset \mathrm{SO}(1,n)$. Suppose that $U \cap U'$ contains an open set and let S (resp. S') be a component of ∂U (resp. $\partial U'$) which intersects the interior of U' (resp. U). Then $\pi = \pi_1(S \cap U')$ must be Zariski-dense in the subgroup $H \cong \mathrm{SO}(1,n-1)$ of $\mathrm{SO}(1,n)$ determined by the compact hyperbolic $n-1$-manifold S. Indeed, let S_0 be a connected component of $S \cap U'$. Then the boundary ∂S_0 is a (union of) connected components of $S \cap \partial U'$ and thus a compact hyperbolic manifold of dimension $n-2$; we choose a component S_1. Lemma 1.7.A of [57] tells us that $\pi_1(S_0)$ contains $\pi_1(S_1)$ as a subgroup of infinite index. Now a discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(1,n-1)$ containing a subgroup of infinite index which is a lattice in some closed subgroup $H_2 \cong \mathrm{SO}(1,n-2)$ must be Zariski-dense in $\mathrm{SO}(1,n-1)$ because H_2 is a maximal closed subgroup. In the same way $\pi' = \pi_1(S' \cap U)$ is Zariski-dense in another distinct embedding H' of $\mathrm{SO}(1,n-1)$. It follows that $\langle \pi, \pi' \rangle$ is Zariski-dense in $\mathrm{SO}(1,n)$, and since it is contained in $\pi_1(U \cap U')$ this proves the lemma. □

Let $n \geq 3$ and M_0, M_1 be two noncommensurable arithmetic n-manifolds which contain isometric embedded totally geodesic nonseparating hypersurfaces (it follows from Section 13.7 that two such manifolds exist). We let N_0 and N_1 be M_0 and M_1 cut along those hypersurfaces; as a direct consequence of 13.9 and 13.8 we get:

13.10. **Lemma.** *For any complete hyperbolic manifold W, finite covers N_0', N_1' of N_0, N_1 and isometric embeddings $\iota_0, \iota_1 : N_0', N_1' \hookrightarrow W$, we have that $\iota_0(N_0') \cap \iota_1(N_1')$ has empty interior.*

Proof. Suppose that some component N of $\iota_0(N_0') \cap \iota_1(N_1')$ contains an open set; then by Lemma 13.9, $\pi_1(N)$ is Zariski-dense in $\mathrm{SO}(1,n)$. Thus, the intersection $\pi_1(N_0) \cap \pi_1(N_1)$ contains a Zariski-dense subgroup, which contradicts Proposition 13.8 and the fact that M_0, M_1 are noncommensurable. □

13.11. **Proposition.** *Let* $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in \{0, 1\}$*. Suppose that* $N_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n}$ *and* $N_{\beta_1,\dots,\beta_m}$ *are commensurable. Then* $n = m$ *and* $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$

Proof. First of all, it is easy to see that if all α_i and β_i are equal then $n = m$. Suppose that all α_i are equel to (say) 0; if N is a common finite cover of N_α and N_β then the degree of the covers are equal to respectively $\mathrm{vol}(N)/\mathrm{vol}(N_\alpha)$ and $\mathrm{vol}(N)/\mathrm{vol}(N_\beta)$. But the degrees must be the same because the boundaries of N_α and N_β are the same, so that we get $\mathrm{vol}(N_\alpha) = \mathrm{vol}(N_\beta)$ and this implies that $n = m$.

Now suppose that all α_i are equal to (say) 0. Then no β_i can be equal to 1: if this were the case, then a finite cover N_1' of N_1 would embed isometrically in a finite cover N of N_α. Denote by p the covering map $N \to N_\alpha$; then there exists an index i such that $p^{-1}(N_{\alpha_i}) \cap N_1'$ has nonempty interior, which contradicts Lemma 13.10.

We may now suppose that there is an index $1 \le j < n$ such that all of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j$ are equal to (say) 0 and $\alpha_{j+1} = 1$. Let N be a common finite cover of N_α and N_β and denote by p_α, p_β the covering maps. We must then have $\beta_1 = 0$: since $p_\alpha^{-1}(N_{\alpha_1})$ and $p_\beta^{-1}(N_{\beta_1})$ both have a component of ∂N in their boundary they must intersect in a set with nonempty interior, which forces $\beta_1 = \alpha_1$ by Lemma 13.10. Now let $1 \le l < m$ be the smallest index such that $\beta_{l+1} = 1$ (which must exist by the previous paragraph). Then $p_\beta^{-1}(N_{\beta_1,\dots,\beta_l})$ is contained in $p_\alpha^{-1}(N_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_j})$ (if it was not it would have to meet $p_\alpha^{-1}(N_{\alpha_{j+1}})$ in a set with nonempty interior) and $p_\alpha^{-1}(N_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_j})$ is contained in $p_\beta^{-1}(N_{\beta_1,\dots,\beta_l})$ as well. It follows that $p_\alpha^{-1}(N_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_j}) = p_\beta^{-1}(N_{\beta_1,\dots,\beta_l})$ is a common finite cover for $N_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_j}$ and $N_{\beta_1,\dots,\beta_l}$ so that $l = j$. On the other hand, $p_\alpha^{-1}(N_{\alpha_{j+1},\dots,\alpha_n}) = p_\beta^{-1}(N_{\beta_{l+1},\dots,\beta_m})$ is a common finite cover for $N_{\alpha_{j+1},\dots,\alpha_n}$ and $N_{\beta_{l+1},\dots,\beta_m}$ so that we can iterate the above argument to get the result. □

We note that the proposition above is sufficient to imply the following:

13.12. **Corollary.** *Suppose that there exists a compact* M *and a regular covering map* $N_\alpha \to M$*; then* α *is periodic.*

Proof. The manifold N_α is quasi-isometric to $\mathbb{Z}$, so that the covering group of $N_\alpha \to M$ is quasi-isometric to $\mathbb{Z}$, and thus has $\mathbb{Z}$ as a normal finite-index subgroup according to Hopf's theorem on groups with two ends [40, IV.25.(vi)]. Thus, we have an infinite cyclic covering from N_α to a compact manifold M'. Let λ be an isometry of N_α generating the covering group and choose an index k_0 such that $\alpha_{k_0} \neq \alpha_{k_0-1}$ (note that the case where all α_k are equal is trivial). Since the measure is shift-invariant we may suppose $k_0 = 0$. Then the image by λ of Σ_0 is equal to some Σ_k: suppose that $\lambda\Sigma_0$ meets the interior of some N_{α_i}, then both λN_0 and λN_{-1} would meet the interior of N_{α_i} which contradicts Lemma 13.10. Thus we have $\lambda^i \Sigma_0 = \Sigma_{k_i}$ for some increasing sequence $(k_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and it follows that λ^i induces an isometry from $N_{\alpha_0,\dots,\alpha_{k_1-1}}$ to $N_{\alpha_{k_i},\dots,\alpha_{k_{i+1}-1}}$, so that $k_{i+1} - k_i = k_1$ and $\alpha_{k_i+s} = \alpha_s$ by Proposition 13.11 (we don't use the full result here), i.e. α is periodic of period k_1. □

We still need some results about the manifold M before proving the theorem. The following well-known property will be useful.

13.13. **Lemma.** *For all k define a map:*

$$\pi_k : N_{\alpha_k} = N_{\alpha_k} \times \{k\} \subset N_\alpha \to M.$$

Then the set $\{\pi_k,\ k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is finite.

Proof. We prove the stronger statement that the set of isometric imbeddings of N_0 or N_1 in M is finite. To do this it clearly suffices to show that for any hyperbolic $(n-1)$-manifold S the set $I(M,S)$ of isometric imbeddings of S in M is a finite set. Now $I(M,S)$ is a compact set in the set $C^\infty(S,M)$ of all smooth maps from S to M (with the C^∞ metric): this follows at once from Ascoli's theorem and completeness of $C^\infty(S,M)$ for this metric. Thus, if there were an infinite number of distinct maps in $I(M,S)$ there would be an accumulation point i and we shall presently see that this contradicts the discreteness of Γ.

The imbedding i corresponds to an hyperplane H in $\mathbb{H}^n$ on which a subgroup Δ of Γ acts with quotient isometric to S. The fact that i is a limit point then means that there is a sequence H_n of hyperplanes which converges (in the Hausdorff topology) to H and for each n a subgroup Δ_n of Γ acts on H_n with quotient isometric to S. If an infinite number of H_n are different from H we get a sequence of hyperbolic elements in Γ whose translation length is bounded above (for example by the diameter of S) and whose axis is at distance tending to 0 of, but never contained in, H: this implies that they have an accumulation point, which is absurd because of discreteness of Γ. If all H_n are equal to H for n big enough then all Δ_n are subgroup with the same index of $\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Stab}_G(H)$, and since there is only a finite number of those we get that $\Delta_n = \Delta$ for n big enough. □

We will now show that we can apply the separability results of [16] in our context:

13.14. **Proposition.** *For N_0, N_1 as above we have the following properties*

1. *Any geometrically finite subgroup of Γ is separable;*
2. *If F_1, F_2 are totally geodesic submanifolds in M which are transverse at least at one point then there is a finite cover in which they have lifts which are embedded submanifolds whose intersection is nonempty and connected.*

Proof. It is proven in [16] that if a group is "virtually special" then it satisfies both properties. So we need to check that any cocompact group Γ containing $\pi_1(N'_\alpha)$ is so.

To do this last thing we use the results of [18] and [3]: according to their Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.1 respectively it suffices to verify that the compact manifold M has "enough hypersurfaces" in the following sense: given any two distinct points $x, y \in \partial\mathbb{H}^n$ there exists a quasiconvex hyperplane H such that x, y lie in different connected components of $\partial(\mathbb{H}^n - H)$ and H projects to a compact hypersurface in M.

Recall that M_0 and M_1 are defined by subgroups of $\mathrm{SO}(q_a)$ where q_0, q_1 are quadratic forms defined over number fields F_0, F_1. Thus any F_a-rational hyperplane has a compact image in M_a. We construct a family of such hyperplanes which is finite modulo $\pi_1(M_a)$ and separates points on the boundary as follows: first we take all the lifts of Σ to $\mathbb{H}^n$. Then we choose one of these, and a finite set of F_a-rational hyperplanes which meet it transversally and whose images in M_a have a complement made of simply connected pieces. Then we translate these by $\pi_1(M_a)$. By adding a finite number of hyperplanes we may ensure that their traces on Σ are the same for $a = 0, 1$. Thus we get (imbedded) hypersurfaces in each N_a that we can glue to get hypersurfaces in N_α, and it follows from Lemma 13.13 that they project to compact (imbedded, nonsmooth) hypersurfaces in M.

It remains to check that the hyperplanes in $\mathbb{H}^n$ we get are quasiconvex. To do this we show that they contain a A, B-quasi-geodesic (where A, B depend on the family of hyperplanes) between any two of their points and apply [26, Theorem 1.7 in Chapter III.H]. Let x, y be on an hyperplane H and let m be the number of lifts of the Σ_k that the geodesic segment $[x, y]$ crosses, we may suppose $m \geq 1$. Then there is a $c > 0$ depending only on N_0 and N_1 such that $d(x, y) \geq cm$. On the other hand an hyperplane transverse to the lifts of the Σ_k goes from one to the other in a time (at unit speed) less than C where C depends only on the chosen collection of hyperplanes. It follows that H contains a broken geodesic η from x to y of lenth less than $C(m+1)$, so that we have $d(x, y) \geq cC^{-1}\ell(\eta) - C^{-1}$, i.e. η is an A, B-quasigeodesic where $A = cC^{-1}$ and $B = C^{-1}$. □

We can finally begin the proof of Theorem 13.5. Suppose that we have a sequence $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ so that N_α covers a finite volume manifold $M = \Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^n$. As noted above M cannot be noncompact. Supposing M is compact we will construct a finite cover of N_α on which some isometry $\lambda \in \pi_1(M)$ acts with compact quotient (Lemma 13.17) and then show that this implies the periodicity of α using Proposition 13.11.

We say that an imbedding of $\mathbb{R}$ in a manifold N is a line if it is a quasi-isometry; the following lemma is a consequence of Lemma 13.13 and the first step towards Lemma 13.17.

13.15. **Lemma.** *There exists a closed geodesic in M which lifts to a line in N_α.*

Proof. Let c_0, c_1 be embedded arcs from Σ_0^+, Σ_1^+ to Σ_0^-, Σ_1^-. Then the union ℓ of all $c_i \times \{i\} \subset N_{\alpha_i}$ is a line in N_α. It follows from Lemma 13.13 that ℓ maps to the image of a closed curve c in M: we may then lift c to its whole inverse image in N_α and in this way we get a parametrized line projecting to a parametrized closed curve.

The closed curve c is freely homotopic to a unique closed geodesic; we choose an element $\lambda \in \Gamma$ in the conjugacy class of this geodesic. Then the axis $L \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ of λ maps to a line in N_α, which finishes the proof. □

We will continue to denote by λ an element in Γ whose axis projects to a line in N_α.

13.16. **Lemma.** *In any relation of the form:*

$$\lambda^{k_0} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i \lambda^{k_i} = 1$$

where $\gamma_i \in \Lambda_\alpha$ we must have $\sum_{i=0}^{n} k_i = 0$.

Proof. In the sequel all homologies are taken with rational coefficients. Suppose that we have such a relation; the γ_i are represented by loops in the interior of some $N = N_{\alpha_k, \ldots, \alpha_l}$. Let us denote by $[\lambda]$ the homology class of λ in $H_1(M)$; if we had $s = \sum_i k_i \neq 0$ then $[\lambda]$ would be equal to $1/s \sum_i [\gamma_i]$. This equality actually holds in any finite cover of M. Choose any component F of ∂N; according to (2) of Proposition 13.14 there exists a finite cover M' in which some lifts ℓ', F' of ℓ and F intersect in one point exactly. Let N' be the component of the preimage of N

which contains these particular lifts. Let c be the homology class of $\ell' \cap N'$. Then we have

$$c \in \mathrm{im}(H_1(N') \to H_1(N', \partial N')) \tag{13.16.1}$$

because $[\lambda]$ maps to c under the composition

$$H_1(M') \to H_1(M', \overline{M' - N}) = H_1(N', \partial N').$$

On the other hand, c has a nonzero image under the composition

$$H_1(N', \partial N') \to H_0(\partial N')$$

since its component along $H_0(F')$ is nonzero. Using the long exact sequence of the pair $(N', \partial N')$ we get a contradiction with (13.16.1). □

13.17. **Lemma.** *Define the following subgroups of* Γ*:*

$$\Gamma_1 = \langle \Lambda_\alpha, \lambda \rangle, \; \Lambda = \langle \lambda^k \Lambda_\alpha \lambda^{-k}, \, k \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle.$$

Then:

(i) Γ_1 *is a cocompact lattice;*
(ii) Λ_α *is of finite index in* Λ.

Proof. To prove (i), first note that since N_α is quasi-isometric to the image of L there exists a constant C_0 so that any point in $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ can be brought to distance $\leq C_0$ from L by an element $\gamma \in \Lambda_\alpha$. Let C_1 be the maximal translation distance $d(y, \lambda y)$ for a y such that $d(y, L) \leq C_0$ and $C = \max(C_0, C_1)$. Fix a $x_0 \in L$; then we can bring γx at a distance less than C from x_0 by an element of the form λ^k. It follows that $B(x_0, C)$ surjects to $\Gamma_1 \backslash \mathbb{H}^n$ which then has to be compact.

By Lemma 13.16 above we can define a surjective homomorphism from Γ_1 to $\mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\phi\left(\lambda^{k_0} \prod_{i=1}^n \gamma_i \lambda^{k_i}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^n k_i.$$

We have then $\ker(\phi) = \Lambda$, so that $N = \Lambda \backslash \mathbb{H}^n$ is an infinite cyclic covering of the compact manifold $M' = \Gamma_1 \backslash \mathbb{H}^n$ and is thus quasi-isometric to $\mathbb{Z}$. It follows that the covering map $N_\alpha \to N$ is a quasi-isometry and thus must have finite degree. □

Proof of Theorem 13.5. We may suppose that $\alpha_0 \neq \alpha_{-1}$. By (1) of Proposition 13.14 we get that there exists a normal subgroup Γ' of Γ_1 such that the image in M' of $N_{0,-1}$ lifts to a (maybe nonconnected) separating embedded submanifold in $\Gamma' \backslash \mathbb{H}^n$. Then for $i = 0, \pm 1$ the images $\overline{\Sigma}_i$ of the Σ_i in N lift to embedded separating hypersurfaces $\widehat{\Sigma}_i$ in $N' = (\Lambda \cap \Gamma') \backslash \mathbb{H}^n$. Let N_0', N_{-1}' be the bounded pieces of $N' - (\widehat{\Sigma}_{-1} \cup \widehat{\Sigma}_0)$ and $N' - (\widehat{\Sigma}_0 \cup \widehat{\Sigma}_1)$ respectively. Then N_i' is commensurable to N_{α_i}.

Let N'' be a common finite cover of N_α and N', p, p' the covering maps to N_α, N' respectively. The isometry λ acts on N'; let $\widehat{S} = \lambda \widehat{\Sigma}_0$ and $S = (p')^{-1}(\widehat{S})$. Then S bounds twocompact manifolds with totally geodesic boundary commensurable respectively to N_0' and N_{-1}'. If S would meet the interior of some $p^{-1}(N_{\alpha_k})$, then by Lemma 13.10 N_0' and N_{-1}' would both have to be commensurable to N_{α_k}, which cannot be.

We show that this implies that $S = p^{-1}(\Sigma_{k_1})$ for some $k_1 \neq 0$: suppose that S meets both $p^{-1}(\Sigma_k)$ and $p^{-1}(\Sigma_l)$ for some $k \neq l$. Let c'' be a path from a component of $S \cap^{-1} (\Sigma_k)$ to a component of $S \cap^{-1} (\Sigma_l)$: then $c' = p'(c'')$ is a loop in N' while

$c_\alpha = p(c'')$ is a path from Σ_k to Σ_l. On the other hand both project to a loop c representing some $\gamma \in \pi_1(M)$. Because c to a loop in N' we have $\gamma \in \Lambda$. But no power of γ lies in Λ_α (because the lift of c goes from k to l) which contradicts the commensurability of Λ' and Λ_α. Thus we have shown that there exists k_1 (which must be different from zero) such that $S \subset p^{-1}(\Sigma_k)$; a symmetric argument shows that equality holds.

This means that $\lambda\widehat{\Sigma}_0 = \widehat{\Sigma}_{k_1}$. So by iterating this argument we see that there is an increasing sequence $(k_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $\lambda^i\widehat{\Sigma}_0 = \widehat{\Sigma}_{k_i}$. It follows that we have $N' = \bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \lambda^i U$ where U is the bounded piece in the three-piece manifold

$$N' - (\widehat{\Sigma}_0 \cup \widehat{\Sigma}_{k_1})$$

and two $\lambda^i U$ meet, if at all, in a $\widehat{\Sigma}_{k_j}$. Thus N'' is made up of pieces which are all finite covers of U, and each of one $N_{\alpha_{k_i},\dots,\alpha_{k_{i+1}-1}}$. It follows that all $N_{\alpha_{k_i},\dots,\alpha_{k_{i+1}-1}}$ are commensurable, so that $k_{i+1} - k_i = k_1$ and $(\alpha_{k_i}, \dots, \alpha_{k_{i+1}-1}) = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{k_1})$ for all i by Proposition 13.11. This means that α is periodic of period k_1. $\square$

References

[1] M. Abert, Y. Glasner, and B. Virag. Kesten's theorem for Invariant Random Subgroups. *ArXiv e-prints*, January 2012.

[2] Miklos Abert, Nicolas Bergeron, Ian Biringer, Tsachik Gelander, Nikolay Nikolov, Jean Raimbault, and Iddo Samet. On the growth of Betti numbers of locally symmetric spaces. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 349(15-16):831–835, 2011.

[3] I. Agol, D. Groves, and J. Manning. The virtual Haken conjecture. *ArXiv e-prints*, April 2012.

[4] Ian Agol. Criteria for virtual fibering. *J. Topol.*, 1(2):269–284, 2008.

[5] Christopher Allday and Stephen Halperin. Lie group actions on spaces of finite rank. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 29(113):63–76, 1978.

[6] James W. Anderson and Richard D. Canary. Cores of hyperbolic 3-manifolds and limits of Kleinian groups. *Amer. J. Math.*, 118(4):745–779, 1996.

[7] L. Babai, P. J. Cameron, and P. P. Pálfy. On the orders of primitive groups with restricted nonabelian composition factors. *J. Algebra*, 79(1):161–168, 1982.

[8] Werner Ballmann, Mikhael Gromov, and Viktor Schroeder. *Manifolds of nonpositive curvature*, volume 61 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1985.

[9] Dan Barbasch and Henri Moscovici. L^2-index and the Selberg trace formula. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 53(2):151–201, 1983.

[10] Bachir Bekka, Pierre de la Harpe, and Alain Valette. *Kazhdan's property (T)*, volume 11 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.

[11] Mikhail V. Belolipetsky and Scott A. Thomson. Systoles of hyperbolic manifolds. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 11(3):1455–1469, 2011.

[12] Riccardo Benedetti and Carlo Petronio. *Lectures on hyperbolic geometry*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[13] Itai Benjamini and Oded Schramm. Recurrence of distributional limits of finite planar graphs. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 6:no. 23, 13 pp. (electronic), 2001.

[14] N. Bergeron and D. Gaboriau. Asymptotique des nombres de Betti, invariants l^2 et laminations. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 79(2):362–395, 2004.

[15] Nicolas Bergeron and Laurent Clozel. Spectre automorphe des variétés hyperboliques et applications topologiques. *Astérisque*, (303):xx+218, 2005.

[16] Nicolas Bergeron, Frédéric Haglund, and Daniel T. Wise. Hyperplane sections in arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 83(2):431–448, 2011.

[17] Nicolas Bergeron and Akshay Venkatesh. The asymptotic growth of torsion homology for arithmetic groups. arXiv:1004.1083v1 [math.NT], to appear in Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu, Available on CJO doi:10.1017/S1474748012000667.

[18] Nicolas Bergeron and Daniel Wise. A boundary criterion for cubulation. *Amer. J. Math.*, 134(3):843–859, 2012.

[19] Patrick Billingsley. *Convergence of probability measures*. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1968.

[20] Ian Biringer. Geometry and rank of fibered hyperbolic 3-manifolds. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 9(1):277–292, 2009.

[21] A. Borel and N. Wallach. *Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups, and representations of reductive groups*, volume 67 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2000.

[22] Armand Borel. Density properties for certain subgroups of semi-simple groups without compact components. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 72:179–188, 1960.

[23] Armand Borel and Gopal Prasad. Finiteness theorems for discrete subgroups of bounded covolume in semi-simple groups. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (69):119–171, 1989.

[24] L. Bowen. Invariant random subgroups of the free group. *ArXiv e-prints*, April 2012.

[25] E. Breuillard and T. Gelander. On dense free subgroups of Lie groups. *J. Algebra*, 261(2):448–467, 2003.

[26] Martin R. Bridson and André Haefliger. *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, volume 319 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

[27] J. F. Brock. Continuity of Thurston's length function. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 10(4):741–797, 2000.

[28] Jeffrey Brock, Richard Canary, and Yair Minsky. The classification of Kleinian surface groups 2: the ending lamination conjecture. *preprint*.

[29] Jeffrey F. Brock and Nathan M. Dunfield. Injectivity radii of hyperbolic integer homology 3-spheres. *In preparation*.

[30] R. D. Canary, D. B. A. Epstein, and P. Green. Notes on notes of Thurston. In *Analytical and geometric aspects of hyperbolic space (Coventry/Durham, 1984)*, volume 111 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 3–92. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1987.

[31] Richard D. Canary. A covering theorem for hyperbolic 3-manifolds and its applications. *Topology*, 35(3):751–778, 1996.

[32] Claude Chabauty. Limite d'ensembles et géométrie des nombres. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 78:143–151, 1950.

[33] Jeff Cheeger. Analytic torsion and the heat equation. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 109(2):259–322, 1979.

[34] Young-Eun Choi and Kasra Rafi. Comparison between Teichmüller and Lipschitz metrics. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 76(3):739–756, 2007.

[35] Laurent Clozel. On limit multiplicities of discrete series representations in spaces of automorphic forms. *Invent. Math.*, 83(2):265–284, 1986.

[36] Laurent Clozel and Patrick Delorme. Le théorème de Paley-Wiener invariant pour les groupes de Lie réductifs. II. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 23(2):193–228, 1990.

[37] K. Corlette. Archimedean superrigidity and hyperbolic geometry. *Ann. of Math.*, 1992.

[38] Mathieu Cossutta and Simon Marshall. Theta lifting and cohomology growth in p-adic towers. arXiv:1106.2765v2 [math.NT].

[39] David L. de George and Nolan R. Wallach. Limit formulas for multiplicities in $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)$. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 107(1):133–150, 1978.

[40] Pierre de la Harpe. *Topics in geometric group theory*. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 2000.

[41] David Lee DeGeorge. On a theorem of Osborne and Warner. Multiplicities in the cuspidal spectrum. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 48(1):81–94, 1982.

[42] Anton Deitmar and Werner Hoffmann. On limit multiplicities for spaces of automorphic forms. *Canad. J. Math.*, 51(5):952–976, 1999.

[43] Patrick Delorme. Formules limites et formules asymptotiques pour les multiplicités dans $L^2(G/\Gamma)$. *Duke Math. J.*, 53(3):691–731, 1986.

[44] J. Dodziuk and V. K. Patodi. Riemannian structures and triangulations of manifolds. *J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 40(1-4):1–52 (1977), 1976.

[45] Jozef Dodziuk. de Rham-Hodge theory for L^2-cohomology of infinite coverings. *Topology*, 16(2):157–165, 1977.

[46] Harold Donnelly. Asymptotic expansions for the compact quotients of properly discontinuous group actions. *Illinois J. Math.*, 23(3):485–496, 1979.

[47] Harold Donnelly. On the spectrum of towers. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 87(2):322–329, 1983.

[48] A. Dubickas and S. V. Konyagin. On the number of polynomials of bounded measure. *Acta Arith.*, 86(4):325–342, 1998.

[49] Benson Farb and Dan Margalit. *A primer on mapping class groups*, volume 49 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012.

[50] Benson Farb and Lee Mosher. Convex cocompact subgroups of mapping class groups. *Geom. Topol.*, 6:91–152 (electronic), 2002.

[51] T. Finis, E. Lapid, and W. Mueller. Limit multiplicities for principal congruence subgroups of GL(n). *ArXiv e-prints*, August 2012.

[52] Stefan Friedl and Nicholas Jackson. Approximations to the volume of hyperbolic knots. *arXiv:1102.3742 [math.GT]*.

[53] Kenji Fukaya. Hausdorff convergence of Riemannian manifolds and its applications. In *Recent topics in differential and analytic geometry*, volume 18 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 143–238. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990.

[54] Harry Furstenberg. A note on Borel's density theorem. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 55(1):209–212, 1976.

[55] Tsachik Gelander. Homotopy type and volume of locally symmetric manifolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 124(3):459–515, 2004.

[56] M. Gromov. Hyperbolic groups. In *Essays in group theory*, volume 8 of *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 75–263. Springer, New York, 1987.

[57] M. Gromov and I. Piatetski-Shapiro. Nonarithmetic groups in Lobachevsky spaces. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (66):93–103, 1988.

[58] Mikhael Gromov. Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (53):53–73, 1981.

[59] Ursula Hamenstädt. Rank-one isometries of proper CAT(0)-spaces. In *Discrete groups and geometric structures*, volume 501 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 43–59. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.

[60] John Hamal Hubbard. *Teichmüller theory and applications to geometry, topology, and dynamics. Vol. 1*. Matrix Editions, Ithaca, NY, 2006. Teichmüller theory, With contributions by Adrien Douady, William Dunbar, Roland Roeder, Sylvain Bonnot, David Brown, Allen Hatcher, Chris Hruska and Sudeb Mitra, With forewords by William Thurston and Clifford Earle.

[61] Henryk Iwaniec. Nonholomorphic modular forms and their applications. In *Modular forms (Durham, 1983)*, Ellis Horwood Ser. Math. Appl.: Statist. Oper. Res., pages 157–196. Horwood, Chichester, 1984.

[62] Henryk Iwaniec. Small eigenvalues of Laplacian for $\Gamma_0(N)$. *Acta Arith.*, 56(1):65–82, 1990.

[63] D. Kajdan. Arithmetic varieties and their fields of quasi-definition. In *Actes du Congrès International des Mathématiciens (Nice, 1970), Tome 2*, pages 321–325. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1971.

[64] G. Knieper. On the asymptotic geometry of nonpositively curved manifolds. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 7(4):755–782, 1997.

[65] Thang Le. Homology torsion growth and mahler measure. *Preprint*, 2010.

[66] Christopher J. Leininger and Saul Schleimer. Connectivity of the space of ending laminations. *Duke Math. J.*, 150(3):533–575, 2009.

[67] Anna Lenzhen. Teichmüller geodesics that do not have a limit in pmf. *Geom. Topol.*, 12(1):177–197, 2008.

[68] Enrico Leuzinger. Kazhdan's property (T), L^2-spectrum and isoperimetric inequalities for locally symmetric spaces. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 78(1):116–133, 2003.

[69] Alexander Lubotzky and Dan Segal. *Subgroup growth*, volume 212 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2003.

[70] W. Lück. Approximating L^2-invariants by their finite-dimensional analogues. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 4(4):455–481, 1994.

[71] Wolfgang Lück. *L^2-invariants: theory and applications to geometry and K-theory*, volume 44 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[72] R. Schoen M. Gromov. Harmonic maps into singular spaces and p-adic superrigidity for lattices in groups of rank one. *Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math.*, 1992.

[73] G. A. Margulis. *Discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups*, volume 17 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.

[74] G.A. Margulis. Arithmeticity of the irreducible lattices in the semi-simple groups of rank greater then 1. *(Russian), Invent. Math.*, 1984.

[75] Howard Masur. Uniquely ergodic quadratic differentials. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 55(2):255–266, 1980.

[76] Howard Masur. Two boundaries of Teichmüller space. *Duke Math. J.*, 49(1):183–190, 1982.

[77] Howard Masur. Hausdorff dimension of the set of nonergodic foliations of a quadratic differential. *Duke Math. J.*, 66(3):387–442, 1992.

[78] Katsuhiko Matsuzaki and Masahiko Taniguchi. *Hyperbolic manifolds and Kleinian groups.* Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1998. Oxford Science Publications.

[79] J. Milnor. *Morse theory.* Based on lecture notes by M. Spivak and R. Wells. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 51. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1963.

[80] Yair N. Minsky. Teichmüller geodesics and ends of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. *Topology*, 32(3):625–647, 1993.

[81] Yair N. Minsky. On rigidity, limit sets, and end invariants of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 7(3):539–588, 1994.

[82] Yair N. Minsky. Quasi-projections in Teichmüller space. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 473:121–136, 1996.

[83] Yair N. Minsky. Bounded geometry for Kleinian groups. *Invent. Math.*, 146(1):143–192, 2001.

[84] Werner Müller. Analytic torsion and R-torsion of Riemannian manifolds. *Adv. in Math.*, 28(3):233–305, 1978.

[85] Werner Müller. Analytic torsion and R-torsion for unimodular representations. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 6(3):721–753, 1993.

[86] H. Namazi, P. Pankka, and J. Souto. Distributional limits of Riemannian manifolds and graphs with sublinear genus growth. *ArXiv e-prints*, August 2012.

[87] Stefan Friedl Nathan M. Dunfield and Nicholas Jackson. Twisted alexander polynomials of hyperbolic knots. *arXiv:1108.3045 [math.GT].*

[88] Amos Nevo and Robert J. Zimmer. A generalization of the intermediate factors theorem. *J. Anal. Math.*, 86:93–104, 2002.

[89] John Millson Nicolas Bergeron and Colette Moeglin. Hodge type theorems for arithmetic manifolds associated to orthogonal groups. arXiv:1110.3049 [math.NT].

[90] Hee Oh. Uniform pointwise bounds for matrix coefficients of unitary representations and applications to Kazhdan constants. *Duke Math. J.*, 113(1):133–192, 2002.

[91] Martin Olbrich. L^2-invariants of locally symmetric spaces. *Doc. Math.*, 7:219–237 (electronic), 2002.

[92] Ştefan Papadima. Discrete symmetry, toral symmetry and the Euler characteristic of manifolds. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 103(2):612–614, 1988.

[93] K. R. Parthasarathy. *Probability measures on metric spaces.* Probability and Mathematical Statistics, No. 3. Academic Press Inc., New York, 1967.

[94] Peter Petersen. *Riemannian geometry. Second edition.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 171. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2006.

[95] Joan Porti. Torsion de Reidemeister pour les variétés hyperboliques. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 128(612):x+139, 1997.

[96] Jessica S. Purcell and Juan Souto. Geometric limits of knot complements. *J. Topol.*, 3(4):759–785, 2010.

[97] Kasra Rafi. A characterization of short curves of a Teichmüller geodesic. *Geom. Topol.*, 9:179–202, 2005.

[98] M. S. Raghunathan. *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 68.

[99] Jean Raimbault. Exponential growth of torsion in abelian coverings. *arXiv:1012.3666 [math.GT].*

[100] Jürgen Rohlfs and Birgit Speh. On limit multiplicities of representations with cohomology in the cuspidal spectrum. *Duke Math. J.*, 55(1):199–211, 1987.

[101] V.A. Rohlin. On the fundamental ideas of measure theory. *Amer. Math. Soc. Translation 1952*, 1952.

[102] Peter Sarnak. A note on the spectrum of cusp forms for congruence subgroups. *preprint*, 1983.

[103] Peter Sarnak and Xiao Xi Xue. Bounds for multiplicities of automorphic representations. *Duke Math. J.*, 64(1):207–227, 1991.

[104] François Sauvageot. Principe de densité pour les groupes réductifs. *Compositio Math.*, 108(2):151–184, 1997.

[105] Gordan Savin. Limit multiplicities of cusp forms. *Invent. Math.*, 95(1):149–159, 1989.

[106] Mehmet Haluk Sengun. On the integral cohomology of bianchi groups. *Preprint,* `http://arxiv4.library.cornell.edu/abs/1005.5179`.

[107] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Cours d'arithmétique*. Presses Universitaires de France, Paris, 1977. Deuxième édition revue et corrigée, Le Mathématicien, No. 2.

[108] Sug Woo Shin. Automorphic Plancherel density theorem. to appear in Israel Journal of Mathematics.

[109] Daniel S. Silver and Susan G. Williams. Dynamics of twisted Alexander invariants. *Topology Appl.*, 156(17):2795–2811, 2009.

[110] Garrett Stuck and Robert J. Zimmer. Stabilizers for ergodic actions of higher rank semisimple groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 139(3):723–747, 1994.

[111] William Thurston. The geometry and topology of 3-manifolds. *Lecture notes at Princeton University, 1980.*

[112] William P. Thurston. *Three-dimensional geometry and topology. Vol. 1*, volume 35 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997. Edited by Silvio Levy.

[113] William P. Thurston. Hyperbolic structures on 3-manifolds. II. Surface groups and 3-manifolds fibering over the circle. *preprint*, 1998.

[114] A. Vershik. Totally nonfree actions and infinite symmetric group. *ArXiv e-prints*, September 2011.

[115] Friedhelm Waldhausen. On irreducible 3-manifolds which are sufficiently large. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 87:56–88, 1968.

[116] Hsien Chung Wang. Topics on totally discontinuous groups. In *Symmetric spaces (Short Courses, Washington Univ., St. Louis, Mo., 1969–1970)*, pages 459–487. Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 8. Dekker, New York, 1972.

[117] Hassler Whitney. *Geometric integration theory*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1957.

RENYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, 13-15 REALTANODA UTCA, 1053 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY,
E-mail address: `abert.miklos@renyi.mta.hu`

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU, UNITÉ MIXTE DE RECHERCHE 7586 DU CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ PIERRE ET MARIE CURIE, 4, PLACE JUSSIEU 75252 PARIS CEDEX 05, FRANCE,
E-mail address: `bergeron@math.jussieu.fr`
URL: `http://people.math.jussieu.fr/~bergeron`

BOSTON COLLEGE, MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, 140 COMMONWEALTH AVE. CHESTNUT HILL, MA 02467-3806,
E-mail address: `ianbiringer@gmail.com`

EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, EDMOND J. SAFRA CAMPUS, GIVAT RAM, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, JERUSALEM, 91904, ISRAEL,
E-mail address: `tsachik.gelander@gmail.com`

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE,, OXFORD, OX1 4BH, UK.
E-mail address: `zarkuon@gmail.com`

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU, UNITÉ MIXTE DE RECHERCHE 7586 DU CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ PIERRE ET MARIE CURIE, 4, PLACE JUSSIEU 75252 PARIS CEDEX 05, FRANCE,
E-mail address: `raimbault@math.jussieu.fr`

University of Illinois at Chicago, Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science, Chicago, IL 60607,
E-mail address: `samet@math.uic.edu`